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## 1. Introduction

This document describes the macroeconomic model BASMOD (Bengt Assarsson's Swedish MODel) and briefly how to download and use it. The purpose with the model is to give a fairly detailed description of the Swedish economy and some basic relationships therein. The model aims at simulations and forecasts in the medium-term, i.e. 1-3 years ahead, which is roughly the perspective for monetary policy in Sveriges Riksbank. The model has been programmed in the econometrics package Eviews in order to be easy to handle and therefore available to many people.

The purpose with this document is to give a comprehensive description of the model and how to use it. Hopefully, by reading this document the reader should become acquainted with the model and perhaps start to use it. However, models of this size tend to change frequently and BASMOD is no exception. The model is therefore also described on a web page which is continuously updated. The web page - Home page of BASMOD - is located at a Riksbank server and can presently be reached at

## X:\BM\BASMOD\index.htm

Add this link to Favorites in your Web browser!
Once acquainted with the model - by reading some or all of this document - you would probably prefer using the web page for getting further information. Some information related to the model can also be found in my personal web page on www.bassarsson.com.

This document starts with an introductory general description of the structure of the model, how it is documented and of how to get access to the model. The second chapter describes the structure of the model, starts with an overview and then goes more into detail. The third chapter is the user manual from which to learn how to run the model. Chapter 4 shows some results from evaluations of the model, divided into econometric results, simulation exercises and forecast errors. The fifth chapter discusses some possible extensions and developments for future work. In the first appendix the specifications of all stochastic equations are given. Some standard statistical details are also given there, such as on autocorrelation, standard error of regression, etc. In the second appendix all variable names are listed in alphabetical order and divided between foreign and domestic variables. Appendix 3 describes the smaller wage-price model AMOD, which possibly can be integrated into BASMOD. Finally, Appendix 4 gives the Eviews code for BASMOD.

### 1.1 General

BASMOD can be seen as a neo-Keyesian model. It can be described as a model with aggregate supply and aggregate demand in which prices and wages are rigid and agents to some extent are forward-looking. Aggregate demand consists of product and financial markets while the supply side has markets for labor and capital. Economic policy is endogenous and fiscal as well as monetary policy reacts to shocks in the model. Policy is also forward-looking.

BASMOD is a fairly large model with about 100 equations, of which approximately 25 are stochastic and estimated with classical (not Bayesian) econometric methods. Some parameters are determined by priors obtained from previous estimations or based on economic theory. Estimations are mostly done equation-by-equation since the model is too large to be estimated as a system. Equations are often in error correction form, The long run steady state can be derived analytically but this is not done. Rather, the steady state is obtained numerically through the simulations with the model. The long run solutions for key variables are shown below.

The design of the model has been governed by the demand from the monetary policy process at Sveriges Riksbank. That process uses a general macroeconomic framework in which many variables appear. The present state of monetary policy with an inflation target places macroeconomic forecasting - particularly the rate of inflation - at the forefront. Forecasts are built mainly on a judgmental basis based on forecasts from sectoral experts as the main input. In addition, some model based forecasts - including forecasts from BASMOD - are used in the process but it is at the moment somewhat unclear what role or dignity each input really has.

It has been an important starting point to make BASMOD accessible to many persons, notably the sectoral experts at the Riksbank. Therefore it has been programmed in Eviews, an econometric package familiar to most people at the Riksbank.

The documentation about BASMOD can be obtained either in this document or on the mentioned more up-to-date web site, the latter probably being preferred once some basic knowledge about the model has been reached. For those more inclined to follow the web site route it is probably suitable to read Chapter 1 and possibly Chapter 2 here and then go directly to the web site.

### 1.2 About the BASMOD home page

As mentioned, the BASMOD home page can be found in

## X:\BM\BASMOD\index.htm

and the page below appears. Here, I briefly mention what can be found in the opening page and how the home page is organised.


On the Introduction pages you get information about the basics of BASMOD (Bengt Assarsson's Swedish MODel). In the upper frame of the home page you find the links

| Introduction | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Down }}{\text {-load }} \end{aligned}$ | Model structure | Estimated equations | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Varia }}{\text { bles }} \end{aligned}$ | News | User manual | Further informa -tion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Link to } \\ & \text { AMOD } \end{aligned}$ <br> page | Evalu -ation | Printable documents | Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Clicking on the links in the upper frame makes new menus appear in the left frame. Results appear in the main frame in the center of the home page. Moving from left to right in the upper frame is more or less as moving from general to specific.

The Introduction gives general information about the organization of the home page and the model and how to practically use it.

The Download link informs you about how to download BASMOD to the disk from which you prefer to run the model.

The Model structure link gives detailed information about the model's structure.
The link Estimated equations gives information about every estimated equation in the model. It is easy to find the specific equation and get some information about parameters, standard error or equation, etc.

There is also a News link where you can find information about the latest updates.
Information about how to use the model is contained in the User manual link. There you find information on how to download the Eviews files from the S-disk on Sveriges Riksbank's server to your disk of preference. Before becoming an experienced user it is recommendable to have the BASMOD home page loaded in your browser simultaneously with running the model in Eviews.

The Further information link contains various kinds of information which may change over time.

BASMOD is a relatively large and detailed model. For users preferring a smaller and more comprehensible model AMOD (ArbetsmarknadsMODell) might be an alternative. A description of the smaller model is found in the Link to AMOD page. AMOD is a Wage-Setting/Price-Setting model with endogenous technical progress in the same spirit as BASMOD. It is primarily developed for the analysis of the labour market and the forecasting of labor market variables. A brief description of AMOD is also given in Appendix 3 in this document.

BASMOD is continuously evaluated with respect to simulations and forecasts. In the Evaluation link you can find information about different simulations performed with the model and other evaluations related to the theoretical consistency of the model. You can also discover forecast evaluations both with respect to within-sample prediction (equation fit) and out-of-sample predictions based both on a simulation basis and on historical forecasts done in the forecasting process in the Riksbank. Results from evaluations are also given in Chapter 4 in this document.

In addition to finding information through browsing the present home page you can consult the printed documents on different parts of the model or the complete model documentation. For printouts, go to the Printable documents.

You now should be able to guide yourself through the web site to gather the necessary information about the model.

### 1.3 How to download the model

BASMOD is downloaded by copying the appropriate Eviews workfile from APP's S: disc. Do the following procedure:

Go to

## S:\APP\APP Modellenheten\BASMOD\BASE\0402\basmod0402.wf1

and find the version of the model used for the Inflation Report at the appropriate date (model for the second Inflation Report in this example).

The corresponding AMOD can (possibly) be found under

## S:\APP\Modellenheten\AMOD\BASE\0402\amod0402.wf1

Make a copy of the downloaded file - e,g, My_basmod0402.wf1 - to a suitable directory and use the new file for your work.

All Eviews objects are stored in one single sheet in the workfile. You can open the model by clicking on Model or by inputting Show Model in the interactive command window. You can then verify that the model works properly by following the following steps:

1. Click on the Solve button.
2. Click on the Solver sheet.
3. Choose Previous period's solution
4. Choose Constant growth rate
5. Click OK

The model now should solve for Scenario X, which usually is Scenario 1. To view the solution for different variables, e.g. click on y_1 which gives you the solution for GDP in Scenario 1.

### 1.4 Reading guide

Depending on the type of interest in BASMOD I have the following suggestions to make reading easier:

| Type of reader | Reading guide |
| :--- | :--- |
| Want to know about the structure of the <br> model, not a user | $1.2,2,4$ |
| Want to know about the model and how to <br> use it | Home page, 1-3, Appendices 1-2 |
| Want to know about some of the details of <br> the model, e.g. some of the econometric <br> specifications | Home page, Appendix 1 |
| Want to know about the performance of the <br> model | Home page, 4 |

### 1.5 Possible benefits from using the model

There are a number of possible benefits from using BASMOD.

- Get a consistent macroeconomic data base covering about 150 variables from the balance of resources, the labour market, price indexes, asset prices and financial variables.
- Use the model for forecasting and possibly place yourself among the top forecasters in Sweden. See the evaluation in Chapter 4.
- Pick your variable to forecast, compare with the BASMOD specification and try to possibly improve your model. (If you succeed please give a hint.)
- Link your specific model to BASMOD and make your exogenous variables endogenous in a simple way.
- Use the model for policy simulations by changing the monetary and fiscal policy rules.


## 2. Model structure

In this chapter I describe the structure of the model. The description starts out from an overview of the basic structure of the model. It then goes on and describes the details divided into aggregate demand and aggregate supply. The equations of the model are desribed in general form - e.g. $Y=F(X, Z)$ - where $Y$ is the dependent variable, $F$ is any function and $X$ and $Z$ are the variables that $Y$ depends on. We also discuss the relationships from a general theoretical point of view and postulate the expected sign of the effect of each of the variables

- e.g. $Y=F(\stackrel{+}{X}, \bar{Z})$ means that an increase in $X$ is expected to lead to an increase in $Y$ while an increase in $Z$ is expected to lead to a decline in $Y$. The specific equations and the estimation output are presented in Appendix 1 and - in particular - in the BASMOD Web Site at:


## X:\BM\BASMOD\index.htm

To find out more about the model than is presented here or to find out something about a specific item you should access the web site. You can also start BASMOD from the Eviews Workfile and from within the Model object, find out about the equations and the dependencies between the variables. You can learn more about that in the User manual in Section 3 below, particularly in Section 3.2.

### 2.1 Basic strucure

BASMOD is a macroeconomic model based on an extended Mundell-Fleming approach with price and wage setting similar to Layard-Nickell. Macroeconomic policy is endogenous in the model, i.e. fiscal and monetary policy reacts to shocks, using model consistent forwardlooking expectations. The entire model is not forward-looking. Rather, forward-looking and model consistent expectations are imposed for variables for which this is considered particularly important, such as exchange rates, wages and long term interest rates.

One could think of the model as an IS/LM-Aggregate Demand model with an aggregate supply side allowing prices and wages to sluggishly respond to shocks and restore equilibrium with considerable delay. Rather than starting from microeconomic optimization BASMOD uses the macroeconomic relationships of the Mundell-Fleming type and optimization of individual agents is only implicit.

Models based on explicit optimization - see Smets and Wouters (2004) or Adolfsson et. al. (2004a,b) - tend to focus on the long run steady state and possibly pay less attention to the short run adjustment than is done in BASMOD. Whether macroeconomic models based on explicit optimising behaviour actually performs better in practice is an open question and I think depends very much on the particular details upon which the respective models are built. For instance, parameters derived from micro theory are changed when applied to macro data, possibly due to aggregation ${ }^{1}$. Such problems means that models derived explicitly from microeconomic optimizing behaviour still can be difficult to interpret. Another problem is that big macroeconometric models seldom are "first best" in the sense that it is derived from

[^0]first principles in every detail. If this is recognised, the model should be viewed in terms of "second best" and it is then more unclear how to view its merits, e.g. in terms of coherency. BASMOD is more loosely related to microeconomic theory, though equations in many instances can be shown to be derived from some microeconomic optimizing behaviour, at least in the long run.

The standard small-scale macroeconomic (textbook) model is extended in various ways:

1) BASMOD is much more detailed.
2) Stabilization policy is endogenous and forward-looking according to rules.
3) Various specifications are refined.
4) The model is fitted to data by econometric estimations and takes account of both long-run equilibrium and short-run adjustments simultaneously. The major effort is on the medium-term properties, i.e. some 1-4 years ahead.

In this section, the model structure is first presented in terms of macroeconomic demand and supply. Secondly, the structure of demand and supply is explained in detail. For further details the reader is referred to the BASMOD Web Site.

## Demand and supply

The structure of the model is illustrated schematically in diagram 2.1.


Diagram 2.1. A schematic illustration of the structure in BASMOD.

Aggregate demand is a (negative) relationship between GDP and the aggregate price level. An increase in the aggregate price level lowers demand/GDP - i.e. $Y=F(\bar{P})$. An increase in the aggregate price level reduces demand through various channels. An increase in the aggregate price level increases the rate of inflation and - given some target inflation rate or for a given stock of money - raises the short-term interest rate. The increase in the short-term interest rate will to some extent also raise long term rates and the exchange rate will appreciate through the open interest rate parity condition. Foreign demand therefore decreases. The increase in interest rates also reduces domestic demand through its impact on asset prices, private wealth and therefore on household consumption expenditure and on Tobin's Q and therefore on private investments.

Once out of equilibrium it can take quite some time to restore the balance. Various rigidities such as in prices and wages - explain the delays and the relationships in BASMOD try to take account of this, both in the demand and in the supply side of the model.

Excess demand is the outcome of either an aggregate demand or an aggregate supply shock. In a stable economy or model - such as BASMOD and most other macroeconomic models some mechanisms should bring the economy back from the state of excess demand into equilibrium. The aggregate supply in the model can be written $Y=F \stackrel{+}{P})$, i.e. when the price level increases the real wage tend to decline and the demand for labour to increase. This increases output and hence a positive relationship between $Y$ and $P$. In BASMOD this is accomplished through price and wage adjustment in the labour and product markets that interact in the supply side of the model - through wage-setting and price-setting. Wagesetting is based on a model in which the labour share (in percent of nominal GDP) temporarily is influenced by the rate of unemployment (the business cycle) but in the long run depends on structural factors, such a the replacement ratio. Price-setting is determined by a model for a (representative) monopolistic firm, in which prices are determined as a mark-up on marginal costs. The equilibrium rate of unemployment is established when price-setting is consistent with wage-setting. A key factor in this is the cost function of the firm, since it is from this cost function the demand for labour as well as the marginal cost - which includes labour as well as capital costs - are derived. An implicit assumption is that the wage-setting is such that the real wage rate is set at such a high level that the demand for labour will determine employment. The equilibrium rate of unemployment can be derived analytically but in the model is solved numerically. There is no explicit computation of unemployment or output gaps, though these measures in principle could be estimated. Whereas in many macroeconomic models the output gap and the production function are essential parts and particularly important for price adjustment, this is not the case in BASMOD. Instead the cost function is central and - due to duality - is a sufficient representation of the supply side making output gaps superfluous.

The rigidities in wage- and price-setting interact with other rigidities and determine a complicated short-run dynamics that describe a system that slowly converge towards long-run equilibrium. Diagram 2.2 shows the forecast of GDP in annual growth rates and levels.


GDP level


Diagram 2.2. The forecast of GDP in growth rates and levels. 2004:4-2012:4.
It also includes the focus horizon for the Riksbank forecast, i.e. 2004-2006 which is published in the fourth and last Inflation Report of 2004. In the long run, the growth rate converges to amply two percent. The short run dynamics is particularly pronounced during the forecast horizon of the Riksbank and can be seen in the upper part of the diagram where the growth figures are shown.

Important rigidities other than in prices and wages are in investments, the demand for labour and in exports and imports demand. BASMOD tries to capture these rigidities through the parameterization of the model. For instance, the response to a chock in the real exchange rate creates a J-curve in the current balance, which is in accordance with the stylized facts in this particular area. Tobin's Q investment model is another model based on rigidities which explains the gradual adjustment of business investments in BASMOD.



Diagram 2.3. The forecast of the consumer price index in growth rates and levels. 2004:4 2012:4.

Diagram 2.3 shows the corresponding figures for the Consumer Price Index. The low growth rates in 2004 are interpreted as temporarily low by the model and it is expected that the rate of inflation will increase from a level about 0.5 percent to its target level 2 percent within one year. The explanation for this forecast is mainly that the main factors explaining current inflation - marginal cost and price adjustment costs - at the moment temporarily have decreased inflation. These factors will adjust towards their long-run values causing inflation to increase.

The mechanisms described so far could possibly stabilise the economy without any help from economic policy. However, the real world contains policy-makers and so does BASMOD. The intention is to describe policy-making reasonably realistic, in which case the model could possibly be used for both policy simulations and forecasts. There is both fiscal and monetary policy and the design of policy is flexible, i.e. easy to change by the model user. Basically, fiscal policy is based on the EU stabilisation pact and stipulates that the government budget deficit on average should be 1.5 percent of GDP, a measure which will be reached with some delay through variations in direct taxes. Monetary policy is a policy rule in which the short term interest rate is set by the central bank and based on the Swedish target of 2 percent rate
of inflation. Due to the rigidities and the time delay in the effects of interest rate changes, policy acts on the future expected rate of inflation. Economic policy hence possibly helps stabilise the economy.

Let us now look a little more into the details.

### 2.2 Demand

### 2.2.1 Asset markets

Households own all assets in the model including the firms. Households earn labour income and dividend income as firm owners. The two most important assets of the households are real estate (family houses) and equity. Household nominal wealth is given by

SETW $=$ SENW + SEHW
where SEHW is housing wealth and financial net wealth is defined by

$$
\text { SENW }=\text { SEDEPTP }+ \text { SERX } \cdot \text { SENA }+ \text { SEMISC }- \text { SELIABS }
$$

where SEDEBTP is the nominal government debt, SERX the dollar exchange rate, SENA net foreign assets, SEMISC is miscellaneous financial assets which mainly consists of equity and SELIABS is liabilities, all in nominal terms.

There are similar equations to determine these asset prices. Equity prices are determined by SEEQP $=F(S E P Y \cdot S E Y, S E R 3 M)$, i.e. by nominal GDP and the nominal short run interest rate. Equity prices then affect the value of SEMISC, which is determined by
$S E M I S C=F(S E P \stackrel{+}{Y} \cdot S E Y, S E D E B T, S E L \stackrel{+}{I} A B S, S E \stackrel{+}{E} Q P)$
and moves in line with nominal GDP in the long run.
The government debt is affected by the long run interest rate SELR such that an increase in the interest rate increases the debt. An increase in the interest rate will also increase the value of foreign assets SENA, which is measured in dollars. An increase in the interest rate will appreciate the SEK. For positive net foreign assets this will decrease wealth while for negative positions wealth will increase. Finally, household liabilities are assumed to change in proportion to household net income, i.e.

SELIABS $=F\left(\right.$ SEPI $-{ }^{+}$SETAX $)$
Finally there is housing wealth which changes in direct proportion to a house price index, which is measured by the Småhusbarometern. The values of financial wealth and housing wealth have been calibrated for 2000 where housing wealth consists of detached houses and summer cottages. Housing prices depend on capital costs and income according to the equation
$S E H W=F(S E P \stackrel{+}{Y} \cdot S E Y, S E \bar{U} S E R, S E-\bar{S} R)$
where SEUSER is the user cost of capital. The user cost of capital is defined as
SEUSER $=0.01\left[\frac{1}{2}(S E R 3 M+S E L R)+\right.$ SEKPDEP $]$ SEKP , where SEKP is the private capital stock and SEKPDEP is the depreciation rate of the private capital stock. One could think of this equation as being derived from the first-order condition for the housing market (the relative price of houses equals the marginal product of housing capital).

The most important links between asset markets and the real economy then work through the evolution of equity prices, housing prices, interest rates and exchange rates. The open interest rate parity determines exchange rates through
$S E R X_{t}=\frac{S E R X_{t+1}}{1+\text { SER } M_{t}-U S R 3 M_{t}}+R P_{t}$
where $\operatorname{SERX}_{t}$ is the current the SEK/dollar exchange rate, $\operatorname{SER} X_{t+1}$ is the at time t expected exchange rate at time $\mathrm{t}+1$, USR3M is the short run U.S. interest rate and $R P$ is a risk premium in the foreign exchange market.

The asset prices affect the asset values and the total real net wealth
SETRNW $=\frac{\text { SETW }}{.01 \cdot \text { SECED }}$
where SECED is the private consumption deflator normalized to 100 in 2000 . Wealth then affects household consumption expenditure and the real economy. Another concept that is used is an approximation to Tobin's Q , defined as
$S E T Q=\frac{S E E Q P}{S E P I N V}$
where SEPINV is the implicit price index of private investments. Tobin's Q affects private investments (business investments) SEBI.

### 2.2.2 Financial flows: The balance of payments and the financial savings

## The balance of payments

The balance of payments is
$S E C B V \equiv S E X \cdot S E P X-S E M \cdot S E P M+S E I P D C+S E I P D D+S E B P T$
where $S E X$ is exports of goods and services, $S E P X$ is an export price index and SEM the imports of goods and services. SEIPDC and SEIPDD are the interest profit, dividend, credit and debit respectively. SEBPT is balance of payment transfers. The equations for credits is

```
SEIPDC \(=F\left(S E \stackrel{+}{R} O R, S \stackrel{+}{G}^{-} A, S E \stackrel{+}{R} X\right)\)
```

where $S E R O R$ is the rate of return on financial assets, SEGA is gross financial assets in US dollars and SERX the SEK/dollar exchange rate. The rate of return is exogenous and assumed to be approximately 1.5 percent. The equation for debits is

SEIPDD $=F(\stackrel{+}{G E} L, S \stackrel{+}{R} X, S E \stackrel{-}{D E B T}, S E E \stackrel{ \pm}{Q} P R, S E \stackrel{ \pm}{G} I P)$
where $\operatorname{SEGL}$ is gross foreign liabilities, SEDEBT is government debt in real terms, SEEQPR is the rate of return on foreign liabilities and SEGIP is government interest payments. The rate of return on foreign liabilities is exogenous and assumed to be approximately 1.5 percent in the long run.
$S E G A=F(S E \stackrel{+}{C} B V, S \stackrel{+}{G} G L, S \stackrel{+}{S E} Y, S \stackrel{+}{E} Y, S \stackrel{ \pm}{R} X)$
which piles up with the surplus in the balance of payments and moves in line with nominal GDP in the long run.

Gross liabilities are determined by

$$
S E G L=F(S E \stackrel{-}{C} B V, S E \stackrel{+}{P} Y, S \stackrel{+}{E} Y, S E \stackrel{ \pm}{R} X)
$$

and piles up with the deficit in the balance of payments and otherwise go ahead with nominal GDP in the long run. It should be noted that gross foreign assets and liabilities both are adjusted with respect to the world deficit that occurs due to the measurement errors that these data contain. All in all, that means that assets and liabilities in the long run move in line with the world GDP development.

Finally, the balance of payment transfers (in real terms and deflated by the private consumption deflator) is determined by a 12-quarter moving average, which simply is written

$$
S E B P T=F(S E \stackrel{ \pm}{R} X, S E \stackrel{ \pm}{C} E D)
$$

## Financial savings

As mentioned above the financial net wealth is

$$
\text { SENW }=\text { SEDEPTP }+ \text { SERX } \cdot \text { SENA }+ \text { SEMISC }- \text { SELIABS }
$$

and by definition the change in financial net wealth is equal to the private sector savings, i.e.
additions to $S E N W=S E C B V-S E B U D$
For this accounting identity to hold in the data one of the components of SENW is treated as a residual. Here the residual is the miscellaneous assets variable SEMISC, which includes especially equity wealth but also the money stock.

### 2.2.3 Balance of resources

The balance of resources is described by the basic income identity:
$S E Y \equiv S E C+S E G C+S E G I+S E B I+S E H I+S E X G+S E X S-S E M G-S E M S+S E D S$
where $S E C$ is household consumption expenditure, $S E G C$ is government consumption expenditure, SEGI is government investment expenditure, SEBI is private business investments, SEHI is housing investments, SEXG is exports of goods, SEXS is exports of services, SEMG is imports of goods, SEMS is imports of services and SEDS is changes in inventories. The consumption functions is

$$
S E C=F(S E \stackrel{+}{Y D}, S E T \stackrel{+}{R} N W, S \overline{-} U)
$$

where SEYD is real private disposable income and $S E U$ is the unemployment rate. The unemployment rate is included to capture income uncertainty ${ }^{2}$. Real private disposable income is defined by

SEYD $\equiv \frac{\text { SEWHB } \cdot(\text { SEHOURS } \cdot \text { SEE })+\text { SEOPI }+ \text { SETRAN }- \text { SETAX }}{.01 \cdot \text { SECED }}$
where SEWHB is the gross hourly wage rate, SEHOURS is the mean number of hours worked and SEE is the number of employed. SEOPI is other personal income, SETRAN is transfers and SETAX is direct taxes. Personal income is defined as
$S E P I \equiv S E C O M P+S E O P I+S E T R A N$
where total compensation SECOMP is defined by

$$
S E C O M P \equiv S E W H B \cdot(1+S E W T R+S E C O L L R) \cdot(S E H O U R S \cdot S E E)
$$

where $S E W T R$ is the wage tax rate and $S E C O L L R$ the payroll tax rate.
This consumption function is one out of three basic alternatives and uses current and lagged values only. The second alternative uses a forward-looking income variable, SEYDFORW, which is the present value of incomes four year ahead. A third alternative is a disaggregated model in which household consumption is divided into six components ${ }^{3}$. This model can

[^1]partly be traced to Bernanke (1985) who derives Euler equations for components of private consumption, in which expenditure on one component depends on expenditure on other components. (See the web site for details.)

Government consumption and investments are simple random walks with drift. Private business investments is based on a paper by Assarsson, Berg and Jansson (2004)
$S E B I=F\left(\stackrel{+}{E E} Y, \stackrel{+}{C}^{+} Q\right)$
where a model is used in which both an accelerator and Tobin's Q determines investment. According to the basic theory Tobin's marginal Q should be a sufficient determinant of investments. That model can be extended and a possibility opened for output to influence investments if firms are rationed in the home market. In the paper we also show that the measure SETQ above is a good approximation to a more sophisticated but less transparent measure of Q. From this we also note that an increase in interest rates lowers equity prices and Tobin's Q and hence lowers business investments.

Housing investments is determined by

$$
\text { SEHI }=F(S E \stackrel{+}{C} E D, S E-\stackrel{-}{R} R, S \stackrel{+}{H E} S, S E \stackrel{+}{H} W)
$$

where SERR is the real rate of interest and SEHS is the number of housing starts. The number of housing starts is determined by

$$
S E H S=F(\stackrel{+}{H E} W, S E \overline{-} 3 M, S E \bar{U} S E R)
$$

Housing starts is used since there is a time delay between the decision to build a new house and until the house is finished, due to administrative factors.

Export and import equations for goods and services, respectively, are demand equations and as such it is important to find the most appropriate approximations of relative prices and income ${ }^{4}$. There are several alternatives in each case and I have tried numerous specifications. As relative prices there are relative export and import prices, relative unit labour costs, real effective exchange rates, consumer or producer prices, etc. The income variables are more straightforward and I have used Swedish export markets - SES - for exports and SETFE = $S E Y+S E M G+S E M S$, total demand, for imports. Swedish export markets are defined as the export share weighted imports from other countries. The real effective exchange rate is also defined where relative consumer prices (consumption deflators) across countries are weighted with export shares. The nominal index is SEEFEX and the relative index is SEREFEX. These indexes are broader than the Swedish TCW-indexes but the correlation between them still is close to unity. Other measures that are possible and are included in the BASMOD data base and therefore easily can be tried out by anyone interested are SERULT=trend in relative unit labour cost, $S E R P X=$ relative export price index or $S E R P M=$ relative import price index. The final functions are

[^2]| SEXG $=F(S E R P X, S E S)$ | exports of goods |
| :---: | :---: |
| SEXS $=F\left(S E R E \overline{F E X}\right.$, SE $^{+}$S $)$ | exports of services |
| SEMG $=$ F (SERE $\stackrel{+}{E} E X, ~ S E \stackrel{+}{T} F E)$ | imports of goods |
| SEMS $=F($ SEPMG, SE $\stackrel{+}{T F E})$ | imports of services |

Finally, the investments in inventories - changes in inventories - is determined by
SEDS $=F(\stackrel{-}{S E S L}, S \overline{S E L R}, S \stackrel{+}{E} Y)$
where SEDS is changes in inventories and SESL is the stock of inventories. This completes the description of the demand side of the model apart from economic policy which in the model works via demand.

### 2.2.4 Economic policy

Economic policy works through two policy rules - one for fiscal and one for monetary policy. Apart from imposing chocks to these policies it is possible to introduce exogenous chocks to other parts of the model such as government expenditure, unemployment benefits, various taxes, etc. The main focus, however, is on changes in the policy rules.

### 2.2.4.1 Fiscal policy

Fiscal policy is governed by the long run solvency criterion as implemented by the EU stabilization pact. The target for the Swedish government budget surplus is set to 1.5 percent of GDP and the policy governed by the equation

SETAX $_{t}=-$ SEWT $_{t}-$ SECOLL $_{t}+\left(\right.$ SETAX $_{t-1}+$ SEWT $_{t-1}+$ SECOLL $\left._{t-1}\right) \cdot \Delta$ SEPI $_{t}$ $+0.01(S E P Y \cdot S E Y)_{t-1} \cdot 0.01(S E G B R T-S E G B R)_{t-1}$
which states that the changes in taxes relative to income should be equal to the lagged difference between target and actual budget surpluses valued at nominal GDP. In equilibrium at $S E G B R=1.5$ taxes will grow at the same rate as personal incomes, SEPI. If the budget surplus is lower than the target, taxes will be raised faster than personal income. In this particular case the adjustment is done through changes in direct taxes and not by SEWT, wage taxes, or SECOLL, payroll taxes ${ }^{5}$.

Of course, the fiscal policy rule can be altered in line with the model users' preferences. I have run the model with adjustments in multiple tax rates and it would also be possible to change government expenditure or transfer payments.

### 2.2.4.2 Monetary policy

[^3]The same goes for monetary policy where it has been an intense discussion in recent years about the specifications of the policy rule. In Sweden there is the inflation target for CPI at
$\frac{\left(\text { SEKPI80 }_{t}-\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-4}\right)}{\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-4}} \cdot 100=2 \pm 1$
where SEKPI80 is the consumer price index with $1980=100$ and here defined for the quarterly data ${ }^{6}$.

It has been argued that the monetary transmission mechanism implies that it takes approximately two years for a change in the policy interest rate to achieve its maximum effect on inflation. Hence, the CB should change the policy rate in response to the two year ahead expected deviation of the actual from the target inflation rate. A smoother that makes the policy rate persistent is most often included and a possible rule then could be

SER3M $_{t}=1.5+0.7{\text { SER } 3 M_{t-1}}+1.4\left[\right.$ SEINF $\left._{t+8}-2\right]$
This simple rule shows that the interest rate is rather persistent, that the response to deviations is fairly large and aims at increasing the real interest rate and that the long run equilibrium interest rate is five percent (hence a three percent real rate). A large number of experiments with this and other types of rules have been done. It is very easy to try out different variants in the model. Presently the following rule rules:

$$
\operatorname{SER}^{2} M_{t}=2.3+0.8 \operatorname{SER}^{2} M_{t-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{10} w_{i}\left[\operatorname{SEINF}_{t+i}-2\right]
$$

with policy weights increasing linearly with the 2,5 year's horizon. The equilibrium rate is about 4.6 percent.

### 2.3 Supply

### 2.3.1 Labour market

The supply side can be described as a price and wage setting model à la Layard-Nickell. In the very simple case such a model has a wage eqution that determines the wage rate at such a high rate that the demand for labour determines the employment rate. A simple production function then determines output while the price level is set as a markup on marginal cost.

To give the reader an intuition about the model properties I show the simplest possible specification (which is different from the BASMOD specification) of such a model. The reader is then referred to the specific parts of the labour market in BASMOD which basically works in the same way.

[^4]
## A Simple Basic Specification

Let the producer wage be $S E W H P \equiv S E W H B(1+S E W T R+S E C O L L R)$. Let the wage equation be represented by the wage share equation

$$
\frac{S E W H P \cdot S E H O U R S \cdot S E E}{0.01 \cdot S E P Y \cdot S E Y}=F(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{U})
$$

i.e. the wage share depends on the unemployment rate. $\alpha$ is an index that measures structural factors in the labour markets, such as the replacement ratio, unemployment insurance, etc. and defined such that it is supposed to have a negative effect on the labour share. Consider then the simple producer price equation

SEPY $=F(\stackrel{+}{\mu}$, SEUUSER, SE $\stackrel{+}{U} L C)$
where $\mu$ is the markup (on marginal costs).
It is assumed that the bargaining system is such that it results in real wages that are high enough to let labour demand determine the total number of hours worked. The demand equation is

$$
S E E=S E H O U R S^{-1} \cdot F\left(\frac{S E W H P}{.01 \cdot S E P Y}, S E \stackrel{+}{ }\right)
$$

while the mean number of hours worked is determined by

$$
\text { SEHOURS }=F\left(\frac{S E \stackrel{+}{W} H C}{.01 \cdot S E C E D}\right)
$$

the real consumer wage rate, where the relation to the producer wage rate is given by

$$
S E W H C=\frac{\left(\frac{S E W H P}{1+S E W T R+S E C O L L R}\right)(1-S E T A X R)}{1+\text { SEITR }}
$$

where SETAXR is the direct tax rate and SEITR is the indirect tax rate from which follows the tax wedge

$$
\text { SEWEDGE }=1-\frac{S E W H C}{S E W H P}=1-\frac{\frac{1-S E T A X R}{1+\text { SEWT +SECOLLR }}}{1+\text { SEITR }}
$$

which at the moment is approximately 70 percent. These equations determine employment while the labour force is determined by the equation

$$
S E L F=F(S E \stackrel{+}{W} H P, S E W \overline{-} D G E, S E \bar{C} E D)
$$

and the rate of unemployment residually by the identity
$S E U \equiv \frac{S E L F-S E E}{S E L F} \equiv 1-\frac{S E E}{S E L F}$

### 2.3.2 The capital stock and investments

The capital stock for the economy as a whole is divided into private and public, SEKP and $S E K G$, respectively. The capital stock is then generated by the investment equations shown above through the stock/flow equations:

SEKP $_{t}=$ SEPSI $_{t}+(1-$ SEKPDEP $) \cdot$ SEKP $_{t-1}$
where $S E P S I=S E B I+S E H I$ and $S E K P D E P$ is the depreciation rate of the private sector capital stock. There is a similar equation for the public capital stock.

### 2.3.3 Production functions, cost functions, potential output and marginal cost

From the equilibrium of the labour and capital markets we can calculate potential output and marginal cost. The price mechanism in which prices rise as the economy overheats and fall in a downturn generally depends only on marginal cost and not on the output gap unless in the special case of a Cobb-Douglas technology. However, the Cobb-Douglas technology implies constant factor shares and hence is in conflict with the labour market model above.
Discarding the Cobb-Douglas technology also implies that the output gap does not enter the price equation (cannot be substituted for marginal cost). Therefore in deriving demand for labour and marginal cost I have used alternative technologies.

Fairly standard is the CES production function:

$$
S E Y=\gamma\left[S E C A P S H \cdot(S E K P+S E K G)^{-\rho}+(1-\text { SECAPSH })\left((\text { SEE } \cdot \text { SEHOURS }) \exp ^{\lambda t}\right)^{-\rho}\right]^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}
$$

where SECAPSH is the capital share of output, $\gamma$ is a scale parameter and $\rho$ a parameter that determines the functional form. The elasticity of substitution is $\sigma=\frac{1}{1+\rho} \cdot \lambda$ determines the rate at which labour-augmenting technical progress proceed. From this production function we can derive potential output and an output gap by using the steady state values of capital and labour.

The parameters of the above production function can be estimated/calibrated from the labour demand function - which then must include a time trend to capture the labour-augmenting technical progress. In the CES function the parameter $\rho$ determines the functional form and is calibrated to -1.64 and $\gamma$ is calibrated to 1.52 . The rate of technical progress and the elasticity of substitution are estimated from the labour demand equation.

There is also a cost function corresponding to the production function, which in this case is

SETC $=\gamma^{-1} \cdot$ SEY $\left[S E C A P S H^{\sigma} \cdot \text { SEUSER }^{1-\sigma}+(1-\text { SECAPSH })^{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{S E W H P}{\exp ^{\lambda t}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$
where SETC is total cost. The marginal cost can be derived as

$$
S E M C=\frac{\partial S E T C}{\partial S E Y}=F(S E \stackrel{+}{U} S E R, S E \stackrel{+}{W} H P, S E \stackrel{+}{P} M A, S \stackrel{+}{S E} Y, \stackrel{-}{T})
$$

which gives the equilibrating mechanism since - as shown below - the price level is determined by marginal cost. Also the input demand equations, for instance for labour demand can be derived as

$$
S E E=S E H O U R S^{-1} \frac{\partial S E T C}{\partial S E W H P}=S E H O U R S^{-1} F\left(S E U^{+} S E R, S E W \stackrel{-}{W} H P, S E \stackrel{+}{P} M A, S E \stackrel{+}{S E} Y, \stackrel{+-}{T}\right)
$$

Two points are worth mentioning. Since the input demand functions and the marginal cost functions are derived from the same cost function they will share the same parameters and those parameters should be identified in the econometric estimation by using cross-equation restrictions in the simultaneous estimation of price and input demand equations. That procedure allows the parameters of both the production and the cost function to be identified.

The above example uses the CES production function and derives potential output and the output gap and the latter can be included in the producer price equation. A drawback is the very simple form of technical progress that is assumed. A case where a flexible functional form is used (the generalised Translog form) and where the technical progress is endogenised and due to the education levels of the employed is used as an alternative. This is derived and estimated in the AMOD model and is presented in Appendix 3. It has also been implemented in BASMOD but is presently not the default. See the BASMOD Web Site for news and more details.

Hence, potential output will be determined by the same factors as the equilibrium rate of unemployment and in addition the labour force and the labour productivity. Potential output will grow in line with labour productivity and the labour force and be affected positively by the degree of competitiveness in the markets. This can be illustrated with a simpler version of the model ${ }^{7}$.

[^5]In deriving marginal cost, demand for inputs and possibly potential GDP and the output gap it seems crucial to apply a suitable functional form. The Cobb-Douglas form is a very restrictive form in which it is assumed that the elasticity of substitution $\sigma=1$ whereas in the CES it is assumed to be constant. The Cobb-Douglas form implies that the cost shares are constant, a prediction which is very clearly rejected by the Swedish data ${ }^{8}$, both in the short and in the long run.

With less restrictive functional forms the elasticity of substitution is allowed to vary. In addition to the CES form above two alternatives is the generalised Leontief function or the Translog model. The (generalised non-homothetic) Translog cost function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log S E T C=\log Y+a_{0}+a_{w} \log (S E W H P / A)+a_{k} \log S E U S E R+ \\
& .5\left[b_{11} \log (S E W H P / A)^{2}+b_{12} \log (S E W H P / A) \cdot \log S E U S E R+\right. \\
& \left.b_{21} \log (S E W H P / A) \cdot \log S E U S E R+b_{22} \log S E U S E R^{2}\right]+ \\
& g_{w y} \log (S E W H P / A) \cdot \log Y+g_{k y} \log S E U S E R \cdot \log Y
\end{aligned}
$$

and this cost function is used to generate marginal costs and demand for labour in shares form. $A$ is a labour-augmenting technological factor which is either a time trend as above or depends on certain factors, such as educational levels in the AMOD model. Both types of technological factors have been estimated. The educational model estimates $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ as the effects of high school $\left(D_{1}\right)$ and university education ( $D_{2}$ ) on productivity in

$$
A=1+\delta_{1} D_{1}+\delta_{2} D_{2}
$$

in a simultaneous equation Translog model where marginal cost (producer price setting) and the demand for labour and capital is estimated simultaneously with theoretical constraints imposed on the parameters in the cost function above ${ }^{9}$. Using this model I estimated the following time series for $A$ :
$S E Y^{\text {pot }}=A\left[\operatorname{SELF}\left(1-\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\right)\right]$, which means that potential output depends on labour productivity, the labour force, structural factors in the labour market and on the degree of competition in product markets.
${ }^{8}$ This means that the demand for labour is $\frac{\partial \log \text { SETC }}{\partial \log \text { SEWHP }}=\frac{\text { SEWHP } \cdot \text { SEE } \cdot \text { SEHOURS }}{\text { SEPY } \cdot \text { SEY }}=1-$ SECAPSH , which
is a constant in the Cobb-Douglas model. For the last 10 years the coefficient of variation is about the same for the general price level as for the labour share.
${ }^{9}$ The employed are normalized for primary school education.


Diagram 2.3. Estimated $A=1+\delta_{1} D_{1}+\delta_{2} D_{2}$ for 1981-2002.

It shows that the labour-augmenting technological progress on average is 2.2 percent per year for the period 1981-2002.

A positive technology shock would typically increase the $A$-factor and effective labour hours, lower the shadow wage rate, increase labour demand, the actual real wage rate and output.

### 2.3.4 Price formation

The price system is a little bit more complicated than in the basic model used for illustrative purposes above. Rather than using the GDP deflator alone prices are built from producer to consumer prices. Producer prices are assumed to follow the standard model and be based on a markup on marginal cost, while consumer prices are based on markups on producer prices.

I now describe the price system in more detail. I use the profit function and the cost function. Assuming firms are monopolists we can derive the first-order condition as

$$
S E P P=F(\stackrel{+}{M C}, \stackrel{+}{\mu})
$$

where

SEMC $\equiv F(S E Y, S E W H P, S E U S E R, S E P M A, T) \equiv \frac{\partial C(S E Y, S E W H P, S E U S E R, S E P M A, T)}{\partial S E Y}$
is marginal cost and $C$ is the cost function. For the competitive firm $S E P P=M C$. We can also use the general form

SEPP $=\left[1-\frac{V}{\varepsilon}\right]^{-1} F\left(\stackrel{+}{E E} Y, S E \stackrel{+}{W} H P, S E \stackrel{U}{U}^{+} S E R, S E \stackrel{+}{P} M A,-\bar{T}\right)$
where $0 \leq V \leq 1$ is an index showing the degree of competition. The price level depends on the degree of competition, the price elasticity of demand, the output level, the wage rate, the efficiency index and the user cost of capital.

The rate of unemployment and the level of output is essentially the same in equilibrium as in the simple model.

Output can be defined in terms of gross output rather than value added. Then the production function instead is defined in terms of energy and raw materials prices as well. One would then typically end up with a price equation of the form
$S E P P=\left[1-\frac{+}{V}\right]^{-1} F(S \stackrel{+}{\varepsilon} Y, S E \stackrel{+}{W} H P, S E \stackrel{+}{U} S E R, S E \stackrel{+}{P} M A)$
where SEPMA is an import price index for oil prices, metal prices, food prices and prices on imported manufactured goods.

The private consumption deflator is determined by

$$
S E C E D=F(\stackrel{+}{S E P P})
$$

and the consumer price index - the target variable for the Riksbank - is

$$
\text { SEKPI80 }=F\left(\text { SECED, } \stackrel{\text { SE }}{ }_{+}^{P P}, \text { SESK } \stackrel{+}{K} W, \text { SE } \stackrel{+}{V} A R\right)
$$

where SESKEW is the skewness of relative price changes and SEVAR the variance of relativeprice changes. The underlying inflation rate is determined by

$$
\text { SEUND1X }=F\left(\text { SEKPI80 }^{+}\right)
$$

The specifications are done such that relative prices tend to be constant in the long run but allowing them to deviate substantially in the short run.

### 2.3.5 Inflation and Price Rigidity

It is well-known that prices tend to adjust with a considerable delay, such that it takes time to achieve the long run price levels described above. Therefore, the equation $\operatorname{SEPP}=F(M C, \mu)$
describes the equilibrium price level in the long run, while the short run adjustment requires some special treatment.

In the new Keynesian models it is common to use a model for short run pricing emanating from Calvo (1983). In that model there are a number of identical firms where each firm has an exogenous probability $1-\theta$ for its price to change. This probability is assumed to be independent of the time since the most recent observed price change. It can be shown that the price level then is determined by
$P_{t}=\theta P_{t-1}+(1-\theta) P_{t}^{*}$
where the optimal price $P_{t}^{*}$ depends on the expected future marginal cost and inflation is a function of unobservable variables. According to Bårdsen et al (2004) this Phillips curve can be operationalised as
$\pi_{t}=\eta_{1} \pi_{t+1}+\eta_{2} x_{t}$
where $x_{t}$ is some approximation to contemporaneous marginal costs. Bårdsen et al show that the econometric difficulties embodied in the model are huge and it seems that there is no universally acceptable solution to these problems.

However, some alternatives are available, one of which takes into account the distribution of relative price changes, as suggested by Ball and Mankiw $(1994,1995)$ for the United States with an empirical application for Sweden in Assarsson (2004). Their theory suggests that one should include higher moments of relative price changes - variance and skewness - in the specification of Phillips curves. These variables reflect the effects of short run price rigidity and hence accounts for the short run adjustment to the long run equilibrium relationships where prices basically depend on marginal cost.

Contrary to the pricing in the Calvo model - time dependent pricing - in Ball and Mankiw prices are state dependent. Firms face costs for adjusting prices. Then relative shocks appear in the markets and induce firms to adjust their nominal prices. If the distribution of relative prices - which is almost synonymous to relative shocks according to Ball and Mankiw (1995) - is positively skewed firms with large positive relative price changes adjust their prices while a number of firms with small negative relative price changes keep their prices constant. Hence, the positive skewness of relative price changes increases the inflation rate in the short run. If the distribution of relative price changes instead was symmetric price increases would be counterbalanced by decreases. It can also be shown that an increase in the variance of relative price changes may increase inflation and that it may reinforce the positive relationship between skewness and the mean.

Diagram 2.4 below shows that for a distribution of relative-price changes there is a range of inaction for which prices are not change since the marginal benefit of changing the price (approaching the optimal price) is lower than the marginal cost of changing the price. For a positively skewed distribution the price increases outweigh the price decreases and add on the rate of inflation.


Diagram 2.4. The variance and skewness of relative price changes.
In the upper part of the diagram the distribution is symmetric and in the lower part positively skewed. The diagram shows that the range of action for price setters has a larger tail in the positive than in the negative region and that this effect is magnified by an increase in the variance.

Assarsson (2004) shows that estimated Phillips curves gain significantly from the inclusion of the distribution measures, skewness, lagged skewness, variance and the product of skewness and variance. When forecasting skewness and variance of relative-price changes presently I use a separate VAR model for that task. Further evaluations are done with respect to the forecasting performance.

### 2.4 The long run steady state and the short run dynamics

### 2.4.1 General

The long run equilibrium is not computed analytically but rather numerically. The long run values for real and nominal variables can be computed and they should turn out reasonable. The relationships are not consistently derived from microeconomic principles though it can be shown that the specifications in many instances could have been derived from a specific utility, cost or production function for a representative agent. But this is not done completely though the model is coherent and derived from macroeconomic principles.

Specifications are mostly done in terms of the well-known error correction model, such that both the short run dynamics (from relationships in differences) and the long run relationships (from relationships in levels) are captured in the macroeconomic time series data. Since the focus in monetary policy is on the forecast 1-3 years ahead, clearly the short run dynamics is of great importance.

Mostly the stochastic equations are estimated by single equations methods like OLS or TSLS, often with an MA term to capture the effects of temporal aggregation. Sometimes, as with the disaggregated consumption model (an option in the model) a systems method is used.

To specify the error correction model often a ARDL (autoregressive distributed lag) model is used from which the long run relationship is derived, possibly with parameter restrictions derived from economic theory (like homogeneity in the consumption function or the producer price equation). Otherwise, the equation is specified with the dependent variable in difference form but including both differences and levels on the right-hand side. A comparison with these methods and the more common Johansen method was done while specifying the investment model (see Assarsson, Berg and Jansson (2004)) and showed that the conclusion (the preferred investment model) based on the Johansen method were basically identical in all three methods.

Economic policy is designed in terms of policy rules and significantly contributes to make the model coherent and converge to a reasonable equilibrium. Both monetary policy (the interest rate) with an inflation target and fiscal policy (direct taxes) with a target for the budget deficit acts on shocks to stabilize the economy. As shown by Barrell (1996) and Barrell and Sefton (1997) fiscal policy is important for the stabilizing properties in this type of model.

### 2.4.2 Forecasts in the long run

In this section I show the long run solutions for a number of variables, divided into the balance of resources and its components, productivity growth, labour market variables, price variables and financial variables.

## Balance of resources



Diagram 2.5. Solow growth accounting according to recent forecast. 1980-2015. Forecast starts in 2004:4. Annual percentage growth rates. The "consensus" long run growth rate is marked at 2.2.

|  | 1980-1992 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | TFP+Labour+ <br> Capital |  |  |
| Mean | 0.360915 | 0.591918 | 1.523621 |
| Median | 0.192398 | 1.060277 | 2.013968 |
| Maximum | 5.320584 | 5.689865 | 6.503283 |
| Minimum | -2.984541 | -3.861833 | -3.234164 |
| Std. Dev. | 1.415281 | 1.904188 | 1.931425 |
|  |  | $1993-2004$ |  |
| Mean | 1.729706 | 1.786139 | 2.509993 |
| Median | 2.038554 | 2.117008 | 2.872337 |
| Maximum | 3.572127 | 4.883761 | 5.411600 |
| Minimum | -2.660097 | -5.875286 | -5.308526 |
| Std. Dev. | 1.255942 | 2.013696 | 2.041012 |
|  |  | $2005-2015$ |  |
| Mean | 1.173841 | 1.408395 | 2.084055 |
| Median | 1.188203 | 1.455968 | 2.159093 |
| Maximum | 2.420824 | 2.335585 | 2.926262 |
| Minimum | 0.618368 | 0.687395 | 1.192033 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.236839 | 0.238276 | 0.267336 |

Table 2.1. Solow growth accounting during different periods. Annual percentage growth rates. Accumulated for TFP (total factor productivity), TFP + contribution from growth in hours worked and TFP + contribution from growth in hours worked+ contribution from growth in capital stock.

Diagram 2.5 and table 2.1 show the historical development and the forecast for the GDP growth rate and decomposed with Solow growth accounting. In the long run GDP growth is in line with the consensus rate 2.2 percent. The decomposition shows that productivity growth is 1.2 percent, labour growth 0.3 percent and capital stock growth 0.7 percent which is slightly lower than the growth rates during the past 10 years, particularly in TFP growth rates.


Diagram 2.6. GDP components as shares of GDP. Percent. 1980-2015.

Diagram 2.6 and table 2.2 show the development of GDP from the demand side, but now in terms of the components of the balance of resources as shares of GDP (in real terms). As can be seen, household expenditures are expected to increase in the long run, though there is a downturn the coming 5 years. Private investments is expected to increase its share of GDP and that is also the case for the private sector as a whole. The exports and imports shares show that the world markets are expected to increase.

|  | Household expenditure | Private invesments | 1980-1992 <br> Government expenditure | Exports | Imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 52.57923 | 15.71322 | 34.81899 | 25.10798 | 25.92544 |
| Median | 52.56864 | 15.32027 | 34.80521 | 25.63318 | 26.02024 |
| Maximum | 56.43506 | 18.89492 | 36.62350 | 27.80412 | 29.45749 |
| Minimum | 50.15258 | 13.58679 | 33.07519 | 20.20148 | 22.48700 |
| Std. Dev. | 1.275547 | 1.594745 | 1.095422 | 1.892384 | 2.157333 |
|  |  |  | 1993-2004 |  |  |
| Mean | 49.33649 | 13.38168 | 31.63563 | 41.15986 | 35.62936 |
| Median | 49.10199 | 13.46391 | 31.60612 | 42.48126 | 37.38167 |
| Maximum | 52.15408 | 15.20960 | 37.40949 | 50.26596 | 41.16661 |
| Minimum | 47.61778 | 11.29061 | 28.12383 | 29.13048 | 27.75198 |
| Std. Dev. | 1.105894 | 1.107155 | 2.614730 | 6.043593 | 3.980939 |
|  |  |  | 2005-2015 |  |  |
| Mean | 48.01488 | 15.03718 | 28.47202 | 61.73119 | 54.30188 |
| Median | 47.98316 | 15.14050 | 28.52068 | 61.43841 | 53.77766 |
| Maximum | 48.79058 | 15.24914 | 28.58082 | 73.99727 | 67.97762 |
| Minimum | 47.58107 | 14.19330 | 28.12729 | 50.40858 | 41.33157 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.340242 | 0.242252 | 0.120480 | 6.932410 | 7.499171 |

Table 2.2. Components of the balance of resources as shares of GDP in 2000 prices during different time periods.

## Prices



Diagram 2.7 Consumer prices and the GDP deflator. Annual percentage growth rates. 19802015.

Diagram 2.7 shows the historical developments and the forecasts for consumer price inflation and the growth rate of the GDP deflator. Growth rates are approximately 2 percent in the long
run so that relative prices are approximately constant in the long run and consumer prices adjust to the inflation target.

## Labour market



Diagram 2.8. Labour market variables. 1980-2015. All variables except the unemployment rate in annual percentage growth rates.

The historical developments and the forecast for labour market variables are shown in diagram 2.8. The rate of unemployment is expected to increase sligthly from the present level and then revert to approximately the same level. Hence, the long run level is lower than the high levels around 1995 but higher than the low levels in the 80ies. Of course, this depends on the developments of the other labour market variables in the diagram.


Diagram 2.9. Financial market flow variables. Annual percentage growth rates. 1980-2015.
The growth rates of the equity price index and the house price index are expected to decline to lower levels in the nearest future, as shown in diagram 2.9. Both are functions of the growth rate of nominal GDP and the rate of interest. Note that it is only house prices that are expected to decline. The forecast implies an increasing price level until 2006, then a decline to the levels around 2003 until 2010. The price level then increases and reverts to the 2006 level in about 2015. The short term interest rate increases from its present level of 2 percent to the long run equilibrium level around 4.5 percent. There are small changes in the nominal effective exchange rate.


Diagram 2.10. Selected stock variables. Mn SEK. 2000 prices. 1980-2015.
Finally, diagram 2.10 shows a selection of stock variables. These should converge to some reasonable steady state values within a reasonable time span. The steady state values corresponds with the empirical specifications of certain flow variables. For instance, the current balance in the upper left corner wouldn't converge to a reasonable value unless the four export and import equations were reasonably well specified. The real net wealth variable is a key determinant of household expenditure part of which build the car stock in the lower right corner. Wealth and capital accumulation hence proceed reasonably in case the flow of expenditure on car sales and other consumption items are well specified. This seems to be the case throughout. Hence, the short run forecasts for 2-3 years ahead are probably not to badly affected by the long run equilibrium in the model.

### 2.4.3 The short run dynamics

Most equations are modeled in error correction form, such that both the short run adjustment and the long run equilibrium are reflected in the specifications. While the long run relationships are based on economic theory, the short run adjustments are more left for the data to decide about. However, sometimes economic theory also can say something relatively decisive about the short, as for instance in the consumer price equations where the Ball and Mankiw (1995) theory about price rigidities and relative prices is used to explain the short run price adjustment in the CPI.

The specifications are mostly done by using cointegration methods to decide about the long run relationships. However, sometimes long run relationships are imposed if it can be shown that this improves the overall performance of the model, for instance guarantees long run stability. The short run adjustments and the long run equilibrium can be estimated separately (as in the Engle-Granger case) or simultaneously (as in the Johansen methodology). I have sometimes used the latter. But I have also used methods suggested by Pesaran and Shin $(1998,2002)$ using an autoregressive distributed lag model for the long run modeling, then deriving the long run solution for integration with the short run modeling. I have also used the method suggested by Pesaran and Shin (2000) in which a single equation method is used with
variables in difference as well as levels form and simultaneous estimation of the short and long run. Hence, I haven't followed the same route in every case but used the method that was most suitable for the case at hand.

With this empirical approach to the short run modeling the short run adjustments are best studied by inspecting the simulations and forecasts and the specifications shown in Appendix 1.

### 2.5 Relation to sectoral experts

The structure of the model has been designed in accordance with the focus of the Riksbank and its organization with sectoral experts for a wide range of areas and the inherent paradigm of particularly the Board of Governors. I think this paradigm is very much influenced by macroeconomics as it is tought in today's universities, possibly with some specific recent developments concerning monetary policy. This view is also reflected in the work by sectoral experts and in the specific models they use.

In many central banks and finance ministries large-scale models are used to check the consistency of the sectoral experts' forecasts. The specifications in the large-scale model are then confronted with the specifications used by the sectoral experts. It seems valuable if this confrontation is fruitful to the extent that either (parts of) the big model or the sectoral models or both could be improved.

To some extent this is one of the results with BASMOD. Equations for private consumption expenditure, business investments, and for exports and imports of goods and services have been developed and are presently approximately the same in BASMOD as with the sectoral experts. If a sectoral expert improves a model it can probably be tested and integrated in BASMOD and vice versa. This possibility is of course not at hand in all models, notably not in e.g. VAR models.

A typical example where communication between the model builder(s) and the sectoral expert (s) is important concerns the monetary transmission mechanism. The effects of interest rate changes depend on the parameterization in the big vs. the sectoral models. The transmission in the big model should appeal to the sectoral experts. In case it doesn't there is a scope for discussion and possibly improvements. It is not practice if the models live their own lives with there own transmission mechanisms without being confronted with other models used for the same purposes (which is too often the case). Examples are the effects on household expenditure or private investment expenditure from changes in the interest rate or the effects on exchange rates and domestic price levels. These important effects should reasonably be of the same order of magnitude in the different models and they surely will be iff the models are confronted with each other.

## 3. User manual

### 3.1 How to Get Started

Download the model from
S:\APP\APP Modellenheten\BASMOD\BASE\0402\basmod0402.wf1
to your appropriate directory and start Eviews. Click on Model in the workfile and the following screen appears


To the left we have the Workfile window and to the right the Model window. There are different views in the Model window and it is the Equations view that appears above. From the Equations view you can view the equations, add shocks or exogenise variables. In the Variables view

you can view the variables, create diagrams and tables. Note the Filter/Sort and Dependencies buttons in the upper left of the window. There you can sort the variables according to type (like exogenous, endogenous) or show which variables X depends on or variables that depend on X.

We now click on the Solve button to get


Click on Solver to get

where you click on Previous period's solution and on Constant growth rate. Then you go back to the Basic Options sheet and click OK. The model solves Scenario 2 and you're on to it running BASMOD.

You can view the results by viewing the variables for Scenario 2, e.g. @pcy(sey_2) which is the annual growth rate for GDP in Scenario 2. You can also view the results in the Variables
view, where you can create graphs and tables and transform the variables, e.g. from levels to annual growth rates.

### 3.2 The setup in Eviews

It is useful to be aware of the basic concepts in Eviews. To begin with you start with a so called Workfile which is a file in Windows operating system with extension .wf1. Eviews also recognises program files with extension .prg and text files with extensions .txt. However, operations take place within the workfile where you refer to various Objects. Eviews deals primarily with time series and consequently one object is Series. The variables in BASMOD are Series. The different Eviews objects that are used in BASMOD and stored in the Workfile can be listed:

- Series
- Scalar
- Coef
- Group
- Graph
- Text
- Equation
- System
- VAR
- Sspace
- Model

You open an object by double-clicking on the object in the Workfile. The object then opens in a new window. The exception is the Scalar object that only shows its value in the lower left corner of the Workfile window. It has a yellow icon with a \#. The Coef object is a coefficient vector and has a yellow icon with a $\beta$. A group is a number of objects, presumably a number of Series and is shown by a yellow icon with a G. There are also Graph, Text and Table objects.
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Then there are the objects

- Equation
- System
- VAR
- Sspace
- Model

The Equation object shows a single estimated equation. The equation can use different estimators and can be linear or nonlinear. The System object refers to a system of estimated equations which also can use different estimators and be linear or nonlinear. The VAR object is a special case of System that uses certain predefined procedures to produce impulse responses, variance decompositions, etc. The SS or Sspace object is a state space model in which you can define models with time varying parameters.

The Model object is a system of equations which can be solved for the endogenous variables given the values of the exogenous variables. The model can be static or dynamic and deterministic or stochastic. The model is written down in a text file which is contained in the Model object, i.e. you can start a new model object and write it down it the text module of the object and then save it. Identities such as the GDP identity
$S E Y=S E C+S E G C+S E I+S E X-S E M+S E D S$
is simply written down in the text module of the Model object, while estimated equations are linked to the model. The aggregate consumption function is named ekc and the text module may then look like

- This is the consumption function and the GDP identity
:ekc
$S E Y=S E C+S E G C+S E I+S E X-S E M+S E D S$
in the text module. A comment line is preceded by the '. The linking is done by the colon :
It is easy to change the specification in the model by reestimating or replacing equations. If you have an alternative consumption function you just replace ekc with the name of the alternative equation.

Let's have a closer look into the Model object.


Above we see two open windows, the Workfile to the left and the Model to the right (the model object is named Model). There are 10 buttons by which you can change between different views.

The equations button lets you view and get summary information about the equations of the model, which is shown below.


Here the dependencies are shown in the same form as above, e.g. sexshare $=F($ sex,sey $)$ shows that the contribution of exports to GDP growth - sexshare - depends on exports - sex - and GDP - sey. Estimated equations are shown with a blue $=$ icon and equations that are definitions or identities are shown with a green txt icon.

If you click on one of the equations, e.g. the EKMG2 for imports of goods, you find information about the equation under the Equation tag; the estimated parameters and the standard error of the regression reported in the lower right corner.


The other two tags - Endogenous and Add Factors - are useful when running simulations and forecasts - see section 3.4 below.

An alternative way to inspect the equation is to click on EKMG2 in the workfile window. The equation view then appears and a lot of detailed information about the specification is available. Of course, you can then perform various econometric tests with the equation, inspect residuals, etc. You can also re-estimate the equation and link it to the model.

| [9, EViews - [Equation: EKMG2 Workfile: BASMOD 041220\Untiled] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Eile Edit Qbiect View Proc Quick Options Window Help |  |  |  |  |
| View\| Proc|Object| Print| Name|Freeze| | Estimate\| Forec | $\operatorname{cost} \mid \text { Stats } \mid \text { Re }$ |  |  |
| Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEMG) |  |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 0660904 Time: 00:00 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample(adjusted): $1980: 32003: 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 93 after adjusting endpoints |  |  |  |  |
| Convergence achieved after 12 iterations |  |  |  |  |
| Backcast 1980:2 |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Eror | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | -2.547376 | 0.600005 | -4.245593 | 0.0001 |
| DLOG(SEMG(-1) | 0.074817 | 0.062351 | 1.199918 | 0.2335 |
| DLOG(SEREFEX) | -0.140432 | 0.072129 | -1.946968 | 0.0548 |
| DLOG(SEREFEX(-1)) | -0.109618 | 0.071343 | -1.536490 | 0.1281 |
| DLOG(SETFE) | 2.574827 | 0.172807 | 14.90004 | 0.0000 |
| LOG(SEMG(-1))-MGTFE*LOG(SETF... | -0.214964 | 0.050731 | $-4.237370$ | 0.0001 |
| MA(1) | -0.201847 | 0.132404 | -1.524480 | 0.1311 |
| R -squared | 0.720718 | Mean depen | dent var | 0.010046 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.701233 | S.D. depende | nt var | 0.035464 |
| S. . of regression | 0.019385 | Akaike info | niterion | -4.976394 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.032315 | Schwarz crit | enon | $-4.785768$ |
| Log likelihood | 238.4023 | F-statistic |  | 36.98866 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.992122 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.000000 |
| Inverted MA Roots | 20 |  |  |  |

Return to the main menu and click on the Text button. The model as "it is actually written" appears in the window. Here you can revise the model by changing the text and linking various equations, systems of equations, VARs or state space models. For instance, in BASMOD some foreign variables are exogenous but if you prefer you can estimate a VAR named Foreign with the foreign variables and link it to the model through

## :Foreign

and then run the model with the foreign variables as endogenous. Similarly, if you believe that the effect of wealth on private consumption changes over time you can estimate the consumption function in the state space form with the name Change and link it to the model by
:Change

```
MEViews - [Mode: MODEL Workfile: BASMOD 041220\Untile\
Miew Proc| Object| Print|Name| Freeze Solve| Equations|Variables Text
MANDELSEKVATIONER
VAROR
EKXG4
MM
EKMGI2
EKMG2
TJANSTER
EKXSS
SEMSER = (SEMS * .01 * SECED) /SERX
EKM
INNOV SEMS 0.0298613
SEXSER = (SEXS *.01 * SECED) /SERX
ECSHARE ={(sec - sec(-4) * 100)/ /eye}(-4
SEISHARE =([sei - seij-4) * 100)/ /sey(-4)
EGCSHARE ={(segc - segc(-4)) * 100)/ sey\-4)
SEGSHARE =((segc + segi - segc(-4) - segi(-4))*100)/ sey(-4)
SEXSHARE ={(sex - sex (-4) * 100)/ sey(-4)
SEMSHARE = ((sem - sem(-4)) * 100) / sey(-4)
ENXSHARE = (<(sex - sex (-4)) -(sem - sem(-4)) * 100) / seyy(-4)
EXPORTPRISINDEX
EKPXG2
KPxOI
OINNOV SEPXGI 0.0137318
EKPXSI
ONNOY SEPXSI 0.009202
EKPMGI
@INNOV SEPMGI 0.012313
EPMG = C05577195 * USPXG + .03293201 *JPPXG + 21025142 * GEPXG +.05915811 * FRPXG +.03828789 *ITPXG +.11362429 * UKPXG +.00292139 * CNPXG + 03618538* BGPXG +.05017263 * NLPXG +.01241590 *SPPXG
*)
*)
EKPMSI
EKPMS
```

To view the objects in the model you can simply click on them in the workfile window. In the workfile window you can also store some objects that are presently not part of the model, perhaps in the event of using them later. An alternative is to view the model objects through the Variables button in the Model view:


There are three types of variables in the model, endogenous, exogenous and add factors (which are also exogenous). You can sort the variables according to that or in alphabetical order by series name. Click on Filter/Sort:

and you can choose how to sort the variables according to name, type or equation number. Another useful tool is to find out about the dependencies between the variables. Find the SEC variable which is private consumption expenditure, highlight it and then Click on the Dependencies button to get


Click on the Down button and you can find out which variables that private consumption expenditure depends on, i.e. on total real net wealth and real private disposable income:


If we instead Click on the Up button we can find out which variables SEC affects:


Within the Model view we can also view the variables in graphs and tables. Double-Click on two variables, SEBI and SEC. to open them (in a Group window). Choose the View button and you can show graphs and create tables. Choose Dated Data Table to create a table and click on the Tab Options button to get the Table Options menu.


Here you can transform the variables from levels to quarterly or annualised percentage changes or to one year percentage changes which is very useful.

## Solving the model

To solve the model you click on the Solve button in the main menu of the Model view to get


Among the Basic Options are to choose between determinstic or stochastic and dynamic or static solutions. Since the model is forward-looking it cannot be solved in the stochastic mode in Eviews. Normally, you would also choose the dynamic option, though the static mode can be used to evaluate the model's fit within the sample. A solution of the model always creates a numbered scenario and the solved variables given the extension _6 in the above example e.g. SEY_6 for GDP in this example. The Solver tag gives further options:


Since the model is solved forward some solution must be reached for the forward-looking variables beyond the forecast horizon. For instance, if the model is solved until 2018:4 and wages depend on the expected prices four quarter ahead there must be a solution for prices up to 2019:4. This can be solved by assuming a constant growth rate from 2018:4 and onward or by giving exogenous values for the terminal year (User supplied in Actuals). I normally run the model with the former option, as in the example above.

Click on OK, the model runs and you probably get a message like

You can then study the outcome for Scenario 6, possibly comparing with some other scenarios.

### 3.3 Data

Data are quarterly and mainly collected from the Nigem database, which is updated four times a year. The exogenous variables are mainly foreign variables. BASMOD uses the forecasts of Nigem for the foreign variables. Appendix A2.3 describes how to update the data base in BASMOD from the data base in Nigem. In addition, some variables are updated "manually" as they appear more frequently or are so important that they are updated prior to the update of Nigem. The manually updated variables are

- National accounts balance of resources
- Disaggregated private consumption data
- Some labour market data from APP
- Financial data like interest rates and equity prices
- Consumer prices
- House prices
- Miscellaneous data such as housing starts, confidence indicators, etc.

Some of the data are annual, such as tax revenues, and are transformed to quarterly data. Some data are seasonally adjusted by Niesr in case collected from the Nigem data base and often the adjustment then has been done by the statistic authority - Statistics Sweden mostly but occasionally also by Niesr. Some variables - such as the components of investments have been seasonally adjusted in Eviews - with the X12 historical method (see Eviews for details). The list of variables can be found in Appendix 2.

### 3.4 How to make forecasts and simulations

## Conditional forecasts in APP

Making a forecast with BASMOD is creating a scenario by solving the model, as shown above. Often simulations are conditional - e.g. on a constant policy rate of interest. Let us look at a typical forecast as done in the APP at the Riksbank. We are about to make a forecast for the Policy Report in 2004:4. We have data up to 2004:2 for the National Accounts and for interest rates and some financial variables until 2004:3. We run three separate forecasts depending on assumptions on the repo interest rate: constant until 2009, following the implicit market rate of interest and being endogenous in the model.

We start the forecast in 2004:3, i.e. assume that in general data until 2004:2 are available. However for some variables data are available also for 2004:3. We then exogenise these variables for 2004:3. For SEHW, housing wealth, this is done by clicking the Equations button in the Model view and then open the SEHW equation EKHW, with the Endogenous tag:


Exogenise SEHW for 2004:3 and run the forecast from the same period:


Do the same for other variables with the most recent data, perhaps even for 2004:4 for some variables.

To run the forecasts conditional on monetary policy we use different interest rate rules. The endogenous rule is the most simple. We use the following rule written in to the Text view of the Model object:

```
SER3M = .67 * SER3M(-1) + 1.5 + 1.2 *((1 / 55) * @PCY(SEKPI8O(+1)) - 2) + (2 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+2)) - 2) + (3/55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+3)) - 2) + (4 /55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+4)) - 2) + (5/55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+5)) - 2) +(6 /55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+6)) - 2) + (7 /55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+7)) - 2) + (8/55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+8)) - 2) + (9 / 55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+9)) - 2) + (10 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+10)) - 2))
```

which says that the short run 3 months interest rate is set with respect to deviations of the expected from the target rate of 2 percent inflation up to 10 quarters ahead. Next, we run the model with the constant interest rate up to 2008. We then set SER3M to the present level 2.01 in the data and exogenise the above equation:


Using the implicit forward rates we simply create the series SER3MIT and solve the model accordingly. This is simply accomplished with these lines

```
'SER3M = .67 * SER3M(-1) + 1.5 + 1.2 * ((1 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+1)) - 2) + (2 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+2)) - 2) + (3 / 55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+3)) - 2) + (4 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+4)) - 2) + (5 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+5)) - 2) + (6 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+6)) - 2) + (7 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+7)) - 2) + (8 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+8)) - 2) + (9 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+9)) - 2) + (10 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+10)) - 2))
SER3M=SER3MIT
```

where the ' sign rules out the previous interest rate rule.

## Simulations

Simulations show how one or more shocks affect the outcome of all the variables in the model. Shocks can be imposed in many different ways. For the historical data period(s), shocks appear as residuals from the econometric estimations. When you run a forecast or simulation it can be stochastic and normally errors will be drawn from distriubutions inferred from the errors of the estimations with historical data. Errors for the forecast period can also be so called add factors which may emanate from the desire to affect the forecasts by judgements or to adjust the forecasts from anomalies. Forecasts in BASMOD are generally run without add factors and the forecasts are deterministic in the sense that all residuals in the forecast period are assumed to be equal to their historical means, i.e. zero. However, the user may very well use add factors but should then note that it affects how shocks should be inferred in the model.

Divide shocks into four different categories:

|  | Endogenous | Exogenous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permanent | I | III |
| Transitory | II | IV |

For any endogenous variable $y$ suppose
$y_{t}=a+b x_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}$
where $a$ and $b$ are parameters, $x_{t}$ is a variable that explains $y$ and $\varepsilon_{t}$ is a disturbance term, an add factor in the Eviews language. A transformed dynamic version could typically be
$\Delta \log y_{t}=\theta+\phi \Delta \log \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}+\gamma \Delta \log y_{t-1}+\delta\left[\log y_{t-1}-b^{\prime} \log \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}-1}\right]+\varepsilon_{t}^{\prime}$
Hence, usually $\varepsilon_{t}=0$. A shock is introduced in the forecast period by just adding an add factor different from zero.

Click on the Add Factors tag and the following window appears in which you click on the Equation intercept (residual shift) followed by a click on Initialize Acitve Add Factor:


You are then prompted to initialize the add factor - in this example for the imports of goods in the following window:


By clicking on So the this equation has No Residuals at ACTUALS you create the add factor SEMG_A which is an exogenous variable in the model and is equal to zero for the forecast period 2004:3 - 2018:4. Now you can edit this variable. Since the imports of goods equation is estimated in logarithmic form you can add a temporary one percent shock in the imports of goods in 2004:3 by opening the SEMG_A variable and add 0.01 in that period:


You can edit the add factor in a variety of ways to create the type of shock in line with your intentions. Another useful way to impose shocks is to solve the model so that an endogenous variable follows a predetermined path. Suppose you believe that the imports of goods will develop according to the path of SEMGTARGET. You then create that variable and let BASMOD solve for that:

which means that the add factor SEMG_A is created such that SEMG=SEMGTARGET.
This procedure can also be followed in the event of keeping the policy interest rate constant for, say, 2 years. The following steps are needed.

- Create the target variable SER3MTARGET
- Let SER3MTARGET=2.01 which is the present policy rate of interest
- Initialize the add factor as above for the period 2004:3-2006:2
- Run the forecast for the period you wish such as 2004:3-2018:4
as shown below:

and the model creates SER3M_A such that these shocks (or add factors) to the policy rate of interest generates a constant policy rate for 2004:3-2006:2 and an endogenous policy rate without shocks for the period 2006:3-2018:4.

Several other possibilities are available and the reader is referred to the Eviews manual and help menus.

### 3.5 How to change the model

As briefly mentioned above it is easy to make changes to the model and it can be done in various ways. In the Text view of the Model object equations are written down either directly in text mode or linked from estimated equations:

SEC $=0.98$ * SEC(-1)
:EKMS
where you can get information about the linked equation EKMS either from the Equations view in the Model object:

or from opening the Equation object for EKMS, in this case the Stats view:

| grgeviews - [Equation: EKMS Workfile: BASMOD 041220UUntited] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEMS) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 04/21:04 Time: 00:35 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1980:3 2003:3 <br> Included observations: 93 after adjusting endpoints <br> Convergence achieved after 24 iterations <br> Backcast: 1980:2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Eror | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| $\underset{\operatorname{DLOG(SEMS(-1))}}{\text { C }}$ | -1.412642 | 0.996427 | -1.417708 | 0.1600 |
|  | 0.095393 | 0.182294 | 0.523289 | 0.6022 |
| DLOG(SEPMGISECED) | -0.238979 | 0.118148 | $-2.022716$ | 0.0463 |
| DLOG(SEPMG(-1)SECED(-1)) | 0.204199 | 0.127837 | 1.597334 | 0.1140 |
| DLOG(SETFE) | 0.970184 | 0.260463 | 3.724846 | 0.0004 |
| LOG(SEMS(-1)) | -0.132560 | 0.075346 | -1.759345 | 0.0822 |
| LOG(SETFE(-1)) | 0.211490 | 0.133068 | 1.589340 | 0.1158 |
| $\underset{\text { LOG(SEPMG(-1)SECED }}{\text { DUM }}$ (-1) $)$ | -0.069581 | 0.034273 | -2.030184 | 0.0455 |
|  | -0.119462 | 0.036099 | $-3.309280$ | 0.0014 |
| DUM93Q1 MA(1) | -0.224279 | 0.258754 | -0.866765 | 0.3886 |
| R -squared | 0.422843 | Mean depen | dent var | 0.010834 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.360260 | S.D. depend | ent var | 0.037334 |
| S.E. of regession | 0.029861 | Akaike info | criterion | -4.083211 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.074011 | Schwarz crit |  | -3.810888 |
| Log likelihood | 199.8693 | F-statistic |  | 6.756493 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.038794 | Prob (F-statis |  | 0.000000 |
| Inverted MA Roots | 22 |  |  |  |

Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEMS)
Method: Least Squares
Sample(adjusted): 1980:3 2003:3
neded observations: 93 after adjusting endpoint
Corvergence achie

If you for some reason do not want to link the equation as above you can write it in text mode by clicking View/Representations to get

[^6]and copy the last two rows and paste them into the Text view of the Model object to get:

```
SEC = 0.98 * SEC(-1)
DLOG(SEMS) = -1.412642028 + 0.09539261914*DLOG(SEMS(-1)) -
0.2389790551*DLOG(SEPMG/SECED) + 0.2041986262*DLOG(SEPMG(-1)/SECED(-1))
+ 0.9701842787*DLOG(SETFE) - 0.1325599308*LOG(SEMS(-1)) +
0.2114900038*LOG(SETFE(-1)) - 0.06958096575*LOG(SEPMG(-1)/SECED(-1)) -
0.119461945*DUM93Q1 + [MA(1)=-0.224278931,BACKCAST=1980:3]
```

These equations you can simply edit in the Text view of the Model object. For an estimated equation it is perhaps preferable to reestimate the equation and link the reestimated equation to the model. A convenient procedure is to make a copy of the original equation and give it a new name - e.g. EKMS2 - and link it to the model:

SEC $=0.97$ * SEC(-1)
:EKMS2
Of course you can add new equations to the model by simply writing them in the above format into the Text view of the Model object. If you believe that the coefficient in the consumption equation which was changed from 0.98 to 0.97 is actually changing over time
you can run a time varying parameters state space model - e.g. with the name SSC - and replace the original equation by the following link:
:SSC
:EKMS2
There are a lot of possibilities to change the model in various ways and the changes are easy to implement in BASMOD/Eviews. Note that if you reestimate a linked object and rerun the model you have to recompile the model by clicking Procs/Links/Update All Links Recompile model to make the changes take effect.

### 3.6 Further information

Further information about how to run the model can be found in the BASMOD home page and also in the chapter on Models in the Eviews user manual.

## 4. Evaluations

### 4.1 Problems

It is hard to evaluate macroeconomic models and a number of difficult problems appear. One has to recognize that models are constructed and used in a dynamic environment. By that I mean that circumstances are changed very often, which is particularly true for big models in which specifications change frequently. Evaluations done at a certain point in time then soon become obsolete and do not apply to the model that have been changed at a later date. Likewise, the researchers that develop the model may change over time and the evaluation may aim at evaluating them.

Evaluations should be done in relation to the tasks of the model. Big macroeconometric models serve various purposes, should

- be able to answer many different questions
- make simulation results that mimics the ideas of the organisation
- make good forecasts
- be an educational tool in the organisation
- be easy to use and therefore a tool accessible to many
- be close to good practice, economic theory, etc.
- be focused on the most relevant aspects for the organisation
- be at least as good as the best competitor

Each one of these points could be part of an evaluation. The final verdict depends on how the model performs on the various points but there is no obvious way of putting weights or power to each of the points. In the end - I guess - there will always be a good deal of judgement to it.

Nevertheless, let's have a look at the difficulties inherent in the points above. The first point points to one of the biggest advantages with big models - they can, at least potentially, answer a lot of questions that the small models can't. As we all know, the governors in the central bank have a lot of questions and therefore a relatively big model like BASMOD has an advantage over smaller models.

The second point is about the paradigm that governs the organisation - in this case probably the views expressed in the central bank's legislation and by the board of governors. It is then fairly easy to grasp the general views which are expressed in the central bank laws but less obvious with the details. The model could for instance describe the monetary policy rule with a 2 percent inflation target, but the governors also may have some view of the monetary policy transmission mechanism which might be expressed with less clarity. Nevertheless, there is some consensus about this mechanism saying that it takes something like two years for the repo rate to get its maximum effect on the rate of inflation. The model should be reasonably in line with some established stylized facts of this kind.

The third point is forecast performance and this is probably the aspect that is mostly empasized in evaluations. The new classical macroeconomic school emphasized the poor forecasting performance in their critique of the old Keynesian models. It seems to be a necessary but not sufficient condition that models forecast reasonably well. There are
methods for estimating forecast errors (RMSE) that are used by most researchers and are not very controversial. However, such evaluations still are not without serious problems. First, you should pick what variables to forecast. Should it be inflation, GDP growth or some other variables as well? If many variables are involved, how should these be weighted? What if one model forecasts inflation well but GDP growth badly and the competitor model does the other way around? One model forecasts unemployment but the other model lacks that variable how should that be evaluated? Secondly, forecasts are done at different points in time and under otherwise different conditions. Forecasts for the third quarter some year may be done in June by one forecaster and in August by another. How does that affect the comparisons? In Riksbanken and in similar organisations forecasts are finally done on a judgemental basis. In Riksbanken the staff makes forecasts that are influenced by model forecasts but in the end are judgemental. These forecasts are put forward to the Board of Governors who possibly put final judgements to it. One could evaluate the final forecasts and compare them to the model forecasts to get some idea about the value of the judgements. However, this is difficult since the different forecasts are not done simultaneously. Forecast evaluations should be done by conducting the forecasts in exactly the same way as they would have been done in reality. Forecasts done in Riksbanken are influenced by various circumstances. The data used are daily, monthly, quarterly, annual, etc and varies between variables. When a forecast is done by the staff in the beginning of June there are data for equity prices and interest rates for the beginning of June, labour market data for until April, consumer prices for April, preliminary national accounts data for the first quarter and preliminary household wealth data for the previous year. In model evaluation this information pattern could be taken into account. A model that for instance includes equity prices uses more recent information than a model that excludes equity prices, and it seems reasonable that the former model should be able to use that advantage in the evaluation. A researcher that treats all data equally (which is often the case in ex ante evaluations ${ }^{10}$ ) most likely misfavours that model. A conclusion from this is that it is very difficult to make fair forecast evaluations based on ex ante simulations with models. Perhaps the most reasonable comparisons are those based on actual forecasts.

The fourth aspect points to the role of models as pedagogical instruments. As such the model must be theoretically up to date and in some sense correct so that the staff could learn from using the model and benefit from having discussions around it. Of course, a question then is what is meant by a model that is up to date and correct. Macroeconomic models develop fast so there are always a number of models that pops up as potential candidates for being adopted. Textbooks from which staff learns develops much slower. In textbooks some models survive, others don't. Those who don't are probably not worth much consideration. One question then is how conservative one should be in updating models. Macroeconometric models are mostly derived from economic theory - unless time series models like VARs with loose or no restrictions. There is no unique theory from which models can be derived but rather a bunch of competing theories between which one discriminates. In addition, single macroeconomic models are often built on different theories. Recent dynamic models are based on neoclassical growth models but extended with Keynesian price rigidities. Modelling consumer behaviour is normally based on the life cycle hypothesis but may also be extended with credit constraints or buffer stock behaviour. Hence, various theories and specifications are used and evaluations could possibly test various constraints on these models.

The fifth point above relates to the package in which the model is served. Often models are not very accessible but require detailed programming skills which make the setup cost very

[^7]high. My experience is that for many sectoral experts the setup cost must be kept low in order to create incentives for using models. BASMOD uses Eviews for the code which is the most commonly used econometrics and modelling program in Riksbanken.

The seventh point has to do with focusing on the most relevant things (for the Riksbank). Of course, for monetary policy the inflation forecast is the most important thing. The inflation forecast of course depends on forecasts on other things as well and hence the scope for extending the forecast to other variables is considerable. However, the evaluation for the Riksbank should focus on a few variables, foremost inflation with the highest weight and some other variables with lower weights. Below I focus on inflation and GDP growth.

The final point in the list above is how to choose competing models for comparison.
Evaluations in the scientific literature often pick a rather poor competitor, like a random walk, and then often show that the model at hand performs relatively well. The researcher do a lot a refinements, like adding dummies, moving average errors, adjusting the sample, etc. with his own model but do almost nothing like that with the competing models. That could make the comparisons unfair. Below I compare the forecasts of BASMOD with one of the structural VAR models at the bank and with a random walk. I also do a comparison with the forecasts actually done by the Board of Governors in the Inflation Report, which is the staff forecast possibly adjusted for judgements by the board, and with the forecasts from National Institute of Economic Researh (Konjunkturinstitutet).

### 4.2 Estimated equations

The estimated stochastic equations can be evaluated statistically. This can be done within and out of sample. Conventional statistical evaluations look at the properties of the within-sample forecast errors, on autocorrelation, heteroscedasticity, ARCH, normality, stability, etc. Below are examples of evauations for inflation and GDP growth.

### 4.2.1 Inflation

Here I focus on the ability to explain the historical development of CPI inflation, during the period 1980-2004. The CPI equation is specified in Appendix A1.3.2 and inflation depends on

SEKPI80 = F(SEKPI80F, SEITR, SECEDF, SEPPF, SESKEW, SEVAR, DUMMIES)
where
SEKPI80F = consumer price index less indirect taxes with 1980=100
SECEDF = household expenditure deflator less indirect taxes with 2000=100
SEPPF = producer price index less indirect taxes with 2000=100
SESKEW = skewness of relative price changes
SEVAR = variance of relative price changes
DUMMIES = dummies for various events
The exact specification is:

```
DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR)) = 0.05098536114 + 0.7025349961*DLOG(SEKPI80(-1)) +
0.2076284383*DLOG(SEPPF*(1+SEITR)) + 1.706618948*SESKEW - 0.9226601088*SESKEW(-1) +
2.446191953*SEVAR + 84.18528297*SESKEW*SEVAR - 0.05174939449*(LOG(SEKPI80(-1))-
```

```
0.5765842874*LOG((SECEDF(-1)*(1+SEITR(-1))))-(1-0.5765842874)*LOG(SEPPF(-1)*(1+SEITR))) +
0.01295771806*DUM92Q4 + 0.02097195928*DUM91Q1 - 0.006850454073*DUM96Q1 -
0.0004195740618*SEU + [MA(1)=-0.9841626892,BACKCAST=1980:3]
```

and some econometric results can be read

Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR))
Method: Least Squares
Date: 11/23/04 Time: 16:32
Sample (adjusted): 1980:3 2004:2
Included observations: 96 after adjustments
Convergence achieved after 46 iterations
Backcast: 1980:2


Inverted MA Roots
.98

Table 4.1. Summary statistics for CPI inflation equation.

This equation passes all the mentioned conventional statistical tests above. In that sense it could be said to provide a satisfactory description of the historical development of CPI inflation.

The evaluation of this equation can also be done by comparing with estimates of competing equations. One competing equation is the staggered wage contracts model suggested by Taylor (1980) and the estimate of that equation is:

Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR))
Method: Least Squares
Date: 11/24/04 Time: 14:11
Sample: 1980:3 2004:2
Included observations: 96

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| C | 0.014909 | 0.002879 | 5.179415 | 0.0000 |
| DLOG(SEKPI80(-1)) | 0.326788 | 0.093981 | 3.477164 | 0.0008 |
| DUM92Q4 | 0.004004 | 0.008326 | 0.480926 | 0.6317 |
| DUM91Q1 | 0.026002 | 0.008274 | 3.142676 | 0.0023 |
| DUM96Q1 | -0.004148 | 0.008343 | -0.497191 | 0.6203 |
| SEU(-1) | -0.001794 | 0.000461 | -3.890480 | 0.0002 |
| DLOG(SEPMA)-DLOG(SEPMA_HP) | -0.003541 | 0.026318 | -0.134530 | 0.8933 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R-squared | 0.479406 | Mean dependent var | 0.010895 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.444309 | S.D. dependent var | 0.010970 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.008177 | Akaike info criterion | -6.704785 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 0.005951 | Schwarz criterion | -6.517801 |  |
| Log likelihood | 328.8297 | F-statistic | 13.65973 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.038346 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |

Table 4.2. Summary statistics for restricted CPI inflation equation.
and we can see that the standard error of the regression is significantly increased in this restricted model. Even though the more general model produces smaller within-sample forecast errors it might make worse out-of-sample errors. In particular, one might think it is difficult to predict the variance and skewness of relative-price changes.

### 4.2.2 GDP growth

Since there is no stochastic equation for GDP in the model the prediction of GDP growth is based on the identity

$$
S E Y \equiv S E C+S E G C+S E G I+S E B I+S E H I+S E X G+S E X S ~-~ S E M G ~-~ S E M S ~+~ S E D S
$$

and the forecast errors in GDP depend on the errors in the components.
Below is shown a regression of GDP on the model fit (static forecast) of GDP, which is a measure of the model's fit to historical data. The residuals show no signs of serial correlation and the correlation is high.

Dependent Variable: SEY
Method: Least Squares
Date: 01/05/05 Time: 15:45

Sample (adjusted): 1984Q2 2003Q3
Included observations: 78 after adjustments

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| C | -2788.381 | 3088.354 | -0.902870 | 0.3694 |
| PREDICTED SEY | 1.005704 | 0.006517 | 154.3270 | 0.0000 |
| R-squared | 0.996819 | Mean dependent var | 470855.1 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.996777 | S.D. dependent var | 53579.89 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 3041.680 | Akaike info criterion | 18.90351 |  |
| Sum squared resid | $7.03 E+08$ | Schwarz criterion | 18.96394 |  |
| Log likelihood | -735.2370 | F-statistic | 23816.82 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.612761 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |

Table 4.3. Summary statistics for regression of actual on fitted GDP level.


Diagram 4.1. Actual and fitted GDP level and estimated residual. 1984-2003.

The within-sample-fit can be compared with the fit for the VAR model used above with 9 variables and 4 lags which gives about 25 percent lower standard error for the forecast of GDP. But this merely reflects the overparameterization of the VAR model. If the lags in the VAR are reduced to two lags the standard error is only 10 percent lower than in BASMOD. Therefore, the fit to historical data seems satisfactory in BASMOD.

### 4.3 Simulations

In this section the effects of monetary and fiscal policy is studied. Since both monetary and fiscal policy are formulated in terms of policy rules, changes in policy is best analysed in terms of changes in these rules rather than as discretionary changes.

### 4.3.1 Effects of monetary policy

In the case of monetary policy the present forecasts with BASMOD are done with endogenous short interest rate (SER3M) or with implicit forward rates. The forecasts with forward rates are done by deriving shocks to the policy rule that creates the paths for implicit rates for the period 2005-2010. In the diagram below forecasts for the period 2004:4-2008:4.


Diagram 4.2. Effects of monetary policy on GDP growth rate and inflation. Left panels show both scenarios and right panels the difference between scenarios. The two scenarios are 1) endogenous policy interest rate and 2) implicit forward interest rate.

The interest rate is for the forecast period 2004:4 - 2008:4 on average 1.1 percentage point higher with the endogenous interest rate which on average decreases GDP growth with 0.1 and the CPI inflation rate with 0.04 percentage points. The elasticity between output and inflation is about 0.3 for this type of shock. In general, the effects from changes in the interest rate is small in BASMOD. This can be interpretad as a case in which policy has to change inflationary expectations in order to create larger effects. That could be done by changing the inflation target in the model, a case in which the effects on inflation is much larger.

### 4.3.2 Effects of fiscal policy

In this section I simulate a change in the target rate of the government budget surplus, which is changed from 1.5 to 0.5 percent of GDP. It takes almost four years to achieve the goal, as can be seen in the lower right part of diagram 4.3 below.


Diagram 4.3. Effects on GDP growth and CPI inflation rates from a decrease in the government budget surplus target with one percentage point.

The graphs in diagram 4.3 show that the budget surplus gradually decreases from 1.5 to 0.5 percent of GDP. This increases the GDP growth rate moderately, with 0.04 percentage point and the rate of inflation by about 0.007 percentage points. The elasticity of inflation with respect to the output gap is now lower, about 0.2 . This shows that you should be careful in using rule-of-thumbs for these kinds of elasticities for endogenous variables.

### 4.4 Out-of-sample forecasts

### 4.4.1 Problems

Evaluation of out-of-sample forecasting performance is a difficult task, particularly if you want to compare the performance of different models. In this case I want to compare BASMOD with competing forecasts, for instance from the best VAR models or from the sectoral experts at the Department. Comparisons of out-of-sample forecasts can be done by comparing the actual forecasts put forward in actual forecasting processes or by making simulations of these. With the latter I mean a situation in which the researcher estimates the model up to a point and then makes out-of-sample forecasts.

Comparing actual forecasts is difficult since forecasts seldom start at the same point in time and under identical conditions. For instance, the forecasts of the Riksbank are conditioned on a constant repo interest rate which is not the case for most other forecasters. Also, forecasts are done at different points in time and hence with different information sets. I recognize these differences and therefore try to do the comparisons below as fair as possible. In the case of historical forecasts I use the actual forecasts put forward by BASMOD at various points in time and compare them with forecasts put forward by the Department at (approximately) the same point in time. I also compare these forecasts with forecasts from two competing models, a $\mathrm{VAR}^{11}$ and a random walk. These models are then estimated up to the point in time where the forecasts start. For instance for the forecast put forward in 2000:1 I estimated the competing models up to 1999:4. This is probably not unfair to the competitors since a lot of data - like the national accounts - are published with longer lags than one quarter. The information sets also vary with the type of model that is used. The VAR models used here don't use asset price data which are published with short lags and hence can be an advantage for models which incorporate them.

Another problem with the ex post evaluations of out-of-sample forecasts is how to treat exogenous variables. In the actual forecast some forecast of the exogenous variables are used. In BASMOD this is typically forecasts of some foreign variables. I usually collect them from the most recent forecast in Nigem. However, if I want to evaluate the forecasts for 1997-1999 today I would normally use the data available today (possibly the actuals) for the forecasts. However, normally today's data are revised and the forecast that would have been done in 1996:4 would have used preliminary data for say 1995-1996. The same problem applies to VAR models and can only be solved if you use the same preliminary data that would have been used in the actual forecasts.

It is therefore difficult to reconstruct the situations in which forecasts are actually done, maybe not so much in principle as in practice, such that comparisons between models become fair. To me it seems as if the most reasonable comparisons can be achieved by comparing the actual forecasts. A problem with that is that time series for the same model often are not available for long periods. Below I still do some comparisons between BASMOD and the forecasts of the Riksbank. The VAR model and the random walk model is to some extent favoured by the fact that they use revised data while the actual forecasts use the preliminary data.
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### 4.4.2 Historical forecasts

Below you find actual forecasts from BASMOD put forward by the Riksbank (RB) between 2000:1 and 2003:2. 2003 is the last year for which actual values are observable.

Table 4.4. Evaluation of forecasts in BASMOD. Historical forecasts. Actuals within parentheses. Forecasts with VAR within []. Forecasts with random walk within \{\}. Riksbank (RB) forecasts within $\rangle$. Forecasts in Rixmod within $\mid$. Forecasts by NIER within 【』.

|  | Inflation CPI |  |  | GDP-growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start of forecast | Current year | About 1 year ahead | About 2 years ahead | Current year | About 1 year ahead | About 2 years ahead |
| 2000:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,2(1.0) \\ & {[1.9]\{0,8\}} \\ & \langle 1.4\rangle\|1.3\| \\ & \llbracket 1.2 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,9(2,4) \\ & {[1.5]\{0,4\}} \\ & \langle 1.6\rangle\|1.3\| \\ & \llbracket 1.9 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,5(2,2) \\ & {[1.5]\{0,0\}} \\ & \|1.3\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4,1(4,4) \\ & {[5.3]\{5,0\}} \\ & \langle 4.0\rangle\|3.6\| \\ & \llbracket 3.9 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,0(1,2) \\ & {[4.1]\{5,0\}} \\ & \langle 3.5\rangle\|1.6\| \\ & \llbracket 3.3 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,2(2,0) \\ & {[3.9]\{5,1\}} \\ & \langle 2.6\rangle\|1.8\| \end{aligned}$ |
| 2000:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,0(1,0) \\ & {[1.3]\{0,9\}} \\ & \langle 1.4\rangle\|1.2\| \\ & \llbracket 1.2 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,3(2,4) \\ & {[2.4]\{0,5\}} \\ & \langle 2.0\rangle\|2.2\| \\ & \llbracket 1.5 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,6(2,2) \\ & {[2.0]\{0,1\}} \\ & \|2.2\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4,2(4,4) \\ & {[4.9]\{5,2\}} \\ & \langle 4.0\rangle\|4.5\| \\ & \llbracket 4.1 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,5(1,2) \\ & {[4.3]\{5,4\}} \\ & \langle 3.7\rangle\|3.8\| \\ & \llbracket 3.6 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,5(2,0) \\ & {[4.0]\{5,5\}} \\ & \langle 3.0\rangle\|3.1\| \end{aligned}$ |
| 2001:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,6(2,4) \\ & {[2.0]\{1,0\}} \\ & \langle 1.9\rangle\|1.3\| \\ & \llbracket 1.6 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,4(2,2) \\ & {[1.5]\{0,6\}} \\ & \langle 2.0\rangle\|1.3\| \\ & \llbracket 1.6 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,9(2,0) \\ & {[1.4]\{0,2\}} \\ & \|1.7\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,9(1,2) \\ & {[2.7]\{3,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.4\rangle\|3.7\| \\ & \llbracket 2.8 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,8(2,0) \\ & {[3.3]\{3,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.4\rangle\|2.1\| \\ & \llbracket 3.1 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,2(1,6) \\ & {[3.5]\{3,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.7\rangle\|1.8\| \end{aligned}$ |
| 2001:2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3(2,4) \\ {[1.4]\{1,5\}} \\ \langle 1.8\rangle\|1.9\| \\ \llbracket 2.4 \rrbracket \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,5(2,2) \\ & {[1.3]\{1,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.2\rangle\|2.0\| \\ & \llbracket 1.8 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,3(2,0) \\ & {[1.2]\{0,8\}} \\ & \|1.7\| \\ & \llbracket 1.9 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,5(1,2) \\ & {[2.0]\{2,3\}} \\ & \langle 2.2\rangle\|4.0\| \\ & \llbracket 2.0 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,6(2,0) \\ & {[3.2]\{2,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.5\rangle\|2.2\| \\ & \llbracket 3.1 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,6(1,6) \\ & {[3.4]\{2,2\}} \\ & \langle 2.9\rangle\|1.3\| \\ & \llbracket 2.6 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ |
| 2002:3 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2,0(2,0) \\ & {[2.1]\{2,2\}} \\ & \langle 1.7\rangle\|2.2\| \\ & \llbracket 1.9 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,8(1,6) \\ & {[2.5]\{2,4\}} \\ & \langle 2.3\rangle\|1.7\| \\ & \llbracket 2.7 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,5 \\ & \langle 2.1\rangle\|2.2\| \end{aligned}$ |
| 2003:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,4(2,0) \\ & {[1,4]\{1,9\}} \\ & \langle 2.5\rangle \mid-1 \\ & \llbracket 2.3 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | 2,0 | 2,0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,8(1,6) \\ & {[1,2]\{1,7\}} \\ & \langle 1.7\rangle\|2.2\| \\ & \llbracket 1.4 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | 2,4 | 2,7 |
| 2003:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,5(2,0) \\ & {[2,6]\{3,0\}} \\ & \langle 1.3\rangle\|-\| \\ & \llbracket 1.8 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | 1,9 | 2,0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,5(1,6) \\ & {[1,3]\{1,6\}} \\ & \langle 1.2\rangle\|1.9\| \\ & \llbracket 1.3 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 2,9 |
| RMSE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,38^{*}(0) \\ & {[0,75]\{0,69\}} \\ & \langle 0.53\rangle\|0.63\| \\ & \llbracket 0.38 \rrbracket^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,89(0) \\ & {[0,73]\{1,67\}} \\ & \langle 0.46\rangle^{*}\|0.72\| \\ & \llbracket 0.63 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,37^{*}(0) \\ & {[0,62]\{1,87\}} \\ & \|0.59\| \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,33^{*}(0) \\ & {[0,60]\{0,95\}} \\ & \langle 0.66\rangle\|1.48\| \\ & \llbracket 0.73 \rrbracket \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2,10(0) \\ {[2,10]\{2,62\}} \\ \langle 1.58\rangle\|1.18\|^{*} \\ \llbracket 1.69 \rrbracket \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,12(0) \\ & {[1,90]\{2,49\}} \\ & \langle 1.03\rangle\|0.59\|^{*} \end{aligned}$ |

Forecasts are compared with VAR, random walk, Riksbank (Monetary Policy Department plus eventual judgements from the Board of Governors) and Rixmod which is another big macroeconomic model used at the department. Forecasts were done for the preceding year and for about 1 and 2 years ahead. All in all there are 16 forecasts to evaluate. The first thing to note is that the random walk is clearly beaten by all the competitors (except for the current year inflation forecast where the VAR model is beaten by the random walk and for the current year GDP growth rate where Rixmod is beaten). Another thing to note is that both the big models perform well and generally beats the VAR model. The exception here is that the current year forecasts by Rixmod are poor. But Rixmod on the other hand has the best GDP growth forecasts for 2 and 3 years ahead. One can also note that BASMOD produces the best current year forecasts for both inflation and GDP growth.

I also computed the RMSE for the inflation forecast 2 percent ( $\Leftrightarrow$ the inflation target). It turned out to be 0.4 which means that it on average beat all the models here. It doesn't beat BASMOD on the current year forecast and is similar to the Riksbank second year forecast but beats all models for the third year forecast.

Table 4.5 shows a ranking of the forecasting performance on different horizons. BASMOD wins 3, Rixmod 2 and the Riksbank 1 of the competitions. The VAR model comes second for current year GDP growth forecast at best, but the winner BASMOD then has only 55 percent of the errors of the VAR model.

Table 4.5. Ranking of forecast performance on different horizons. Figures within parentheses show the winner's errors relative to the competitor's errors in percent.
Ranking of the forecasting performance for inflation.

|  | Current year | Next year | Next next year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BASMOD/NIER | Riksbank | BASMOD |
| 2 | Riksbank (72) | NIER (73) | Rixmod (63) |
| 3 | Rixmod (60) | Rixmod (64) | VAR (60) |
| 4 | RW (55) | VAR (63) | RW (20) |
| 5 | VAR (51) | BASMOD (52) |  |
| 6 |  | RW (28) |  |
| Ranking of the forecasting performance for GDP growth. |  |  |  |
|  | Current year | Next year | Next next year |
| 1 | BASMOD | Rixmod | Rixmod |
| 2 | VAR (55) | Riksbank (75) | Riksbank (57) |
| 3 | Riksbank (50) | NIER (70) | BASMOD (53) |
| 4 | NIER (45) | BASMOD/VAR (56) | VAR (31) |
| 5 | RW (35) | RW (45) | RW (24) |
| 6 | Rixmod (22) |  |  |

Although the number of forecasts here is small and one should be careful to draw firm conclusions, the following results emerged:

- the Riksbank performs well on inflation forecasts 1-2 years ahead
- additional judgements by the Riksbank to the big models BASMOD and Rixmod add very little improvements to the forecasts
- both the big models perform well
- BASMOD performs best in the short run (1 year ahead or less)
- all forecasts beat the random walk

| Table 4.6. Summary of RMSE in historical forecasts (mean for all horizons). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | BASMOD | VAR | RW | Riksbank | Rixmod |  |
| CPI-inflation | 0.56 | 0.68 | 1.44 | 0.50 | 0.64 |  |
| GDP-growth | 1.32 | 1.59 | 2.02 | 1.12 | 1.21 |  |

### 4.4.3 Simulated forecasts

The evaluations above were done for the actual forecasts where the number of forecasts is small. The advantage with that type of evaluations is that you can be sure that no more information than is actually available has been used. The forecasts for the VAR and the random walk model were however produced ex post and should not have been a disadvantage for them, rather the opposite since revised and not preliminary data were used for those models. To make a comparison under similar circumstances I now generate forecasts with BASMOD and the VAR.

I forecast GDP growth and inflation in BASMOD and the competing VAR model used above. Both models are estimated up to approximately 2003:4 or at the most until 2004:2. In BASMOD some equations are not updated and the sample period varies in different equations. In the VAR model I use data up to 2004:2. The estimated VAR model is supposed to be close to one of the models used at the Monetary Policy Department and which has proved to produce reasonable forecasts. The VAR model uses the following variables

- inflation
- GDP
- rate of unemployment
- unit labour cost
- short run interest rate
- effective exchange rate
- world GDP
- world inflation
- world interest rate
and is estimated in first differences except for interest rates, unemployment and effective exchange rate which are estimated in levels. As in the departments' model, 4 lags are used. Forecasts are run three years ahead starting 1997-1999 and ending 2001-2003. Forecasts are evaluated at an annual basis to comply with the procedures in the Inflation Report.

Table 4.7 shows the forecasts for GDP growth. On average BASMOD produces slightly more accurate forecasts than the VAR model. This is the case for all horizons. BASMOD gives larger errors in the forecasts starting in 1997 and 1998 but lower errors for 1999-2001.On average for all horizons and periods the forecast errors are about 25 percent lower in BASMOD than in the VAR model.

| Table 4.7. Forecast of annual GDP growth rates. Forecast errors and RMSE. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{1997}$ | $\underline{1998}$ | $\underline{1999}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | RMSE |
| VAR | 0.19 | -0.35 | 0.87 | 0.64 | -1.32 | 0.78 |
| BASMOD | -0.30 | 0.22 | 0.78 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{1998}$ | $\underline{1999}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ |  |
| VAR | -0.59 | 0.75 | 1.31 | -1.22 | -0.65 | 0.95 |
| BASMOD | 0.71 | 1.60 | 0.81 | -0.24 | 0.30 | 0.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | 2003 |  |
| VAR | 0.18 | 1.29 | -1.19 | -0.13 | 1.95 | 1.18 |
| BASMOD | 1.85 | 0.71 | -0.42 | -0.20 | 0.22 | 0.92 |
|  | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE |  |
| VAR | 0.37 | 0.88 | 1.14 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.98 |
| BASMOD | 1.16 | 1.02 | 0.69 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.75 |


| Table 4.8. Forecast of annual inflation rates. Forecast errors and RMSE. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | RMSE |
| VAR | 0.55 | -0.75 | 0.34 | -0.99 | -0.26 | 0.64 |
| BASMOD | -0.29 | -1.07 | -0.36 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{\underline{2002}}$ |  |
| VAR | -0.74 | -0.69 | -0.15 | -0.59 | -0.77 | 0.63 |
| BASMOD | -1.01 | -0.92 | -0.03 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2003}$ |  |
| VAR | -0.81 | -0.68 | 0.27 | -0.49 | -1.01 | 0.70 |
| BASMOD | -0.94 | -0.06 | 1.06 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.77 |
|  | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE |  |
| VAR | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.27 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.60 |
| BASMOD | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.76 |

Table 4.8 presents the results for CPI inflation. It shows that the forecast errors now are larger in BASMOD than in the VAR model. On the one and three year horizons forecasts are similar but on the two year horizon BASMOD performs less well. On average for all horizons and periods the forecast errors are about 20 percent lower in the VAR model than in BASMOD.

Recall that these evaluations use the most recent parameter estimates for both models and hence use information that was not available at the date of forecasting. In the evaluations with historical forecasts for BASMOD, Rixmod and the Riksbank no other information than was
actually available at the time of the forecasting was used. To evaluate the effects of parameter updating I estimated BASMOD up to the date of forecasting and investigated how the updating affected the forecasts. Generally the forecasts improve significantly when parameters are updated, though not so much for CPI inflation and GDP growth. This casts some doubts on the comparison with the VAR above and reinforces the argument that the best evaluations are probably done by using actual forecasts.

### 4.5 Summary

The often heard statement that VAR models produce better forecasts than "big" macroeconometric models seems to be a cock-and-bull story. I find no hard evidence for the statement in the academic lterature where the "small" models are not compared with the "big" models but rather with other "small" models. There are a lot of sweeping statements about the poor forecasting performance of the big Keynesian models from the 1960ies which are probably true. But today's "big" macroeconometric models are quite different from the old ones and the "big" models deserve a fair evaluation prior to being dismissed in such a simple way.

The evaluation here shows that the "big" models are competitive and produce forecast errors, if not lower, of approximately the size of the best alternatives. No competitor proves to be significantly better than BASMOD for the forecasts that have been done with the model so far. BASMOD seems to generate the lowest forecast errors for the short run, one-year ahead forecasts for both CPI inflation and GDP growth rates. The other "big" model, Rixmod, produces the lowest forecast errors for GDP growth on the 2-3 year ahead horizon. It is a little bit surprising - at least to me - that the judgements of the Monetary Policy Department and the Board of Governors add so little improvements to the forecasts. This was not the experience for the U.S. Board of Governors who showed a good intuition ${ }^{12}$ and improved model forecasts significantly.

[^9]
## 5. Future developments

In this chapter I discuss som possible extensions or future developments of the model.

### 5.1 Fiscal policy

Presently fiscal policy is a simple solvency criterion by which the government increases the direct taxes in order to successively meet the target of a 2 percent budget surplus. Presently the model has direct taxes, payroll taxes, wage tax and indirect taxes which also could be instruments in the fiscal policy, as well as government expenditure.

I have done some experiments with this but they have not come out very satisfactory as yet and therefore some additional work could be done on this. Frequently questions about this appear in the department.

### 5.2 Monetary policy

I have run hundreds or thousands of simulations with different policy rules in which policy parameters have been estimated, imposed or both. It is easy to change policy rule in the model so this invites to further simulations.

### 5.3 Further evaluations

BASMOD fares relatively well in the evaluations above, where forecasting performance is competitive with those usually regarded as the best - National Institute of Economic Research and the Riksbank. Further evaluations can be done, especially since the model is updated in various respects and also since more forecast errors (actuals and not simulated) appear.

Forecast evaluations for inflation and GDP growth was done in chapter 4 but evaluations can be done for many other variables. An obvious extension is to evaluate the forecasts for the sectoral experts' variables. This would possibly be for

- Household expenditure
- Private investments
- Exports of goods
- Exports of services
- Imports of goods
- Imports of services
- Mean hours worked
- Employment
- Labour force
- Unemployment
- Wage rates
- Producer prices
- Export prices
- Exchange rates
- Equity price index
- House prices
and these evaluations could be compared to the sectoral experts' forecasts.


### 5.4 Inflation forecasts

The inflation forecasts, which at the moment are competitive on the 1 and 3 years horizon could possibly be improved - particularly on the 2 year horizon - by improving the forecasts on the relative-price skewness and variance variables.

### 5.5 The supply side

The supply side could be improved in several ways. Further work with the functional forms and implementation in estimation could improve the coherence of the model. Technical progress is either modelled as a time trend or depending on the levels of education of the labour force in a labour-augmenting manner. Further improvements could be done with this.

Output gaps are presently not calculated. But these could be estimated endogenously in the model for illustrative purposes or as indicator measures of the business cycle, fiscal stance, etc.

### 5.6 Household expenditures and asset markets

Presently there are two main alternatives for modelling consumer expenditure in BASMOD. On the one hand there is the aggregate consumption function defined for total expenditures on all items, nondurables as well as durables expenditures. This is a conventional error correction model where consumption expenditures depend on household real disposable income and real net wealth. On the other hand there is the disaggregate model in which six components of consumption expenditures are modelled in a demand system based on Euler equations for the components.

At the moment the wealth from owner-occupied housing is included in total real net wealth and affects household expenditure. Purchases of cars and the value of the car stock are determined in the disaggregate consumption model but the car stock (or other durables stock) is not included in wealth. As shown by several authors the property of housing (and other durables) means that the value of the stock on the one hand is an asset and as such subject to portfolio choice and on the other hand generates housing services along with services from other goods. Depending on the age of the household and other factors both choices (between owner-occupied house, rented house and other assets and between housing services and other goods) are done and affect each other. For instance, a young household may demand a relatively large service stream from housing and has to take a large mortgage to achieve that. By that the risk positions weakens and restrict the households' portfolio choice, Flavin and Yamashita (2002). This implies that the composition of wealth could affect aggregate consumption expenditure.

Another way that this could be the case is through income uncertainty and buffer-stock saving behaviour. Following the route of Carroll (1997) and Lettau and Ludvigson (2004) implies a disaggregated model in which expenditures on nondurables and durables are modelled and where expenditures on durables generates a durables capital stock which should be included in household wealth. Carroll also shows that the personal distribution of current wealth (current income plus wealth) affects the aggregate consumption function.

### 5.7 AMOD - a smaller model

The smaller wage-price model AMOD - which resembles basic ideas in BASMOD on the one hand but estimates a particular form of technical progress on the other hand - could be used by itself or integrated in BASMOD. The integration has been done but is presently not the default in BASMOD.

It would be possible to further dwelve with the smaller models in various ways, for instance by the sectoral experts on the labour market.
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## Appendix 1: Estimated equations

In section 2 we described the model equations in general form. In this section we describe the estimation methods and how the equations are specified. We use the same structure here and divide the equations into demand and supply equations.

## A1.1 Estimation methods

The model has about 25 stochastic equations. With that many independent variables it is impossible to estimate this in a simultaneous equation system, e.g. by FIML. The parameters are instead estimated mainly by single-equation methods. Problems with non-stationarity are treated by using the error-correction form for most equations. Since the equations are dynamic there is also typically temporal aggregation problems that are solved by including moving average error terms. In some cases economic theory implies cross-equation restrictions and then some equations have been estimated simultaneously, as was the case with the disaggregated consumption model (consumption divided into 6 categories).

When estimating in error correction form I have used single-equation procedures proposed by Pesaran and Shin $(1996,1998,2002)$, in which variables in both levels and difference form are included in the equation. I have also used a variant of the Engle-Granger (1994) method where in the first stage the long run solution is obtained from a dynamic equation in levels form and used as the error correction mechanism in the second stage with variables in first differences.

## A1.2 How to find the equations in the BASMOD home page

The easiest way to find out about the specification of the equations is to open the BASMOD home page at

## X:\BM\BASMOD\index.htm

(or to continue to read this section). If you visit the web site you simply open the Variables link in the upper frame and choose among various parts of the model in the left frame. Then you click on the appropriate equation button that appears in the main frame. A new window pops up with the specification, estimation results, date of estimation and so on.

We now turn to those specifications.

## A1.3 Demand equations

## A1.3.1 The balance of resources


(02





| Housing starts <br> Dependent variable: SEHS <br> Object name: EKHS | Estimation Command: <br> LS DLOG(SEHS) C DLOG(SEHS(-1)) D HSLONG*LOG(SEHW(-1)/SEUSER2(-1)) <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG(SEHS $)=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) *$ LLOG (SEH C(5)*(LOG(SEHS(-1))-HSLONG*LOG( <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> $======================$ DLOG(SEHS) $=-1.920366333-0.45801$ <br> 1.031343958*DUM93Q1-0.0394038648 <br> HSLONG*LOG(SEHW(-1)/SEUSER2(-1)) <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEHS) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 04/18/04 Time: 01:28 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1980:2 2003:4 <br> Included observations: 95 after adjusting endp | M93Q1 $S(-1))+C(3$ <br> EHW(-1)/S <br> 2408*DLO <br> D(SER3M) <br> ) <br> ints | ER3M) <br> *DUM93Q1 USER2(-1)) <br> SEHS(-1)) <br> 0.10170598 | SEHS(-1))- $\mathrm{C}(4) * \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{SI}$ 3*(LOG(SE | R3M) + HS(-1))- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | -1.920366 | 1.286262 | -1.492982 | 0.1389 |
|  | DLOG(SEHS(-1)) | -0.458015 | 0.087796 | -5.216813 | 0.0000 |
|  | DUM93Q1 | -1.031344 | 0.348256 | -2.961454 | 0.0039 |
|  | D(SER3M) <br> LOG(SEHS(-1))-HSLONG*LOG(SEHW(- <br> 1)/SEUSER2(-1)) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.039404 \\ & -0.101706 \end{aligned}$ | 0.028485 0.068246 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.383330 \\ & -1.490293 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1700 0.1396 |
|  | R-squared | 0.427796 | Mean dependent var |  | -0.005351 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.402365 | S.D. dependent var |  | 0.408628 |
|  |  | 0.315897 | Akaike info criterion |  | 0.584397 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 8.981198 | Schwarz criterion |  | 0.718811 |
|  | Log likelihood | -22.75884 | F-statistic |  | 16.82163 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat |  | $\operatorname{Prob}$ (F-statistic) |  |  |

(

| Housing investments <br> Dependent variable: SEHI <br> Object name: EKHI | Estimation Command: <br> LS(DERIV=AA) LOG(SEHI) C LOG(SEH LOG(SEHW $(+4) /(\operatorname{SECEDF}(+4) *(1+$ SEIT <br> 1)) LOG(SEHS(-1)) <br> Estimation Equation: <br> LOG (SEHI) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{LOG}($ SEHI $(-1))$ <br> C(4)*LOG(SEHW (4)/(SECEDF(4)*(1+SE <br> C(6)*LOG(SEHW(-1)) + C(7)*LOG(SEH <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> LOG (SEHI) $=-0.2018438551+0.916630$ <br> 005*SERR(-8) + 0.1170066404*LOG(SEH <br> $0.0364808723 *$ DLOG(SEHS) - 0.0366828 <br> $0.04506790936 *$ LOG(SEHS(-1)) + [MA(1) <br> Dependent Variable: LOG(SEHI) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 11/11/04 Time: 10:27 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1980:2 2003:3 <br> Included observations: 94 after adjustments <br> Convergence achieved after 12 iterations <br> Backcast: 1980:1 | HI(-1)) SERR $R(+4)))$ ) MA <br> ) $+\mathrm{C}(3) *$ SE $\operatorname{ITR}(4)))$ ) + <br> (-1)) + [MA <br> 968*LOG(S HW(4)/(SEC $1372 *$ LOG( ) $=0.3117888$ | (-8) <br> (1) DLOG(S <br> RR(-8) + <br> (5)*DLOG( <br> (1) $=\mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{BA}$ <br> EHI(-1)) - 9.3 <br> DF(4)*(1+S <br> (SEHW(-1)) + <br> 046,BACKC | HS) LOG(SE <br> EHS) + KCAST=1980: <br> 0013534e- <br> ITR(4)))) + ST=1980:2] | EHW(- <br> 880:2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | -0.201844 | 0.515099 | $-0.391855$ | 0.6961 |
|  | LOG(SEHI(-1)) | 0.916630 | 0.029134 | 31.46202 | 0.0000 |
|  | SERR(-8) | -9.34E-05 | 0.002252 | -0.041473 | 0.9670 |
|  | $\operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEHW}(4) /(\operatorname{SECEDF}(4) *(1+\operatorname{SEITR}(4)))$ ) | 0.117007 | 0.054550 | 2.144924 | 0.0348 |
|  | DLOG(SEHS) | 0.036481 | 0.012694 | 2.873764 | 0.0051 |
|  | LOG(SEHW(-1)) | -0.036683 | 0.022397 | -1.637873 | 0.1051 |
|  | LOG(SEHS(-1)) | 0.045068 | 0.020142 | 2.237471 | 0.0278 |
|  | MA(1) | 0.311789 | 0.106362 | 2.931402 | 0.0043 |
|  | R-squared | 0.991015 | Mean depend | var | 9.605198 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.990284 | S.D. depende |  | 0.413152 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.040725 | Akaike info c | erion | -3.482703 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.142630 | Schwarz crite |  | -3.266252 |
|  | Log likelihood | 171.6870 | F-statistic |  | 1355.098 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.946673 | Prob(F-statistic) |  | 0.000000 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots | -. 31 |  |  |  |





(

| Exports of goods <br> Dependent variable: SEXG <br> Object name: EKXG | Estimation Command: <br> LS(DERIV=AA) DLOG(SEXG) C DLO <br> 1)) DLOG(SES) DLOG(SES(-1)) LOG( LOG(SES(-1)) MA(1) DUM84Q1-DUM <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG(SEXG) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{DLOG}(S E X$ C(4)*DLOG(SERPX(-1)) + C(5)*DLOG C(7)*(LOG(SEXG(-1))+0.2955891136* C(8)*(DUM84Q1-DUM84Q2) + C(9)*D <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> DLOG $($ SEXG $)=0.7242245729-0.4074$ <br> $0.01741134745 *$ DLOG(SERPX) -0.007 <br> $0.7615610386 *$ DLOG (SES) +0.131586 <br> $0.1008124222^{*}($ LOG (SEXG(-1)) +0.295 <br> 0.06306281114*(DUM84Q1-DUM84Q2) <br> $[\mathrm{MA}(1)=0.3261822111, \mathrm{BACKCAST}=19$ <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEXG) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 09/13/04 Time: 16:19 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1980:3 2004:2 <br> Included observations: 96 after adjustments <br> Convergence achieved after 16 iterations <br> Backcast: 1980:2 | (SEXG(-1)) <br> XG(-1))-X <br> Q2 DUM8 <br> $G(-1))+C($ <br> SES) + C(6) <br> G(SERPX <br> M83Q1 + [ <br> 5596**DL <br> 5696159*D <br> 58*DLOG( <br> 1136*LOG <br> $+0.075400$ <br> 0:3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LLOG(SERI } \\ & \text { 4RPX*LOG } \\ & \text { 21 } \end{aligned}$ <br> *DLOG(SE DLOG(SES <br> 1)-LOG(SE <br> $A(1)=C(10)$ <br> (SEXG(-1)) <br> OG(SERPX <br> S(-1)) - <br> SERPX(-1) <br> 414*DUM8 | DLOG(S <br> RPX(-1)) <br> X) + <br> ) + <br> (1))) + <br> ACKCAST <br> ) + OG(SES(- $21+$ | ERPX(- $=1980: 3]$ <br> )) + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 0.724225 | 0.398406 | 1.817805 | 0.0726 |
|  | DLOG(SEXG(-1)) | -0.407419 | 0.078782 | -5.171450 | 0.0000 |
|  | DLOG(SERPX) | 0.017411 | 0.092140 | 0.188967 | 0.8506 |
|  | DLOG(SERPX(-1)) | -0.007986 | 0.109315 | -0.073052 | 0.9419 |
|  | DLOG(SES) | 0.761561 | 0.169027 | 4.505557 | 0.0000 |
|  | DLOG(SES(-1)) LOG(SEXG(- $1))^{+0.2955891136 * L O G(S E R P X(-1))-~}$ LOG(SES(-1)) | $\begin{array}{r} 0.131586 \\ -0.100812 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.177008 \\ & 0.056187 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.743390 \\ -1.794233 \end{gathered}$ | 0.4593 0.0763 |
|  | DUM84Q1-DUM84Q2 | 0.063063 | 0.015255 | 4.133890 | 0.0001 |
|  | DUM83Q1 | 0.075401 | 0.027317 | 2.760224 | 0.0071 |
|  | MA(1) | 0.326182 | 0.130795 | 2.493852 | 0.0146 |
|  | R-squared | 0.525114 | Mean depend | t var | 0.014706 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.475417 | S.D. depend |  | 0.033975 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.024607 | Akaike info |  | -4.473206 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.052075 | Schwarz crit |  | -4.206087 |
|  | Log likelihood | 224.7139 | F-statistic |  | 10.56623 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.041299 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.000000 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots | -. 33 |  |  |  |



| Exports of services <br> Dependent variable: SEXS <br> Object name: EKXS | Estimation Command: <br> LS DLOG(SEXS) C DLOG(SEXS(-1)) XSREFEX*LOG(SEREFEX(-1))-XSS <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG(SEXS) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{DLOG}($ C(4)*DLOG(SES) + C(5)*(LOG(SEX XSS*LOG(SES(-1))) + C(6)*DUM95 <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> DLOG(SEXS) $=1.522724665-0.1055$ <br> $0.5171093129 *$ DLOG(SEREFEX) +0.50 <br> $0.1848878836 *($ LOG(SEXS(-1))-XSR <br> 0.08617643212*DUM95Q1 <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEXS) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 06/09/04 Time: 00:01 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1980:3 2003:4 <br> Included observations: 94 after adjusting | OG(SEREF G(SES(-1)) <br> $S(-1))+C(3$ ))-XSREFEX <br> 056*DLOG 3717584*D X*LOG(SE | EX) DLOG( DUM95Q1 <br> *DLOG(SER *LOG(SER <br> SEXS(-1)) OG(SES) -EFEX(-1))- | ) LOG(SE <br> FEX) + <br> EX(-1))- <br> S*LOG(SE | $\operatorname{EXS}(-1))-$ ES(-1))) - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 1.522725 | 0.469304 | 3.244646 | 0.0017 |
|  | DLOG(SEXS(-1)) | -0.105517 | 0.093585 | -1.127508 | 0.2626 |
|  | DLOG(SEREFEX) | -0.517109 | 0.133710 | $-3.867390$ | 0.0002 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DLOG(SES) } \\ \text { LOG(SEXSS(-1))- } \\ \text { XSREFEX*OG(SEREFEX(-1))- } \\ \text { XSS*LOG(SES(-1)) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.500372 <br> -0.184888 | 0.236505 <br> 0.057355 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.115691 \\ -3.223560 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0372 \\ & 0.0018 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | DUM95Q1 | -0.086176 | 0.037027 | -2.327414 | 0.0222 |
|  | R-squared | 0.277049 | Mean depenc | var | 0.014542 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.235972 | S.D. depende |  | 0.041857 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.036586 | Akaike info |  | -3.716579 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.117794 | Schwarz crit |  | -3.554241 |
|  | Log likelihood | 180.6792 | F-statistic |  | 6.744665 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.956495 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.000023 |

( $05-10$

| Imports of goods <br> Dependent variable: <br> SEMG <br> Object name: <br> EKMG | Estimation Command: <br> LS DLOG(SEMG) C DLOG(SEMG(-1)) D DLOG(SETFE) LOG(SEMG(-1))-MGTFE <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG (SEMG) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{DLOG}(\mathrm{SEM}$ <br> C(4)*DLOG(SEREFEX(-1)) + C(5)*DLO <br> MGTFE*LOG(SETFE(-1))) + [MA(1)=C( <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> DLOG $($ SEMG $)=-2.54737588+0.074816$ <br> 0.1404321613*DLOG(SEREFEX) - 0.109 <br> 2.574826807*DLOG(SETFE) - 0.2149643 <br> MGTFE*LOG(SETFE(-1))) $+[$ MA(1) $=-0$ <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEMG) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 06/09/04 Time: 00:00 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1980:3 2003:3 <br> Included observations: 93 after adjusting endp Convergence achieved after 12 iterations Backcast: 1980:2 | LOG(SERE *OG(SET <br> GG(-1)) + C( G(SETFE) + (7),BACKCA <br> 6053*DLOG 6176973*DL 719*(LOG(S 2018473302 | FEX) DLOG E(-1)) MA(1) <br> )*DLOG(S C(6)*(LOG ST=1980:3] <br> (SEMG(-1)) OG(SEREFEX EMG(-1))BACKCAST | EREFEX(-1) <br> EFEX) + <br> MG(-1))- <br> $(-1))+$ <br> 1980:3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | $-2.547376$ | 0.600005 | -4.245593 | 0.0001 |
|  | DLOG(SEMG(-1)) | 0.074817 | 0.062351 | 1.199918 | 0.2335 |
|  | DLOG(SEREFEX) | -0.140432 | 0.072129 | -1.946968 | 0.0548 |
|  | DLOG(SEREFEX(-1)) | -0.109618 | 0.071343 | -1.536490 | 0.1281 |
|  | DLOG(SETFE) | 2.574827 | 0.172807 | 14.90004 | 0.0000 |
|  | LOG(SEMG(-1))-MGTFE*LOG(SETFE(-1)) | $-0.214964$ | 0.050731 | -4.237370 | 0.0001 |
|  | MA(1) | -0.201847 | 0.132404 | -1.524480 | 0.1311 |
|  | R-squared | 0.720718 | Mean depend | t var | 0.010046 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.701233 | S.D. depende |  | 0.035464 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.019385 | Akaike info | erion | -4.976394 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.032315 | Schwarz crit |  | -4.785768 |
|  | Log likelihood | 238.4023 | F-statistic |  | 36.98866 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.992122 | $\operatorname{Prob}(\mathrm{F}-$ statis |  | 0.000000 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots | . 20 |  |  |  |

(06

| Imports of services <br> Dependent variable: SEMS <br> Object name: EKMS | Estimation Command: <br> LS(DERIV=AA) DLOG(SEMS) C DLOG DLOG(SEPMG/(SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) D <br> 1)))) DLOG(SETFE) LOG(SEMS(-1)) LO 1)*(1+SEITR(-1)))) DUM93Q1 MA(1) <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG(SEMS) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{DLOG}($ SEM C(3)*DLOG(SEPMG/(SECEDF* $1+$ SEIT 1)*(1+SEITR(-1)))) + C(5)*DLOG(SETFE) C(7)*LOG(SETFE(-1)) + C(8)*LOG(SEP C(9)*DUM93Q1 + [MA(1)=C(10),BACK <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> DLOG(SEMS) $=-1.213916035+0.11116$ <br> 0.201862019*DLOG(SEPMG/(SECEDF* <br> 1)/(SECEDF(-1)*(1+SEITR(-1)))) +0.981 <br> $0.1291542924 * \operatorname{LOG}($ SEMS $(-1))+0.1938$ <br> $0.07733627557 *$ LOG(SEPMG(-1)/(SECE <br> $0.1269746579 *$ DUM93Q1 + [MA(1) $=-0.2$ <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEMS) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 11/11/04 Time: 10:21 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1980:3 2004:2 <br> Included observations: 96 after adjustments <br> Convergence achieved after 27 iterations <br> Backcast: 1980:2 | SEMS(-1)) <br> LOG(SEPM <br> (SETFE(-1) <br> (-1)) + <br> R)) $+\mathrm{C}(4)$ <br> ) $+\mathrm{C}(6) *$ L <br> MG(-1)/(SE <br> CAST=1980 <br> 7336*DLO <br> 1+SEITR)) <br> 3586545*D <br> 26904*LO <br> F(-1)*(1+ <br> 450705828, | (-1)/(SEC <br> ) LOG(SEP <br> LOG(SEPM <br> G(SEMS(-1)) <br> EDF(-1)*(1 <br> ] <br> (SEMS(-1) <br> $+0.2279225$ <br> OG(SETFE <br> (SETFE(-1)) <br> (STR(-1)))) <br> ACKCAST | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}(-1)^{*}(1+\mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{G}(-1) /(\mathrm{SEC} \end{aligned}$ <br> (-1)/(SEC EITR(-1)) <br> 4*DLOG( <br> 980:3] | ITR(-DF(- <br> DF(- $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | -1.213916 | 0.895686 | -1.355292 | 0.1789 |
|  | DLOG(SEMS(-1)) | 0.111161 | 0.165855 | 0.670228 | 0.5045 |
|  | DLOG(SEPMG/(SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) DLOG(SEPMG(-1)/(SECEDF(1) $*(1+\operatorname{SEITR}(-1))))$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.201862 \\ 0.227923 \end{array}$ | 0.115817 <br> 0.120972 | -1.742932 1.884101 | 0.0849 0.0629 |
|  | DLOG(SETFE) | 0.981359 | 0.258201 | 3.800759 | 0.0003 |
|  | LOG(SEMS(-1)) | -0.129154 | 0.070402 | -1.834531 | 0.0700 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOG(SETFE(-1)) } \\ \text { LOG(SEPMG(-1)/(SECEDF(-1)*(1+SEITR(- } \end{gathered}$ | 0.193873 | 0.121569 | 1.594753 | 0.1144 |
|  | 1)))) | -0.077336 | 0.033804 | -2.287752 | 0.0246 |
|  | DUM93Q1 | -0.126975 | 0.035100 | -3.617554 | 0.0005 |
|  | MA(1) | -0.245071 | 0.238145 | -1.029081 | 0.3063 |
|  | R-squared | 0.420259 | Mean depen | t var | 0.010475 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.359588 | S.D. depend | var | 0.036783 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.029436 | Akaike info | erion | -4.114874 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.074516 | Schwarz crit |  | -3.847755 |
|  | Log likelihood | 207.5140 | F-statistic |  | 6.926898 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.052505 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.000000 |

Inverted MA Roots

## A1.3.2 Asset markets


(08

| Equity price index <br> Dependent variable: SEEQP <br> Object name: EKEQP | Estimation Command: <br> LS DLOG(SEEQP) C LOG(SEEQP(-1)/(D <br> 1)) $\operatorname{SELR}(-1) \mathrm{MA}(1)$ <br> Estimation Equation: <br> DLOG (SEEQP) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2) * \operatorname{LOG}($ SEEQ $\mathrm{C}(3) * \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{SELR})+\mathrm{C}(4) * \mathrm{D}($ SELR $(-1))+\mathrm{C}(5)$ <br> [MA(1)=C(6),BACKCAST=1986:1] <br> Substituted Coefficients: <br> DLOG(SEEQP) $=-0.5883970924-0.12289$ <br> 1)*SERX(-1))) $-0.05110566066 * D(S E L R)$ <br> $0.008175997548 * \operatorname{SELR}(-1)+[\mathrm{MA}(1)=0.26$ <br> Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEEQP) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 04/21/04 Time: 00:09 <br> Sample: 1986:1 2003:4 <br> Included observations: 72 <br> Convergence achieved after 8 iterations <br> Backcast: 1985:4 | G7YVD(-1) <br> QP(-1)/(DG7 )*SLR(-1) $\begin{aligned} & 3940156 * \mathrm{LOC} \\ & \mathbf{j}+0.008383 \\ & 603923328, \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | *SER(-1))) <br> YVD(-1)*SER <br> (SEEQP(-1) <br> 16197*D(SE <br> ACKCAST= | (SELR) D $X(-1)))+$ <br> DG7YVD( $\mathrm{LR}(-1))-$ <br> 986:1] | SELR(- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | -0.588397 | 0.315276 | -1.866292 | 0.0664 |
|  | LOG(SEEQP(-1)(DG7YVD(-1)*SERX(-1))) | -0.122894 | 0.064324 | -1.910552 | 0.0604 |
|  | D(SELR) | -0.051106 | 0.021959 | -2.327319 | 0.0230 |
|  | D(SELR(-1)) | 0.008384 | 0.022035 | 0.380465 | 0.7048 |
|  | SELR(-1) | -0.008176 | 0.007677 | -1.064963 | 0.2908 |
|  | MA(1) | 0.260392 | 0.126527 | 2.057997 | 0.0435 |
|  | R-squared | 0.181217 | Mean depende | nvar | 0.027585 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.119187 | S.D. dependen |  | 0.111937 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.105055 | Akaike info cri | erion | -1.589007 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.728414 | Schwarz criter |  | -1.399285 |
|  | Log likelihood | 63.20427 | F-statistic |  | 2.921478 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.972133 | Prob(F-statistic |  | 0.019210 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots | -. 26 |  |  |  |



## A1.3.3 Economic policy



|  | Dependent Variable: SER3M <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 06/15/04 Time: 13:31 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1996:1 2002:2 <br> Included observations: 26 after adjustments <br> SER3M=.67*SER3M(-1)+C(1)+1.2*(@PCY(SEUND1X(+7))-2.0) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob. |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C(1) } & 1.435912 & 0.177367 & 8.095727 & 0.0000\end{array}$ |
|  | R-squared 0.072969 Mean dependent var 4.184615 <br> Adjusted R-squared 0.072969 S.D. dependent var 0.939316 <br> S.E. of regression 0.904396 Akaike info criterion 2.674604 <br> Sum squared resid 20.44831 Schwarz criterion 2.722992 <br> Log likelihood -33.76985 Durbin-Watson stat 0.469000 |
|  |  |
|  | —— Residual - Actual —— Fitted |

## A1.3.4 Other equations

| VAR for forecasting SESKEW and SEVAR | Vector Autoregression Estimates <br> Date: 10/13/04 Time: 15:26 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1993:4 2004:3 <br> Included observations: 44 after adjustments <br> Standard errors in () \& t-statistics in [ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SESKEW | SEVAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLOG(SEKPI } \\ & 80) \end{aligned}$ | DLOG(SEY) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLOG(SEWH } \\ & \text { P) } \end{aligned}$ | D(SER3M) |
|  | SESKEW(-1) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.038057 \\ (0.05631) \\ {[0.67588]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.007674 \\ (0.37073) \\ {[-2.71809]} \end{array}$ | -0.161066 (0.35710) <br> [-0.45103] |  | $\begin{array}{r} -55.16507 \\ (32.7535) \\ {[-1.68425]} \end{array}$ |
|  | SESKEW(-2) | -0.106891 (0.20290) <br> [-0.52681] | $\begin{gathered} 0.081557 \\ (0.05365) \\ {[1.52022]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.397299 \\ (0.35322) \\ {[1.12479]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7.964012 \\ (31.2066) \\ {[0.25520]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SEVAR(-1) |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.206163 \\ (0.15390) \\ {[-1.33957]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.270183 \\ (1.01330) \\ \text { [ } 2.24039] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.245588 \\ (0.97606) \\ {[-0.25161]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -12.81978 \\ (89.5239) \\ {[-0.14320]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SEVAR(-2) | -0.699568 <br> (0.64787) <br> [-1.07980] | $\begin{gathered} -0.436524 \\ (0.17130) \\ {[-2.54834]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.829468 \\ (1.12783) \\ {[-4.28210]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1.230023 \\ (2.35917) \\ {[-0.52138]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -51.04192 \\ (99.6422) \\ {[-0.51225]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80(-1)) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.002895 \\ (0.02626) \\ {[0.11023]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.245194 \\ (0.17292) \\ {[1.41799]} \end{gathered}$ | 0.063653 $(0.16656)$ $[0.38216]$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.009078 \\ (0.36170) \\ {[-0.02510]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.06756 \\ (15.2770) \\ \text { [ 2.22999] } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80(-2)) | $\begin{array}{r} 0.173140 \\ (0.08752) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.049756 \\ (0.02314) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.434738 \\ & (0.15236) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.102928 \\ (0.14676) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.270612 \\ (0.31871) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.52081 \\ (13.4609) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |



| R-squared | 0.226230 | 0.425847 | 0.637645 | 0.541217 | 0.246648 | 0.650363 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adj. R-squared | -0.109070 | 0.177048 | 0.480625 | 0.342410 | -0.079804 | 0.498853 |
| Sum sq. resids | 0.000131 | $9.14 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000396 | 0.000368 | 0.001733 | 3.092250 |
| S.E. equation | 0.002087 | 0.000552 | 0.003634 | 0.003500 | 0.007601 | 0.321053 |
| F-statistic | 0.674709 | 1.711609 | 4.060904 | 2.722332 | 0.755541 | 4.292553 |
| Log likelihood | 217.5517 | 276.0845 | 193.1600 | 194.8074 | 160.6872 | -4.016899 |
| Akaike AIC | -9.252350 | -11.91293 | -8.143637 | -8.218520 | -6.667602 | 0.818950 |
| Schwarz SC | -8.684653 | -11.34524 | -7.575940 | -7.650823 | -6.099905 | 1.386647 |
| Mean dependent | 0.000327 | 0.000899 | 0.003634 | 0.007146 | 0.011122 | -0.129091 |
| S.D. dependent | 0.001982 | 0.000608 | 0.005042 | 0.004317 | 0.007315 | 0.453518 |
| Determinant resid covariance (dof adj.) |  | 4.53E-28 |  |  |  |  |
| Determinant resid covariance |  | 4.55E-29 |  |  |  |  |
| Log likelihood |  | 1061.106 |  |  |  |  |
| Akaike information criterion |  | -44.41392 |  |  |  |  |
| Schwarz criterion |  | -41.00774 |  |  |  |  |

(

| Transfer payments <br> Dependent variables: SETRAN <br> Object name: EKTRAN | Estimation Command: <br> LS DLOG(SETRAN/(.01*(SECEDF*(1+SEITR)))) C D(SEU(-1)) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimation Equation $=============$ DLOG(SETRAN/(. | $====$ (SECEDF* | +SEITR))) | $C(1)+C(2)$ | * D (SEU( |
|  | Substituted Coefficients: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dependent Variable: DLOG(SETRAN/(.01*(SECEDF*(1+SEITR)))) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 11/11/04 Time: 10:39 <br> Sample: 1990:1 2004:2 <br> Included observations: 58 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 0.002889 | 0.002012 | 1.435465 | 0.1567 |
|  | D(SEU(-1)) | -0.001944 | 0.005080 | -0.382718 | 0.7034 |
|  | R -squared | 0.002609 | Mean depen | t var | 0.002745 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | -0.015202 | S.D. depend | var | 0.014943 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.015056 | Akaike info | erion | -5.520202 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.012694 | Schwarz cri |  | -5.449152 |
|  | Log likelihood | 162.0859 | F-statistic |  | 0.146473 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.054451 | Prob(F-stati |  | 0.703378 |



## A1.4 Supply equations

## A1.4.1 Labor market

| Wage equation as labor share | LS (SEWHB*(1+SEWTR+SECOLLR)*SEEE*SEHOURS)/(SEPY*SEY*.01) C SELABSHE(-1) LOG(SECED(+4)) @MOVAV(SEU/100,4) DUM93Q1 @MOVAV((SETRAN/SEU)/(SECOMP/SEEE),4) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent variable: SEWHB <br> Object | $($ SEWHB $*(1+$ SEWTR + SECOLLR $) *$ SEEE*SEHOURS $) /(S E P Y * S E Y * .01)=$ C $(1)+$ C(2)*SELABSHE(-1) + C(3)*LOG(SECED(+4)) + C(4)*(@MOVAV(SEU/100,4)) + C(5)*DUM93Q1 + C(6)*(@MOVAV((SETRAN/SEU)/(SECOMP/SEEE),4)) |  |  |  |  |
| EKLABSH | Substituted Coefficients: <br> (SEWHB*(1+SEWTR+SECOLLR)*SEEE $0.01608054064+0.6885886909 *$ SELABS $0.03566920207 * \operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SECED}(+4))-0.266$ $0.01794423071 *$ DUM93Q1 + 2.92368952 06*(@MOVAV((SETRAN/SEU)/(SECOM <br> Dependent Variable: (SEWHB*(1+SEWTR+SECO Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 04/18/04 Time: 14:27 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1987:1 2003:3 <br> Included observations: 67 after adjusting endpoints | *SEHOUR <br> HE(-1) + 2886066* eP/SEEE), LLR)*SEEE | )/(SEPY*S <br> MOVAV( <br> SEHOURS)/(S | $\left.E Y^{*} .01\right)=$ <br> SEU/100,4) <br> EPY*SEY* | 4)) - *.01) |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Pro |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { SELABSHE(-1) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.016081 0.688589 | 0.040504 0.071702 | 0.397010 9.603415 | 0.6927 0.0000 |
|  | LOG(SECED $(+4)$ ) | 0.035669 | 0.007946 | 4.489175 |  |
|  | @MOVAV(SEU/100,4) | -0.266289 | 0.089092 | -2.988913 | 0.0040 |
|  | DUM93Q1 | -0.017944 | 0.005611 | -3.198277 | 0.0022 |
|  | @MOVAV((SETRAN/SEU)/(SECOMP/SEEE),4) | 2.92E-06 | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.411720 | 0.6820 |
|  | R-squared | 0.938742 | Mean depend | ent var | 0.521251 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.933721 | S.D. depend | nt var | 0.021289 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.005481 | Akaike info | citerion | -7.489867 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.001832 | Schwarz cris |  | -7.292432 |
|  | Log likelihood | 256.9105 | F-statistic |  | 186.9569 |
|  | $\underline{\text { Durbin-Watson stat }}$ | 1.928278 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.000000 |
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | _- Residual -_ Actual _- Fitted |


| Mean hours worked | Estimation Command: <br> ====================== <br> LS LOG(SEHOURS) C LOG(SEHOURS(-1)) @MOVAV(LOG(SEWRC),8) MA(1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dependent variable: SEHOURS | Estimation Equation: $\begin{aligned} & \text { ====================== } \\ & \text { LOG(SEHOURS) }=\text { C(1) + C(2)*LOG(SEHOURS(-1)) + } \\ & \text { C(3)*(@MOVAV(LOG(SEWRC),8)) }+[\operatorname{MA}(1)=C(4), \text { BACKCAST=1981:4] } \end{aligned}$ |
| Object <br> name: <br> EKHOURS | Substituted Coefficients: $\begin{aligned} & \text { ======================} \\ & \text { LOG(SEHOURS) }=0.1448418267+0.9761592463 * \text { LOG(SEHOURS(-1)) }+ \\ & 0.0007129349162 *(@ M O V A V(L O G(S E W R C), 8))+ \\ & {[M A(1)=0.6602521633, B A C K C A S T=1981: 4]} \end{aligned}$ <br> Dependent Variable: LOG(SEHOURS) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 02/12/04 Time: 14:39 <br> Sample(adjusted): 1981:4 2003:3 <br> Included observations: 88 after adjusting endpoints <br> Convergence achieved after 22 iterations <br> Backcast: 1981:3 |
|  | Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob. |
|  | C 0.144842 0.078460 1.846052 0.0684 <br> LOG(SEHOURS(-1)) 0.976159 0.012404 78.69774 0.0000 <br> @MOVAV(LOG(SEWRC),8) 0.000713 0.004505 0.158251 0.8746 <br> MA(1) 0.660252 0.085542 7.718429 0.0000 |
|  | R-squared 0.995497 Mean dependent var 5.971930 <br> Adjusted R-squared 0.995336 S.D. dependent var 0.026920 <br> S.E. of regression 0.001838 Akaike info criterion -9.715416 <br> Sum squared resid 0.000284 Schwarz criterion -9.602810 <br> Log likelihood 431.4783 F-statistic 6189.991 <br> Durbin-Watson stat 1.208558 Prob(F-statistic) 0.000000 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots -. 66 |
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|  | stimation Command: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| force | stimation Command: |  |  |  |  |
|  | LS DLOG(SELF) C DLOG(SELF(-1)) DLOG(SEWHC/(SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) LOG(SELF(1)) $\operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEWHC}(-1) /(\operatorname{SECEDF}(-1) *(1+\operatorname{SEITR}(-1))))$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent variable: SELF |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimation Equation: |  |  |  |  |
|  | DLOG(SELF) $=\mathrm{C}(1)+\mathrm{C}(2)^{*} \operatorname{DLOG}(\operatorname{SELF}(-1))+$ <br> C(3)*DLOG(SEWHC/(SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) + C(4)*LOG(SELF(-1)) + C(5)*LOG(SEWHC(- <br> 1)/(SECEDF(-1)*(1+SEITR(-1)))) |  |  |  |  |
| Object name: EKLF |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Substituted Coefficients: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dependent Variable: DLOG(SELF) <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 11/11/04 Time: 10:29 <br> Sample (adjusted): 1995:1 2004:2 <br> Included observations: 38 after adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 1.659947 | 1.061950 | 1.563111 | 0.1276 |
|  | dLOG(SELF(-1)) | 0.285613 | 0.186987 | 1.527445 | 0.1362 |
|  | DLOG(SEWHC/(SECEDF* $1+$ SEITR)) | 0.031986 | 0.035928 | 0.890274 | 0.3798 |
|  | LOG(SELF(-1)) | $-0.172871$ | 0.111148 | -1.555325 | 0.1294 |
|  | $\operatorname{LOG}\left(\operatorname{SEWHC}(-1) /(\operatorname{SECEDF}(-1))^{*}(1+\operatorname{SEITR}(-\right.$ | 0.028560 | 0.018910 | 1.510276 | 0.1405 |
|  | R-squared | 0.091583 | Mean depend | var | 0.001125 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | -0.018528 | S.D. depende | var | 0.003816 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.003851 | Akaike info | erion | -8.158705 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.000489 | Schwarz crit |  | -7.943233 |
|  | Log likelihood | 160.0154 | F-statistic |  | 0.831732 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.072737 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.514724 |

(
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## A1.4.2 Price formation


(02

(02

| Consumer | Estimation Command: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price | ==================== |  |  |  |  |
| price | LS(DERIV=AA) DLOG(SEKPI80F* ${ }^{\text {(1+SEITR) }) ~ C ~ D L O G(S E K P I 80(-1)) ~}$ |  |  |  |  |
| index | DLOG(SEPPF*(1+SEITR)) SESKEW SESKEW(-1) SEVAR SESKEW*SEVAR |  |  |  |  |
|  | LOG(SEKPI80(-1))-KPICED*LOG((SECEDF(-1)*(1+SEITR(-1))))-(1- |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent variable: SEKPI80 | KPICED)*LOG(SEPPF(-1)*(1+SEITR)) MA(1) DUM92Q4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimation Equation: |  |  |  |  |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80F* $(1+$ SEITR $)$ ) $=$ C(1) + C(2)*DLOG(SEKPI80(-1)) + |  |  |  |  |
| Object <br> name: <br> SEKPI80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C(3)*DLOG(SEPPF* $(1+$ SEITR $)$ ) + C(4)*SESKEW + C(5)*SESKEW $(-1)+\mathrm{C}(6) *$ SEVAR + |  |  |  |  |
|  | C(7)*SESKEW*SEVAR + C(8)*(LOG(SEKPI80(-1))-0.5765842874*LOG((SECEDF(- |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1)*(1+SEITR(-1))))-(1-0.5765842874)*LOG(SEPPF(-1)*(1+SEITR))) + C(9)*DUM92Q4 + } \\ & {[\text { MA(1) }=\text { C(10),BACKCAST=1980:3] }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Substituted Coefficients: |  |  |  |  |
|  | =============== |  |  |  |  |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80F* ${ }^{*}(1+$ SEITR $)$ ) $=0.04608983545+0.8695715699 *$ DLOG(SEKPI80(-1) $)+$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1.528896868 *$ SESKEW $(-1)+5.440521792 *$ SEVAR $-919.0522126 *$ SESKEW*SEVAR $0.05167988499 *(\operatorname{LOG}(S E K P I 80(-1))-0.5765842874 * L O G((S E C E D F(-1) *(1+S E I T R(-1))))-(1-$ $0.5765842874)^{*} \operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEPPF}(-1) *(1+$ SEITR $\left.))\right)+0.01399256056 * D U M 92 Q 4+[M A(1)=-$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7665415188,BACKCAST=1980:3] |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR)) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
|  | Date: 11/15/04 Time: 10:19 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sample (adjusted): 1980:3 2004:3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Included observations: 97 after adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Convergence achieved after 30 iterations |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backcast: 1980:2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 0.046090 | 0.015396 | 2.993533 | 0.0036 |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80(-1)) | 0.869572 | 0.035358 | 24.59311 | 0.0000 |
|  | DLOG(SEPPF* ${ }^{\text {( }}$ +SEITR) $)$ | 0.128527 | 0.029541 | 4.350870 | 0.0000 |
|  | SESKEW | 2.619009 | 0.777927 | 3.366650 | 0.0011 |
|  | SESKEW(-1) | -1.528897 | 0.459069 | -3.330432 | 0.0013 |
|  | SEVAR | 5.440522 | 1.342493 | 4.052552 | 0.0001 |
|  | SESKEW*SEVAR | -919.0522 | 376.1346 | -2.443413 | 0.0166 |
|  | LOG(SEKPI80(-1))- |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0.5765842874 * L O G((S E C E D F(-~$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1)*(1+SEITR(-1))))-(1- |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0.5765842874) * \operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEPPF}(-1) *(1+$ SEITR $)$ ) | -0.051680 | 0.015876 | -3.255179 | 0.0016 |
|  | DUM92Q4 | 0.013993 | 0.006341 | 2.206638 | 0.0300 |
|  | MA(1) | -0.766542 | 0.085068 | -9.010891 | 0.0000 |
|  | R-squared | 0.693517 | Mean depen | t var | 0.010764 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.661811 | S.D. depend | var | 0.010988 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.006390 | Akaike info | erion | -7.170768 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.003552 | Schwarz crit |  | -6.905334 |
|  | Log likelihood | 357.7823 | F-statistic |  | 21.87392 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.086680 | Prob(F-statistis |  | 0.000000 |
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| GDP | Estimation Command: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LS(DERIV=AA) DLOG(SEPY) C DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR)) |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent variable: SEPY | DLOG((SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) LOG(SEPY(-1)) LOG(SEUND1X(-1)) DUM90Q4 DUM93Q1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimation Equation: |  |  |  |  |
| Object name: <br> EKPY | DLOG(SEPY) $=$ C(1) + C(2)*DLOG(SEKPI80F* $(1+$ SEITR $))+$ <br> C(3)*DLOG((SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) + C(4)*LOG(SEPY(-1)) + C(5)*LOG(SEUND1X(-1)) + <br> C(6)*DUM90Q4 + C(7)*DUM93Q1 + [MA(1)=C(8),BACKCAST $=1989: 2]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Substituted Coefficients: |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLOG(SEPY) }=0.06777283698+0.2814926498 * \text { DLOG(SEKPI80F*(1+SEITR)) }+ \\ & 0.4942994067 * \text { DLOG((SECEDF*(1+SEITR))) }-0.03339700305 * L O G(S E P Y(-1))+ \\ & 0.01561109254 * \text { LOG(SEUND1X(-1)) }-0.01876406899 * \text { DUM90Q4 -- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dependent Variable: DLOG(SEP <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 11/15/04 Time: 13:35 <br> Sample: 1989:2 2004:3 <br> Included observations: 62 <br> Convergence achieved after 21 ite Backcast: 1989:1 | ations |  |  |  |
|  | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | C | 0.067773 | 0.018992 | 3.568485 | 0.0008 |
|  | DLOG(SEKPI80F* $\left.{ }^{(1+\text { SEITR }}\right)$ ) | 0.281493 | 0.136655 | 2.059883 | 0.0442 |
|  | $\operatorname{DLOG}($ SECEDF* $(1+$ SEITR $)$ ) | 0.494299 | 0.192541 | 2.567240 | 0.0131 |
|  | LOG(SEPY(-1)) | -0.033397 | 0.068858 | -0.485010 | 0.6296 |
|  | LOG(SEUND1X(-1)) | 0.015611 | 0.056023 | 0.278653 | 0.7816 |
|  | DUM9004 | -0.018764 | 0.010080 | -1.861541 | 0.0681 |
|  | dum93Q1 | -0.032720 | 0.006374 | -5.133027 | 0.0000 |
|  | MA(1) | -0.963102 | 0.015788 | -61.00250 | 0.0000 |
|  | R-squared | 0.624077 | Mean depend | nt var | 0.006286 |
|  | Adjusted R-squared | 0.575346 | S.D. depende | var | 0.012231 |
|  | S.E. of regression | 0.007970 | Akaike info C | erion | -6.706292 |
|  | Sum squared resid | 0.003430 | Schwarz crite |  | -6.431823 |
|  | Log likelihood | 215.8950 | F-statistic |  | 12.80662 |
|  | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.683231 | Prob(F-statistic) |  | 0.000000 |
|  | Inverted MA Roots | . 96 |  |  |  |
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## Appendix 2: Variables and data updating

## A2.1 Foreign variables

Country prefixes:

| AN | Australia, New Zeeland |
| :--- | :--- |
| BG | Belgium |
| CH | China |
| CN | Canada |
| CR | Check republic |
| DE | Developing economies |
| DK | Denmark |
| EL | EMU |
| FE | Far East |
| FN | Finland |
| FR | France |
| GE | Germany |
| GR | Greece |
| HU | Hungary |
| IR | Ireland |
| IT | Italy |
| JP | Japan |
| LA | Latin America |
| MX | Mexico |
| NL | Netherlands |
| NW | Norway |
| OE | Austria |
| PO | Poland |
| PT | Portugal |
| SE | Sweden |
| SK | South Korea |
| SL | Slovenia |
| SP | Spain |
| SW | Switzerland |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| US | USA |
| VG | Visegrad |
| WD | World |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Suffix for foreign variables

| GA | gross foreign assets, \$ Bn |
| :--- | :--- |
| GL | gross foreign liabilities \$ Bn |
| IPDC | interest profit, dividend, credit, \$ Mn |
| IPDD | interest profit, dividend, debet, \$ Mn |
| MGI | import of goods, index |
| PANF | agricultural non-food prices, 2000=100 |
| PFDV | price on food from developed countries |
| PFLD | price of food from less developed countries |
| PMM | price index on metals |
| PO | price of oil, \$ per barrell |
| PXA | overall export price index, 2000100 |
| PXG | price index for export of goods |
| R3M | short run 3-month nominal interest rate |
| RX | exchange rate vs \$ |
| TT | total trade |
| ULT | trend in unit labour cost |
| Y | GDP |

## A2.2 Domestic variables

Prefix is SE

| APROD | labour productivity [Y/(HOURS*E)] |
| :---: | :---: |
| BI | business investment, fixed 2000 prices, SEK Bn |
| BPT | balance of payments transfers, SEK Bn |
| BUD | government budget surplus, SEK Bn |
| C | household consumption expenditure, fixed 2000 prices, SEK |
| CAPSH | capital share [1- LABSH |
| CARK | car capital stock in 2000 prices, SEK, Bn |
| CBR | current balance as percent of GDP |
| CBV | current balance value, SEK B |
| CCAR | household expenditure on cars, 2000 prices, SEK Bn |
| CCH | expenditures of non-profit oranisations, 2000 prices, SEK Bn |
| CDUR | household expenditure on durable and semi-durable goods, SEK Bn |
| CED | household consumption deflator, 2000=100 |
| CEDF | household consumption deflator less indirect taxes, 2000=100 |
| CIN | foreigners' consumption expenditure in Sweden, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| CNOND | household consumption expenditure on nondurables and services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| COLL | total payroll taxes, SEK Bn |
| COLLE | payroll taxes for employed persons, SEK Bn |
| COLLR | payroll tax rate |
| COMP | total compensation, SEK Bn |
| COMPB | total compensation less payroll and wage taxes, SEK Bn |
| COMPBE | total compensation less payroll and wage taxes for employed persons, SEK Bn |
| COMPE | total compensation for employed persons, SEK Bn |
| CONSR | real discount factor for permanent income |
| COST |  |
| COUT | Swedish households' consumption expenditure abroad, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| CPX | index of foreign export prices, 2000=100 |
| CR | $\text { compensation ratio } \frac{\frac{T R A N}{U \cdot L F}}{\frac{\text { COMP }}{E E}}$ |
| CSHARE | contribution from household expenditure to GDP growth rate |
| CTOT | household consumption expenditure as sum of components, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| CTOUR | CIN-COUT, net tourist expenditure, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| CU | capacity utilization |
| DAG | daily payment for unemployed, SEK |
| DEBT | public debt, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| DEBTP | public debt, SEK Bn |


| DS | changes in inventories, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| :---: | :---: |
| DTAX | miscellaneous taxes, SEK Bn |
| E | total number of employed persons, Th |
| EE | total number of employed employees, Th |
| EFEX | effective exchange rate |
| EK | replacement ratio |
| EQP | equity price index |
| EQPR | rate of return on foreign liabilities |
| FTAXR | corporate profit taxe rate |
| GA | gross foreign assets, SEK Bn |
| GBR | government budget surplus as percent of GDP |
| GBRT | government budget surplus as percent of GDP, target |
| GC | government consumption expenditure, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| GCSHARE | contribution from government consumption expenditure to GDP growth rate |
| GDR | government debt as percent of GDP |
| GDRM | government debt as percent of GDP, target |
| GI | government investment expenditure, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| GIP | government interest payments, SEK Bn |
| GL | gross foreign liabilities, SEK Bn |
| GSHARE | contribution from government expenditure to GDP growth rate |
| GW | household gross financial wealth, SEK Bn |
| HI | housing investment expenditure, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| HOURS | mean hours worked, Mn |
| HS | number of housing starts, Th |
| HW | housing wealth, SEK Bn |
| I | total investment expenditure, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| INF | rate of inflation, measured with the consumption expenditure deflator, percent |
| IP | index of industrial production, 1995=100 |
| IPDC | interest profit, dividend, credit, \$ Mn |
| IPDD | interest profit, dividend, debet, \$ Mn |
| ISHARE | contribution from investment expenditure to GDP growth rate |
| IT | indirect taxes, SEK Bn |
| ITR | indirect tax rate |
| KCU | $\text { real user cost of capital, } \frac{U S E R}{0.01 \cdot C E D}$ |
| KG | government capital stock, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| KP | private sector capital stock, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| KPDEP |  |
| KPI80 | consumer price index, 1980=100 |
| KPI80F | consumer price index less indirect taxes, 1980=100 |
| LABSH | labour share, $\frac{H O U R S \cdot E \cdot W H P}{0.01 \cdot P Y \cdot Y}$ |
| LABSHE | labour share employees, $\frac{H O U R S \cdot E E \cdot W H P}{0.01 \cdot P Y \cdot Y}$ |
| LAT | latent employed (discouraged workers), Th |
| LF | labour force, number of persons, Th |


| LIABS | household liabilities, SEK Bn |
| :---: | :---: |
| LR | long run (10 year) nominal interest rate, percent |
| M | imports of goods and services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| MG | imports of goods, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| MGV | imports of goods, SEK Bn |
| MISC | miscellaneous financial assets, SEK Bn |
| MS | imports of services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| MSER | imports of services, SEK Bn |
| MSHARE | contribution from imports of goods and services to GDP growth rate |
| MVOL | MG+MS, imports of goods and services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| NA | net foreign assets, SEK Bn |
| NOM | nominal GDP, 0.01•PY $\cdot$ Y |
| NW | household net financial assets, SEK Bn |
| NXSHARE | contribution from net exports of goods and services to GDP growth rate |
| OG | GDP output gap, percent |
| OPI | other personal income, SEK, Bn |
| PI | personal income, COMP+OPI+WT+TRAN-TAX-IT-WT-COLLDTAX, SEK Bn |
| PINV | business investment deflator, 2000=100 |
| PK | implicit price index on private capital stock, 2000=100 |
| PMA | import price index, 2000=100 |
| PMG | import price index for manufactured goods, 2000=100 |
| PMGI | implicit price index for imported goods, 2000=100 |
| PMSI | implicit price index for imported services, 2000=100 |
| PP | producer price index, 2000=100 |
| PPF | producer price index less indirect taxes, 2000=100 |
| PREM | equity price risk premium |
| PROF | gross operating surplus, SEK Bn |
| PROFIT | business profits, SEK Bn |
| PSI | private sector investments, BI+HI, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| PXA | export price index, 2000=100 |
| PXG | export price index for manufactured goods, 2000=100 |
| PXGI | export price index for goods, $2000=100$ |
| PXSI | export price index for services, $2000=100$ |
| PY | GDP deflator, 2000=100 |
| R3M | short (3 months) nominal interest rate |
| REFEX | real effective exchange rate |
| REPR | replacement ratio (unemployment benefit to wage rate) |
| RGW | real gross financial wealth, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| RHW | real housing wealth, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| RNW | real net financial wealth, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| ROR | rate of return on financial assets |
| RPX | relative export price index |
| RR | real rate of interest, LR - expected inflation |
| RULT | trend in unit labour costs, 2000=100 |
| RX | dollar exchange rate, SEK/\$ |
| S | export markets, index, 2000=100 |


| SAPP | Riksbank's measure of export markets |
| :---: | :---: |
| SKEW | skewness of relative price changes |
| SL | stock of inventories, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| SOLOW | Solow residual, $\frac{d Y}{Y}-L A B S H \frac{d(H O U R S \cdot E)}{(H O U R S \cdot E)}-C A P S H \frac{d(U S E R \cdot(K P+K G))}{(U S E R \cdot(K P+K G)}$ |
| SR | savings ratio, $\frac{Y D-C}{Y D} \cdot 100$, percent |
| TAX | direct tax revenue, SEK Bn |
| TAXR | direct tax rate |
| TAXTOT | total tax revenues, TAX+COLL+WT+IT+FTAX+DTAX |
| TECHL | technological progress variable |
| TECHN | technological progress variable |
| TFE | total expenditure, Y-M, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| TFP | total factor productivity |
| TIM | total number of hours worked, Mn |
| TIME | total number of hours worked by employees, Mn |
| TNW | total wealth, HW+NW |
| TQ | $\text { Tobin's } \mathrm{Q}, \frac{E Q P}{P I N V}$ |
| TRAN | transfer payments, SEK Bn |
| TRNW | total real net wealth, SEK Bn |
| U | open unemployment rate, percent |
| ULC | unit labour cost, $\frac{\text { COMP }}{Y}=\frac{W H P}{\frac{Y}{H O U R S \cdot E}}=\frac{W H P}{A P R O D}$ |
| ULT | trend in unit labour cost |
| UND1X | underlying inflation index, 1980=100 |
| UNDINF | underlying inflation, percent |
| USER | user cost of capital |
| UT | extended unemployment rate, percent |
| UTC | $\text { unit total cost, } U L C+\frac{U S E R \cdot(K P+K G)}{Y}$ |
| VAR | variance of relative price changes |
| WEDGE | wedge between consumer and producer wage, $\frac{W H C}{W H P}=\frac{\frac{W H B(1-T A X R)}{1+I T R}}{W H B(1+C O L L R+W T R)}=\frac{1-T A X R}{(1+I T R)(1+C O L L R+W T R)}$ |
| WHB | $\text { gross wage rate, } \frac{W H P}{1+C O L L R+W T R}$ |
| WHBE | gross wage rate for employees |
| WHC | consumer wage rate, $\frac{W H B(1-T A X R)}{1+I T R}$ |
| WHCE | consumer wage rate for employees |
| WHP | producer wage rate |
| WHPE | producer wage rate for employed |
| WRB | gross real wage rate |


| WRC | real consumer wage rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| WRP | real producer wage rate |
| X | exports of goods and services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| XG | exports of goods, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| XGV | exports of goods, SEK Bn, current prices |
| XS | exports of services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| XSER | exports of services, SEK Bn, current prices |
| XSHARE | contribution from exports of goods and services to GDP growth rate |
| XVOL | exports of goods and services, SEK Bn, 2000 prices |
| Y | gross domestic product, GDP, Sek Bn, 2000 prices |
| YPOT | potential GDP, estimated from production function |
| YTREND | potential GDP, estimated through HP-filter |

## A2.3 Data updating

Data are mainly collected from the data base in Nigem (National Institute Global Econometric Model) and otherwise from Statistics Sweden or Ecowin. The data are quarterly and the base from Nigem hence is updated four times a year.

Data from Nigem presupposes that you have a license for that program and extract data from within Nigem. To do that open the

## Niesr EXTGEM

module and you'll find the following screen


Click on button A and you'll find


Click on A (Load Base data file (Ni0)) and choose the suggested file. Click on the button Accept. Then the following screen appears

and you click on Tools to find

where you choose 1: Excel Import format. Then you find

and you choose Load extraction file. Then the screen

pops up and you choose to open the suggested file bm1. The screen

then appears and you can notice that variables from AFCBV to GEY are going to be extracted. Click on Go and

appears. You choose $\underline{A}$ : Levels to get a new pop-up screen on which you choose a name for your Excel sheet. I choose bm1 and the file then will be
bm1.xlz
You will have to repeat these steps 4 times. You do it for all the extraction files
bm1.ext
bm2.ext
bm3.ext
bm4.ext
to get the Excel sheets
bm1.xlz
bm2.xlz
bm3.xlz
bm4.xlz
The next step is to copy and paste the data from Excel to Eviews. You then open the first Excel sheet bm1.xlz and choose Kopiera for all of the data in the sheet:


You should also open the Eviews workfile with BASMOD. Within Eviews you open the program file bm1.prg which is located on

## S:\APP\APP Modellenheten\BASMOD\BASE\0402lbm1.prg

and find something like the following screen:


Click on the button Run in the Programs window and you find

| EVie | [Group: U | LED | ile: B | OD 041112 | 1112] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - a $^{1}$ \| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eile | Qbiect | Proc | Options | indow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \| d $^{\text {x }} \times$ |
| View/ Proc | Object Print\| | Name/Freeze | Default | Sort\|Transp | Editil-\| | 1+i-\|InsDel | tte\| Sample] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| obs | AFCBV | AFGL | AFMGI | ANCBV | ANCED | ANGL | ANMGI | ANMSER | ANPXG | ANRX | ANY | BGCBV | BGGL | BGMGI | BGMSER | BGPXG | BGPY |
| 1991:1 | -1873.140 | 146.6245 | 93.33550 | -3073.000 | 90.84570 | 228.4005 | 78.97230 | 4203.464 | 105.8738 | 0.945900 | 99.83180 | -196.7050 | 397.6332 | 89.03700 | 7542.499 | 1078373 | 83.1000 - |
| 1991:2 | -2137.140 | 150.2471 | 100 | -2724.000 | 90.95530 | 23.19740 | 79.28130 | 4163.470 | 102.3203 | 0.955600 | 99.34630 | 2302.000 | 400.767 | 89.76450 | 7013.724 | 96.3028 | 83.5000 |
| 199 | .522.14 | 151.7768 | 95.00940 | -3798.000 | 91.47640 | 36.621 | 9.758 | 4210.796 | 102.2794 | 470 | . 51 | 768.32 | 405.4359 | 89.94450 | 6978.274 | 443 | 200 |
| 1991:4 | 860.12 | 151.1524 | 95.15770 | 550 | 92.23120 | 240.0210 | 81.01940 | 4302.270 | 99.40630 | 490 | 99.52420 | 1872.390 | 409.0000 | 89.97130 | 7254332 | 102.6687 | 85.1000 C |
| 1992:1 | 3116.093 | 148.4136 | 2.28 | 2307.00 | 28570 | 27.7055 | 2.76020 | 4231.494 | 4.21 | 0.986000 | 100.422 | 396.01 | 412.266 | 92.075 | 7410.474 | 103.4664 | 85.800 |
| 199 | 241 | 147.5471 | 91.41330 | -2441.000 | 2.120 | 235.5240 | 84.34310 | 4432.654 | 7.059 | 0.980100 | 100.662 | 93. | 414.0355 | 93.02600 | 7587.349 |  | 6600 |
| 1992:3 | -2271.093 | 7.5534 | 6.3648 | -4475.000 | 92.16150 | 235.3765 | 793380 | 4317.300 | 93.66520 | 11500 | 101.4963 | 1535.690 | 416.1623 | 94.959 | 8306.00 | 111.2864 | 87.200 |
| 1992:4 | -1955.093 | 148.5895 | 1.91 | -2973.000 | 57300 | 3.7270 | 11150 | 66.552 | 92.65260 | S69 | 102.7920 | 2824.780 | 7.0000 | 9.04570 | 7694.995 | 28230 | .9000 |
| 1993:1 | -2073.752 | 154.7653 | 91.47890 | -1870.000 | 93.35500 | 240.6990 | 86.54170 | 4138.315 | 92.23950 | 1.073400 | 1043339 | 2562.710 | 422.4965 | 91.40920 | 7553.150 | 9794870 | 89.40000 |
| 1993:2 | -2903.752 | 156.0317 | 117.9563 | -2156.000 | 93.71120 | 247.9570 | 88.82470 | 4188.333 | 93.56170 | 1.057000 | 1048430 | 1929.400 | 428.6264 | 93.18980 | 7826.990 | 99.39600 | 89.90000 |
| 1993:3 | -2123.752 | 152.3021 | 95.18310 | -3800.000 | 94.16340 | 256.8590 | 89.00720 | 4272.166 | 90.80640 | 1.090600 | 105.0635 | 3086.990 | 433.5986 | 92.21710 | 7326.907 | 36140 | .6000 |
| 1993:4 | -2172.752 | 144.7736 | 94.81210 | -2603.000 | 94.36910 | 264.5640 | 91.52600 | 4319.186 | 92.77050 | 1.090500 | 107.0668 | 3657.900 | 8.0000 | 94.80700 | 7288.394 | 94.76070 | 91.40000 |
| 1994.1 | -2092.018 | 135.2553 | 94.94190 | -1839.000 | 94.65730 | 272.8493 | 93.04310 | 4601.871 | 95.29660 | 1.034100 | 109.0217 | 2824.570 | 452.8181 | 97.31570 | 8584.716 | 94.23470 | 91.30000 |
| 1994.2 | -1878.018 | 128.2386 | 102.0386 | -4025.000 | 95.28800 | 283.3205 | 97.16520 | 4711.963 | 96.65700 | 1.010400 | 110.2218 | 2332.640 | 468.1281 | 99.39410 | 8714.464 | 97.54920 | 92.00000 |
| 19943 | -1168.018 | 123.9190 | 101.0292 | -7798.000 | 95.91790 | 297.5648 | 103.1194 | 5091.137 | 101.2347 | 0.989000 | 111.4128 | 3380.460 | 482.3904 | 100.7400 | 9360.648 | 102.8359 | 92.60000 |
| 1994:4 | -1578.018 | 123.8562 | 101.9904 | -5869.000 | 96.72630 | 309.8800 | 106.6723 | 5155.028 | 107.2938 | 0.966500 | 111.8165 | 4033.030 | 496.0000 | 102.5502 | 9840.345 | 106.2326 | 92.90000 |
| 1995:1 | -2072.655 | 120.8451 | 106.2406 | -5526.000 | 98.31670 | 316.1570 | 110.9483 | 5448.455 | 111.0403 | 0.969900 | 112.5769 | 3782.120 | 510.2760 | 105.2248 | 7987.254 | 109.4901 | 93.00000 |
| 1995:2 | -1831.655 | 121.1569 | 106.1612 | -5038.000 | 99.59180 | 321.9460 | 108.8821 | 5422.837 | 109.1125 | 0.988900 | 113.8183 | 3142.100 | 525.1920 | 105.5351 | 8578.953 | 116.4791 | 93.30000 |
| 1995:3 | -2061.655 | 129.2103 | 111.9859 | -6608.000 | 100.6756 | 329.3050 | 106.7861 | 5424.645 | 110.8889 | 0.975100 | 115.4628 | 2776.590 | 540.4735 | 104.1755 | 8304.175 | 113.8965 | 93.50000 |
| 1995:4 | -2538.655 | 142.8544 | 111.5608 | -5155.000 | 101.4160 | 335.2110 | 108.3194 | 5508.064 | 108.0798 | 0.967700 | 116.1119 | 4531.610 | 554.0000 | 104.5791 | 8263.789 | 114.6001 | 93.70000 |
| 1996.1 | -889.9675 | 66.6950 | 119.0210 | -3836.000 | 101.8546 | 347.4095 | 113.6468 | 5737.472 | 108.2550 | 0.955800 | 117.9128 | 3649.410 | 550.7910 | 108.2244 | 8451.834 | 112.3629 | 94.10000 |
| 1996:2 | -431.9675 | 181.9300 | 118.9239 | -4130.000 | 102.5261 | 359.9020 | 114.4570 | 5909.456 | 109.5029 | 0.916500 | 118.5253 | 3070.560 | 548.1608 | 108.7069 | 7927.814 | 110.2008 | 94.30000 |
| 19963 | -507.9675 | 185.3525 | 125.7001 | -6749.000 | 102.7998 | 375.0135 | 115.9429 | 5873.921 | 106.3993 | 0.918200 | 119.8757 | 3080.430 | 545.5208 | 110.7381 | 7937.508 | 110.1693 | 94.50000 |
| 1996:4 | -1270.968 | 176.8892 | 127.0183 | -4986.000 | 103.0871 | 388.3620 | 117.5878 | 5983.152 | 105.1705 | 0.909000 | 120.7590 | 3961.120 | 542.0000 | 109.1976 | 7752.335 | 107.6118 | 95.0000 |
| 1997:1 | -927.1375 | 163.1975 | 122.0855 | -3427.000 | 103.1424 | 380.6048 | 116.3986 | 5932.568 | 104.0463 | 0.927800 | 121.2717 | 3239.420 | 538.7391 | 116.7550 | 7551.594 | 99.18690 | 95.20000 |
| 1997:2 | -1184.138 | 156.7815 | 120.8364 | -3354.000 | 102.9639 | 372.7745 | 123.1769 | 6024.789 | 102.7326 | 0.938500 | 123.8139 | 3264.600 | 535.4531 | 122.1802 | 7963.487 | 96.03510 | 95.50000 |
| 19973 | -858.1375 | 150.67 | 125.6 | -5760.00 | 102.63 | 367.3503 | 125.206 | 5980.814 | 100.6837 | 0.983700 | 124.3692 | 3327.090 | 532.1046 | 121.1405 | 7991.905 | 90.91010 | 96.10000 |
| 199 | 1344.13 | 47.35 | 27902 | 147.00 | 103.003 | 360.31 | 23.4849 | 5809.82 | 96.813 | 1.04380 | 125.838 | 4083.160 | 528.0000 | 123.5620 | 8156.803 | 93.14820 | 96.30000 |
| 1998 | -2228.868 | 147.2077 | 3.3487 | 115.000 | 103.223 | 364.4142 | 129.6891 | 5484.698 | 93.4536 | 1.088800 | 127.0217 | 2483.310 | 547.5587 | 126.3969 | 8167.05 | 89.05930 | 96.80000 |
| 1998:2 | -1810.868 | 145.1805 | 34.0429 | 462.000 | 103.7578 | 368.8625 | 129.9088 | 5387.026 | 87.0716 | 1.156700 | 128.4202 | 2918.960 | 566.6816 | 131.1055 | 8427.66 | 89.57180 | 97.30000 |
| 1998:3 | -973.8676 | 146.7127 | 46.2934 | 5465.000 | 104.114 | 375.3138 | 130.6254 | 5309.882 | 82.65950 | 1.213900 | 130.2175 | 2564.860 | 586.1588 | 135.5490 | 8702.719 | 91.49090 | 97.60000 |
| 1998:4 | -1287.868 | 152.6323 | 47.0893 | -5129.000 | 104.389 | 380.4290 | 129.3028 | 5589.394 | 85.46630 | 1.166900 | 132.3334 | 4200.760 | 604.0000 | 130.5533 | 9113.69 | 98.46750 | 8.00000 |
| 1999:1 | -3105.671 | 48.8860 | 131.2290 | -4904.000 | 104.3622 | 396.6505 | 131.8956 | 5521.732 | 83.27850 | 1.147200 | 133.4497 | 3716.350 | 607.3052 | 129.9513 | 9571.766 | 95.61330 | 8.30000 |
| 1999.2 | -2379.671 | 153.2500 | 141.1676 | -6649.000 | 104.7736 | 414.6170 | 134.3489 | 5663.496 | 86.06500 | 1.115900 | 134.6633 | 3124.770 | 611.2021 | 133.5133 | 9687.064 | 91.17740 | 98.80000 |
| 1999.3 | -2331.671 | 173.7371 | 135.5851 | -8061.000 | 105.5829 | 433.9955 | 142.1253 | 5898.604 | 87.38440 | 1.124400 | 135.5846 | 3899.540 | 614.3242 | 138.7289 | 9715.189 | 91.05300 | 98.90000 |
| 1999:4 | 2329.671 | 206.7937 | 134.4628 | -6197.000 | 106.0903 | 451.5100 | 151.2320 | 5827.168 | 89.38010 | 1.138700 | 137.2771 | 3345.740 | 618.0000 | 141.5037 | 10193.10 | 90.23200 | 99.10000 |
| 2000:1 | 2530.500 | 259.6510 | 140.0810 | -4749.000 | 107.0500 | 445.3600 | 147.5767 | 5973.836 | 92.89680 | 1.161700 | 138.6132 | 3396.920 | 616.6983 | 146.3387 | 11095.06 | 85.90260 | 99.30000 |
| 2000:2 | 2528.500 | 287.9889 | 142.5447 | -4995.000 | 107.9137 | 439.4560 | 146.8244 | 5785.313 | 90.87430 | 1.239300 | 140.0678 | 3365.890 | 615.4276 | 148.3157 | 10241.46 | 81.60920 | 99.90000 |
| 2000:3 | 3206.500 | 29.4858 | 140.8487 | -4888.000 | 111.5621 | 433.4450 | 146.5058 | 5598.629 | 90.17140 | 1.282100 | 140.2319 | 2484.090 | 615.0388 | 150.2786 | 10308.31 | 79.19600 | 100.3000 |
| 2000:4 | 2019.500 | 271.5411 | 129.7400 | -3311.000 | 112.0959 | 425.8570 | 140.4817 | 5574.223 | 85.49350 | 1.383000 | 139.4360 | 2134.050 | 615.0000 | 151.8212 | 10222.88 | 76.04540 | 100.6000 |
| 2001:1 | 178.5000 | 226.8616 | 150.1658 | -1627.000 | 113.0292 | 426.6375 | 142.2348 | 5578.301 | 87.61700 | 1.376100 | 140.4246 | 2731.480 | 624.1166 | 155.5041 | 11047.23 | 80.76110 | 100.6000 |
| 2001:2 | 239.5000 | 201.7446 | 153.3622 | -1989.000 | 114.0161 | 427.7800 | 137.3368 | 5241.040 | 84.25140 | 1.426200 | 142.4908 | 766.1940 | 635.1985 | 152.8303 | 10924.46 | 76.44860 | 101.8000 |
| 2001:3 | 1028.500 | 191.8063 | 135.1200 | -2888.000 | 114.3859 | 429.8215 | 139.2017 | 5244.664 | 82.37360 | 1.422200 | 143.8629 | 2884.500 | 644.1621 | 148.1856 | 10535.44 | 77.90640 | 102.1000 |
| 2001:4 | 434.5000 | 200.2542 | 157.5454 | -3461.000 | 115.3320 | 432.4360 | 142.2945 | 5170.995 | 79.89730 | 1.428200 | 145.6008 | 3010.230 | 653.0000 | 151.3335 | 10808.67 | 78.19020 | 102.7000 |
| 2002:1 | -1024.250 | 224.1526 | 167.0263 | -1939.000 | 116.3742 | 448.3483 | 149.7235 | 5310.914 | 79.47980 | 1.409100 | 146.7204 | 4689.762 | 690.0453 | 155.8148 | 11267.65 | 76.09030 | 103.2000 |
| 2002:2 | -680.2500 | 246.5868 | 158.2650 | $-4310.000$ | 117.2786 | 466.6315 | 151.0157 | 5736.411 | 82.91810 | 1.320300 | 148.4946 | 4420.833 | 727.3595 | 162.4043 | 12019.98 | 79.32800 | 103.5000 |
| 2002:3 | -607.2500 | 238.0072 | 161.9726 | -6373.000 | 118.0190 | 486.9778 | 153.8516 | 5737.856 | 84.61260 | 1.324600 | 149.8188 | 2802.382 | 766.2922 | 163.8210 | 13075.57 | 85.24140 | 103.6000 |
| 2002:4 | -832.2500 | 202.3201 | 169.8387 | -6680.000 | 118.7866 | 507.6310 | 165.1154 | 6085.820 | 86.03110 | 1.295500 | 150.2471 | 3027.234 | 805.0000 | 166.5646 | 13003.45 | 87.43120 | 103.8000 |
| 03:1 | -1161.761 | 200.5786 | 169.5258 | -5202.000 | 120.1857 | 560.8525 | 165.4138 | 6307.248 | 90.21600 | 1.212800 | 151.4658 | 3367.595 | 808.2200 | 160.1229 | 13484.65 | 95.37490 | 104.5000 |
| 03:2 | 644.9180 | 197.7240 | 170.2448 | -8776.000 | 120.1857 | 617.6481 | 169.0689 | 6185.569 | 93.74310 | 1.129800 | 151.6234 | 4582.704 | 811.4528 | 164.6349 | 14572.95 | 101.8092 | 105.2000 |
| 2003:3 | 1196.621 | 191.8023 | 178.1950 | -10274.00 | 120.9261 | 675.9415 | 170.0833 | 6865.123 | 94.89530 | 1.098400 | 154.1081 | 4443.168 | 814.6985 | 168.9568 | 14022.94 | 101.5078 | 105.7000 |
| 2003:4 | -947.7922 | 191.8579 | 55.895 | 9639.000 | 21.5016 | 733.6000 | 72.1303 | 7796.060 | 100.1013 | 1.012300 | 156.1089 | 1332.158 | 853.9244 | 175.5635 | 14507.07 | 107.7059 | 105.9000. |
| 2004:1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |
| 」-1873 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Path $=\mathrm{c}$ : | ocuments | d settings | nasslskiiv | ordlefterfrá | projektet\} | al mstage | DB $=$ none | W/F = bas | d 041112 |

the relevant variables opened in a Group window. You now shall copy the data from Excel inte Eviews. Put the cursor in the 1991:1 cell for AFCBV and then Click on the Edit+/button.

Now you can Paste your data into Eviews by clicking on the right-button on your mouse and choose Paste.

Again, repeat these steps 4 times. Then the data extraction from Nigem to Eviews is finished.
Now you also have to do some transformations of the new data. You do that by loading the program

S:\APP\APP Modellenheten\BASMOD\BASE\0402\data transformations.prg
into Eviews and run it.
Hopefully, you are now finished and can run BASMOD with the new data. With a little luck you can perform this procedure on less than half an hour.

## Appendix 3: The Labour Market with Prices and Wages in AMOD

(Notation is here changed to a more well-known and convenient form.)
In the simplified description above we used a production function in which output $Y$ only depended on labour input $H$. Suppose instead that we use the more general production function
$Y=F(K, H A)$
where $K$ is the capital stock and $H$ is the number of hours worked. We also use the definition
$E \equiv \frac{H}{H^{M}}$
where $H^{M}$ is the mean number of hours worked. $H A$ is the number of efficient hours worked where $A$ is an efficiency index. $A$ can be measured in various ways and BASMOD uses two alternative specifications, one in which $A$ follows a simple time trend and the other more complicated specification in which $A$ depends on the degree of education of the employed.

The specification of the production function and other functions in the model is also a somewhat delicate matter. Macro models often employ simple functional forms - like the Cobb-Douglas. However, empirical studies often tend to reject the simple forms and favour more flexible models like the Translog or different versions of CES. Functional forms and the dynamic specification have been compared in the process of finding a suitable specification.

More generally, there is a profit function

$$
\text { Profit }=P(Y) Y-W H-\rho K
$$

where $P(Y)$ is the demand function and $\rho$ the user cost of capital. An equivalent formulation is

Profit $=P(Y) Y-\frac{W}{A} H A-\rho K$
in terms of the shadow wage rate $W^{*} \equiv \frac{W}{A}$ and efficient hours $H^{*} \equiv H A$. With this setup the conventional analysis can be carried out in terms of shadow wages and efficient hours and a suitable specification of the efficiency index. The cost function can be written ${ }^{13}$
$C=C\left(Y, W^{*}, \rho\right)$
The cost function is very important since its partial derivatives determine both the demand for inputs and the marginal cost.

[^10]The demand for labour is determined by the partial derivative of the cost function w.r.t. $W^{*}$ and gives
$H=H\left(Y, W^{*}, \rho\right) A^{-1}$
i.e. hours demanded generally depend on the same variables that enter the cost function. Note also that the demand system - i.e. the demand for labour as well as capital - implies that the demand for capital can be derived as a residual equation once the demand for labour has been estimated and established:
$K=K\left(Y, W^{*}, \rho\right)$
so that the system implied by the two demand functions above is singular.
It is then assumed that the labour market is characterized by union negotiations and that the unions try to achieve a high wage share. We assume that the wage share depends on some structural factors and the rate of unemployment. As it turns out, the wage share depends positively on the replacement ratio and negatively on the rate of unemployment [Pissarides, 2000]. It is also assumed that the power of the unions is strong so that the real wage rate ends up at a level above the competitive wage rate. Therefore the demand for labour determines equilibrium in the labour market. The properties of the market is illustrated in the diagram below.


The real wage rate is on the vertical axis and employment on the horizontal axis. The definition $E \equiv \frac{H}{H^{M}}$ is used so that the level of employment is determined once $H^{M}$ is determined and the rate of unemployment once the labour force $L$ is determined.

The mean number of hours is determined by the equation

$$
H^{M}=H^{M}\left(\frac{W}{P}\right)
$$

and the labour force by the equation

$$
L=L\left(\frac{W}{P}, T\right)
$$

where $T$ is a time trend.

Appendix 4: The code as of 2005-01-26
' MODEL
' HANDELSEKVATIONER
'VAROR
'
:EKXG
@INNOV SEXG 0.0246074

## :EKMG

@INNOV SEMG 0.0193846

```
'TJÄNSTER
```

:EKXS
@INNOV SEXS 0.0365864

```
SEMSER = (SEMS * . 01 * SECED) / SERX
```

:EKMS
@INNOV SEMS 0.0298613
SEXSER $=($ SEXS $* .01$ * SECED $) /$ SERX
SECSHARE $=((\sec -\sec (-4)) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
SEISHARE $=(($ sei $-\operatorname{sei}(-4)) * 100) /$ sey $(-4)$
SEGCSHARE $=((\operatorname{segc}-\operatorname{segc}(-4)) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
SEGSHARE $=((\operatorname{segc}+\operatorname{segi}-\operatorname{segc}(-4)-\operatorname{segi}(-4)) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
SEXSHARE $=((\operatorname{sex}-\operatorname{sex}(-4)) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
SEMSHARE $=((\operatorname{sem}-\operatorname{sem}(-4)) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
SENXSHARE $=(((\operatorname{sex}-\operatorname{sex}(-4))-(\operatorname{sem}-\operatorname{sem}(-4))) * 100) / \operatorname{sey}(-4)$
' EXPORTPRISINDEX
:EKPXG
@INNOV SEPXG 0.0132315
:EKPXGI
@INNOV SEPXGI 0.0137318
:EKPXSI
@INNOV SEPXSI 0.0092025
:EKPMGI
@INNOV SEPMGI 0.0123133

```
SEPMG = (.05577195 * USPXG + .03293201 * JPPXG +.21025142 * GEPXG + .05915811 * FRPXG +
.03828789 * ITPXG +.11362429 * UKPXG +.00292139 * CNPXG +.03618538*BGPXG +.05017263
* NLPXG +.01241590 * SPPXG +.09142617 * DKPXG +.00179267 *GRPXG +.01199540 * IRPXG
+.00964943 * PTPXG + . 01389873 * OEPXG + . 07197238 * FNPXG + . 07115351 * NWPXG +
```

```
.00000000 * SEPXG + . 02195467 * SWPXG + .00278860 * ANPXG + .00776824 * SKPXG + . 00075248
* MXPXG + .01520450 * VGPXG + .01431923 * LAPXG + .04253718 * FEPXG + .01106586 * CHPXG)
* SERX / DSDRX94
:EKPMSI
@INNOV SEPMSI 0.0081483
' KONSUMTIONSFUNKTIONEN
'
:EKC
@INNOV SEC 0.0060096
:EKCSYS
@INNOV SECNOND 0.0067033
:EKCOUT
@INNOV SECOUT 0.0353542
:EKCIN
@INNOV SECIN 0.0424943
SECARK = SECCAR + (1-1/60) * SECARK(-1)
:EKCRES
@INNOV SECRES 582.23542
SECTOT = SECNOND + SECDUR + SECCAR + SECCH + SECOUT - SECIN + SECRES
'INVESTERINGSFUNKTIONEN OCH PRIS PÅ INVESTERINGAR
:EKPINV
@INNOV SEPINV 0.0097921
SEPSI = SEHI + SEBI
'TOBINS Q
SETQ = (SEEQP / SEPINV)
:EKHI
@INNOV SEHI 0.0411564
:EKBI
@INNOV SEBI 0.0270192
:EKHS
SEPSI = SEHI + SEBI
' LAGEREKVATIONEN
:EKDS
@INNOV SEDS 3676.9358
SESL = SESL(-1) + D(SEDS)
' OFFENTLIG KONSUMTION OCH INVESTERINGAR
'
:EKGC
```

@INNOV SEGC 0.0020856
:EKGI
@INNOV SEGI 0.0014927
SEI = SEGI + SEPSI
' ARBETSMARKNADEN
:EKUAPP @INNOV SEUAPP 0.2614756

SEHOURSSHARE $=(($ sehours $-\operatorname{sehours}(-4)) * 100) /$ setim(-4)
SEESHARE $=(($ see $-\operatorname{see}(-4)) * 100) /$ setim(-4)
'TIMMAR
:EKHOURS
@INNOV SEHOURS 0.0018384
'SYSSELSÄTTNING
:EKEE
@INNOV SEEE 0.0053601
SETIM $=$ SEE $*$ SEHOURS
SETIME $=$ SEEE $*$ SEHOURS
SECOMPB $=$ SEWHB $*$ SETIM
SECOLL $=$ SECOLLR $*$ SECOMPB
SECOLLR $=$ SECOLLR(-1)
SEWT $=$ SEWTR $*$ SECOMPB
SEWTR = SEWTR(-1)
SEWHP $=$ SEWHB $*(1+$ SECOLLR + SEWTR $)$
SEWHC $=($ SEWHB $*(1-$ SEDIRTR $)) /(1+$ SEITR $)$
:EKITR
@INNOV SEITR 0.0031586
SEWRP $=$ SEWHP $/(.01 *$ SECED $)$
SEWRB $=$ SEWHB $/(.01 *$ SECED $)$
SEWRC $=$ SEWHC $/(.01 *$ SECED $)$
SEWEDGE = SEWHC / SEWHP
SEDIRT $=($ SEWHB $*$ SETIM $) *$ SEDIRTR
SEDIRTR = SEDIRTR(-1)

```
SECOMP = SEWHB * (1 + SEWTR + SECOLLR) * SEEE * SEHOURS
SECOMPE = SEWHB * (1 + SEWTR + SECOLLR) * (SEEE * SEHOURS)
SECOMPBE = SELABSHE
SECOLLE = SECOLL * (SEEE / SEE)
SEWTE = SEWT * (SEEE / SEE)
SEWHBE = SECOMPBE / (SEEE * SEHOURS)
SEWHPE = SECOMPE / (SEEE * SEHOURS)
SEWHCE = (SEWHBE * (1 - SEDIRTR)) / (1 + SEITR)
' TVÅ ALTERNATIV FÖR ARBETSUTBUDET
:EKLF
@INNOV SELF 0.0038523
' TOTAL SYSSELSÄTTNING
' Tusental
SEE = SEE (-1) + (SEEE - SEEE(-1)) + (SEE(-1) - SEEE(-1)) / SELF(-1) * (SELF - SELF(-1))
' ARBETSLÖSHET
' Procent av arbetskraften
SEU = (SELF - SEE) / SELF * 100.
SEUT = SEU + 100 * (SELAT / (SELAT + SELF))
:EKLAT
@INNOV SELAT 0.1660174
'SKATTEKIL - KVOTEN MELLAN KONSUMENT- O PRODUCENTLÖN
SELABSH = SECOMP / (.01 * SEPY * SEY )
selabshe = (sewhb * (1 + sewtr + secollr) * seee * sehours) / senom
' LÖNER OCH TRANSFERERINGAR
'TIMLÖN
:EKLABSH6
@ADD SEWHB SEWHB_A
@INNOV SEWHB 0.0111104
SEREPR = SEREPR(-1)
'KONSTANT REAL ERSÄTTNINGSNIV
SEDAG = SECED * (SEDAG(-1) / SECED(-1))
SEEK = SEDAG / SEWHC
```

```
'LÖNEEKVATION FÖR KENTS DATA
',
':EKW4KENT
log(SEMAXDAGP) = log(SEMAXDAGP(-1)) + dlog(seund1x)
SEPTAX = SEPTAX(-1)
SETAXRATE = SETAXRATE(-1)
'LÖNESUMMA
'ERSÄTTNINGSNIVÅ PER PERSON
SECR = (SETRAN / (SELF - SEEE)) / (SECOMP / SEEE)
'ARBETSPRODUKTIVITET
SEAPROD = SEY / (SEE * SEHOURS)
'TRANSFERERINGAR
:EKTRAN
@INNOV SETRAN 0.0106982
'ENHETSARBETSKOSTNAD
SEULC = SEWHP / (SEY / (SEEE * SEHOURS))
'TREND I ENHETSARBETSKOSTNAD
'TEKNISKA FRAMSTEG
'SETECHL = 0.00365955 * TIME * (1 - DUM89) + (0.00410252 * TIME) * DUM89 - 120 * DUM89 *
(0.00410252-0.00365955)
SETECHL = (0.00410252 * TIME - 0.0531564)
SETECHN = TIME
'KAPACITETSUTNYTTJANDE
DSETECHL = EXP(SETECHL - 4*0.00410252)
DSECAP = DELTASE * ((SEKP + SEKG)^DSERHO)
DSELAB =(1 - DELTASE ) * (((SEE * SEHOURS) * DSETECHL)^DSERHO}
'SEYTREND Trend output
```

```
seytrend = seytrend(-1) + ((0.12036) * (((deltase * ((sekp + sekg)^dserho)) + ((1. - deltase) * (((see *
```

seytrend = seytrend(-1) + ((0.12036) * (((deltase * ((sekp + sekg)^dserho)) + ((1. - deltase) * (((see *
sehours) * (exp(setechl - 4. * 0.0041025)))^dserho)))^(1. / dserho) - ((deltase * ((sekp(-1) + sekg(-
sehours) * (exp(setechl - 4. * 0.0041025)))^dserho)))^(1. / dserho) - ((deltase * ((sekp(-1) + sekg(-
1)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}\mathrm{ dserho) ) + ((1. - deltase) * (((see(-1) * sehours(-1)) * (exp(setechl(-1) - 4. * 0.0041025)))^dserho)))^(1.
1)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}\mathrm{ dserho) ) + ((1. - deltase) * (((see(-1) * sehours(-1)) * (exp(setechl(-1) - 4. * 0.0041025)))^dserho)))^(1.
/ dserho))) / 0.25
/ dserho))) / 0.25
' SEOG Output gap

```
' SEOG Output gap
```

```
seog = (sey - seytrend) / seytrend
```

```
SEYPOT = (1.517205146 * (SEKP + SEKG)^ - 0.1775337187 + (1 - 1.517205146) * (SEE * SEHOURS *
EXP(2650.333928*TIME / 10000))^ - 0.1775337187)^(1 / - 0.1775337187) + 3.053163599
'SECU = SEY / SEYPOT
secu = 0.25 * sey / ((0.12534 * (1. - dum89) + dum89 * 0.12036) * (((deltase * ( (sekp + sekg)^dserho))
+((1. - deltase) * (((see * sehours) * dsetechl)^dserho)))^(1. / dserho))) +0.03
SEKCU = SEUSER * (SECED / 100.0)
SELCU = (SECOMP) / (SEEE * SEHOURS)
SEUTC = (1. / GAMMASE) * ((DELTASE2^SIGMASE) * (SEKCU^(1. - SIGMASE)) + ((1. -
DELTASE2)^SIGMASE) * (SELCU^(1. - SIGMASE)) * EXP((SIGMASE-1.) * SETECHL) )^(1. / (1. -
SIGMAse))
' PRODUCENTPRISINDEX
:EKPP
@INNOV SEPP 0.0119439
' TVA ALTERNATIV FÖR INFLATIONSEKVATIONEN (KONSUMTIONSDEFLATORN)
:EKCED
@INNOV SECED 0.0048024
' EN EKVATION FÖR UND1X
'
:EKUND1X5alt
@INNOV SEUND1X 0.0058699
SEUNDINF = 100 *@PCHY(SEUND1X)
'
' EKVATION FÖR BNP-DEFLATORN
:EKPY
@INNOV SEPY 0.0055014
' EKVATION FÖR KPI
:EKKPI
@INNOV SEKPI80 0.0047816
':PRICESYSTEM
'
SESKEW = SESKEWPRED
SEVAR = SEVARPRED
':EKVAR
':EKVARDP
' VÄRLDSMARKNADSPRISER ENLIGT APP
```

```
WDXPI = EXP(0.06 * LOG(BGPXG * BGRX / 33.423225) + 0.046 * LOG(CNPXG * CNRX /
1365.549957) + 0.022 * LOG(DKPXG * DKRX / 6.360575) + 0.018 * LOG(FNPXG * FNRX / 5.223525)
+ 0.1 * LOG(FRPXG * FRRX / 5.547475) + 0.203 * LOG(GEPXG * GERX / 1.622200) + 0.078 *
LOG(ITPXG * ITRX / 1611.372742) + 0.098 * LOG(JPPXG * JPRX / 102.187752) + 0.066 *
LOG(NLPXG * NLRX / 1819.149994) + 0.009 * LOG(NWPXG * NWRX / 7.057580) + 0.022 *
LOG(OEPXG * OERX / 11.421850) + 0.035 * LOG(SWPXG * SWRX / 1.3677) + 0.097 * LOG(UKPXG
* UKRX / 653.102066) + 0.146 * LOG(USPXG * USRX))
' VARLDSMARKNADSTILLVÄXT ENLIGT APP
SESAPP = EXP((5.7 * LOG(DKMGI) + 5.2 * LOG(FNMGI) + 10.7 * LOG(GEMGI) + 5.2 *
LOG(FRMGI) + 3.7 * LOG(ITMGI) + 9.1 * LOG(UKMGI) + 5.0 * LOG(NLMGI) + 4.4 * LOG(BGMGI)
+ 2.7 * LOG(SPMGI) + 1.0 * LOG(OEMGI) + 0.6 * LOG(GRMGI) + 0.6 * LOG(IRMGI) + 0.5 *
LOG(PTMGI) + 8.2 * LOG(NWMGI) + 1.7 * LOG(POMGI) + 1.3 * LOG(SWMGI) + 0.6 *
LOG(CRMGI) + 0.4 * LOG(HUMGI) + 0.2 * LOG(SLMGI) + 3.5 * LOG(DEMGI) + 10.2 *
LOG(USMGI) + 2.9 * LOG(JPMGI) + 2.1 * LOG(CHMGI) + 0.6 * LOG(SKMGI) + 3.5 * LOG(FEMGI)
+ 1.1 * LOG(ANMGI) + 1.1 * LOG(CNMGI) + 1.0 * LOG(MXMGI) + 1.8 * LOG(LAMGI) + 1.5 *
LOG(AFMGI)) / 96.1)
' EKVATION FÖR FASTIGHETSMARKNADEN
:EKHW
@INNOV SEHW 0.020871
SERHW = SEHW / (.01 * SECED)
SEGW = SENW + SELIABS
SERGW = SEGW / (.01 * SECED)
SETNW = SEHW + SENW
SETRNW = SETNW / (.01 * SECED)
' AKTIEMARKNADEN
'
':EKEQP
:EKEQP
@INNOV SEEQP 0.1050551
' TAYLOR-REGLER FÖR PENNINGPOLITIKEN
'
':EKTAYLOR
SER3M = .6 * SER3M(-1) + 1.65 + 1.2 * ((1 / 55) * (@PCY(SEUND1X(+1)) - 2) + (2 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEUND1X(+2)) - 2) + (3 / 55) * (@PCY(SEUND1X(+3)) - 2) + (4 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEUND1X(+4)) - 2) + (5 / 55) *(@PCY(SEUND1X(+5)) - 2) + (6 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEUND1X(+6)) - 2) + (7 / 55) * (@PCY(SEUND1X(+7)) - 2) + (8 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEUND1X(+8)) - 2) + (9 / 55) * (@PCY(SEUND1X(+9)) - 2) + (10 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEUND1X(+10)) - 2))
'SER3M = .67 * SER3M(-1) + 1.5 + 1.2 * ((1 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+1)) - 2) + (2 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+2)) - 2) + (3 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+3)) - 2) + (4 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+4)) -
2) + (5 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+5)) - 2) + (6 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+6)) - 2) + (7 / 55) *
```

(@PCY(SEKPI80(+7)) - 2) + (8 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+8)) - 2) + (9 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+9)) 2) + (10 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+10)) - 2))

```
'SER3M = .67 * SER3M(-1) + 2.5 + 1.2 * ((1 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+1)) - 2.75) + (2 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+2)) - 2.75) + (3 / 55) * (@PCY(SEKPI80(+3)) - 2.75) + (4 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+4)) - 2.75) + (5 / 55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+5)) - 2.75) + (6 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+6)) - 2.75) + (7 / 55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+7)) - 2.75) + (8 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+8)) - 2.75) + (9 / 55) *(@PCY(SEKPI80(+9)) - 2.75) + (10 / 55) *
(@PCY(SEKPI80(+10))-2.75))
'VAXELKURS
SERX = SERX(+1) / (1.0 + (SER3M / 100) - (USR3M) / 100) - 0.16
secpx = 0.0974988 * uspxg + 0.0757028 * jppxg + 0.1554050 * gepxg + 0.0815971 * frpxg + 0.0607433
* itpxg + 0.0716316 * ukpxg + 0.0277375 * cnpxg + 0.0398269 * bgpxg + 0.0574854 * nlpxg + 0.0239021
* sppxg + 0.0225737 * dkpxg + 0.0024537 * grpxa + 0.0131337 * irpxg + 0.0077288 * ptpxg + 0.0177686
* oepxg + 0.0131367 * fnpxg + 0.0174807 * nwpxg + 0.0000000 * sepxg + 0.0245288 * swpxg +
0.0106347 * anpxg + 0.0148706 * skpxg + 0.0089329 * mxpxg + 0.0155221 * vgpxa + 0.0267359 * lapxa
+0.0919796 * fepxa +0.0209889 * chpxа
SEPXGKR = SEPXG * SERX
SERPX = (SEPXGKR / SERX) / SECPX
```

' TOTAL IMPORT- RESPEKTIVE EXPORTVOLYM, KR 1994 P
semvol $=$ semg + sems
sexvol = sexg + sexs
SEX = SEXG + SEXS
SEM = SEMG + SEMS
' EXPORTPRISER, ALLA VAROR OCH TJÄNSTER
' Variabel - PXA
' Index, 1994=100
SEPXA $=(0.814984 *$ SEPXG $+0.02205 *(0.159459 * \mathrm{WDPO}+0.840541 *$ SEPXG $)+0.13833 *$
WDPFDV +0.018035 * WDPANF +0.002766 * WDPMM $+0.003834 *$ WDPFLD)
' IMPORTPRISER, ALLA VAROR OCH TJÄNSTER
' Variabel - PMA Index 1994=100
SEPMA $=0.735752 *$ SEPMG $+(0.081919 *(0.65257 * W D P O+0.34743 *$ WDPXG $)+0.124675 *$
WDPFDV + 0.011948 * WDPFLD + 0.036237 * WDPANF + 0.00947 * WDPMM) * SERX / DSDRX94
DLOG(WDYHP) $=0.022$
:EKWDPP
@INNOV WDPP 0.0025133

## ' EXPORT AV VAROR OCH TJÄNSTER, VÄRDE

' Variabel - XGV Mijoner dollar

```
sexgv = (sepxa00 / 100.) *(sexgi / 100.) * (13.09276 / 100.) * 116879.50000
```

- IMPORT AV VAROR OCH TJÄNSTER, VÄRDE
' Variabel - MGV Miljoner dollar
semgv $=((\operatorname{sepma00} / 100) *.($ semgi $/ 100) *.(95.14767 / 100) * 104968.75000$.$) / serx$
' TRANSFERERINGAR I BETALNINGSBALANSEN

```
SEBPT = (SECED / SERX) / 12. * (SEBPT(-1) * SERX(-1) / SECED(-1) + SEBPT(-2) * SERX(-2) /
SECED(-2) + SEBPT(-3) * SERX(-3) / SECED(-3) + SEBPT(-4) * SERX(-4) / SECED(-4) + SEBPT(-5) *
SERX(-5) / SECED(-5) + SEBPT(-6) * SERX(-6) / SECED(-6) + SEBPT(-7) * SERX(-7) / SECED(-7) +
SEBPT(-8) * SERX(-8) / SECED(-8) + SEBPT(-9) * SERX(-9) / SECED(-9) + SEBPT(-10) * SERX(-10) /
SECED(-10) + SEBPT(-11) * SERX(-11) / SECED(-11) + SEBPT(-12) * SERX(-12) / SECED(-12))
```

' BYTESBALANS
' Miljioner dollar

```
SECBV = SEXG *.01 * (SEPXA00 / SERX) - SEMG *.01 * (SEPMA00 / SERX) + SEXSER -
SEMSER + SEIPDC - SEIPDD + SEBPT
SECBV2 = SEXG * SEPXGI / SERX + SEXS * SEPXSI / SERX - SEMG * SEPMGI / SERX - SEMS *
SEPMSI / SERX + SEIPDC - SEIPDD + SEBPT
SECBR = SECBV * SERX / (SEY * SEPY / 100.0) * 100.0
SECBR2 = SECBV2 * SERX / (SEY * SEPY / 100.0) * 100.0
```

' PRIVAT EFTERFRÅGAN
' Kronor, fasta priser
' PRIVAT KAPITALSTOCK
:EKKP2
@INNOV SEKP 4233.6281
'sekp $=\operatorname{sekp}(-1) *(1 .-0.25 *$ sekpdep $)+0.8 *$ sepsi
'SEKP $=\operatorname{SEKP}(-1) *(1 .-0.0112)+$ SEPSI
' OFFENTLIG KAPITALSTOCK
SEKG $=\operatorname{SEKG}(-1) *(1 .-0.0112)+$ SEGI
' BNP
' 1995 ÅRS PRISER
SEY $=$ SEC + SEDS $2+$ SEBI + SEHI + SEGC + SEGI + SEXG + SEXS - SEMG - SEMS
SEY2 = SECTOT + SEDS2 + SEBI + SEHI + SEGC + SEGI + SEXG + SEXS - SEMG - SEMS
' TOTALA UTGIFTER
SETFE $=$ SEC + SEDS2 + SEBI + SEHI + SEGC + SEGI + SEXG + SEXS
' INDUSTRIPRODUKTION
' Volymindex, 1994=100
SEIP $=\operatorname{EXP}(\operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEIP}(-1))+\operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SEY}(-1) / \operatorname{SEY}(-2)))$

```
SEINF = 400 * (-1 + EXP(0.4012907414 * DLOG(SECED(-1)) + 0.02904023898 * DLOG(SECED(-2)) +
0.03141088847 * DLOG(SECED(-3)) + 0.1347129797 * DLOG(SECED(-4)) + 0.08871474285 *
DLOG(SECED(-5)) - 0.3052552798 * DLOG(SECED(-6)) + 0.2202540343 * DLOG(SECED(-7)) +
0.3310412019 * DLOG(SECED(-8)) - 0.1315396014 * DLOG(SECED(-9))))
WSDINF = SEINF / 400. + 1.
' PRODUKTIVITET
aprodse = 0.125 * (LOG(SEY(-1) / (SEE(-1) * SEHOURS(-1))) + LOG(SEY(-2) / (SEE(-2) * SEHOURS(-
2))) + LOG(SEY(-3) / (SEE(-3) * SEHOURS(-3))) + LOG(SEY(-4) / (SEE(-4) * SEHOURS(-4))) +
LOG(SEY(-5) / (SEE(-5) * SEHOURS(-5))) + LOG(SEY(-6) / (SEE(-6) * SEHOURS(-6))) + LOG(SEY(-7)
/ (SEE(-7) * SEHOURS(-7))) + LOG(SEY(-8) / (SEE(-8) * SEHOURS(-8))) )
' BNP-DEFLATOR
' 1994=100
' KONSUMTIONSDEFLATOR
' INKOMST; TRANSFERERINGAR
' ÖVRIGA INKOMSTER
"
' SUMMA INKOMST
' Kronor
'
SEPI = SEOPI + SETRAN + SECOMP - SEWT
' DIREKTA SKATTER
' Krornor
```

SEGBRT $=1.5$
SETAX $=-$ SEWT - SECOLL $+($ SETAX(-1) $+\operatorname{SEWT}(-1)+\operatorname{SECOLL}(-1)) *($ SEPI $/ \operatorname{SEPI}(-1))+\operatorname{SEY}(-1)$

* SEPY(-1) / 100. * 0.01 * (0.20 * (SEGBRT(-1) - SEGBR(-1)))
' REAL DISPONIBEL INKOMST
SEYD $=($ SEOPI + SECOMP + SETRAN - SETAX - SECOLL - SEWT) $/(.01$ * SECED $)$
SECONSR $=((S E R 3 M-@ P C Y(S E U N D 1 X)) ~ * ~ .01) ~$
'SEYDFORW $=($ SEYD $+\operatorname{SEYD}(+1) /(1+\operatorname{SECONSR})+\operatorname{SEYD}(+2) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+3) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)))+$
$\operatorname{SEYD}(+4) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)))+$
$\operatorname{SEYD}(+5) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1$
$+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+6) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+7) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+6)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+8) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+$
SECONSR $(+1))$ * $(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+6)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+7)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+9) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+6)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+7)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+8)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+10) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+6)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+7)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+8)) *(1+$
SECONSR $(+9)))+\operatorname{SEYD}(+11) /((1+\operatorname{SECONSR}) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+1)) *(1+$
$\operatorname{SECONSR}(+3)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+4)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+5)) *(1+\operatorname{SECONSR}(+6)) *(1+$

```
SECONSR(+7)) * (1 + SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 + SECONSR(+10))) + SEYD(+12) /
((1 + SECONSR) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+3)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+4)) * (1 + SECONSR(+5)) * (1 + SECONSR(+6)) * (1 + SECONSR(+7)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 + SECONSR(+10)) * (1 + SECONSR(+11))) + SEYD(+13) /
((1 + SECONSR) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+3)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+4)) * (1 + SECONSR(+5)) * (1 + SECONSR(+6)) * (1 + SECONSR(+7)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 + SECONSR(+10)) * (1 + SECONSR(+11)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+12))) + SEYD(+14) / (1 + SECONSR) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+3)) * (1 + SECONSR(+4)) * (1 + SECONSR(+5)) * (1 + SECONSR(+6)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+7)) * (1 + SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 + SECONSR(+10)) * (1 +
SECONSR (+11)) * (1 + SECONSR(+12)) * (1 + SECONSR(+13))) + SEYD (+15) / ((1 + SECONSR) * (1
+ SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+3)) * (1 + SECONSR(+4)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+5)) * (1 + SECONSR(+6)) * (1 + SECONSR(+7)) * (1 + SECONSR(+8)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+9)) * (1 + SECONSR(+10)) * (1 + SECONSR(+11)) * (1 + SECONSR(+12)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+13)) * (1 + SECONSR(+14))) + SEYD(+16) / ((1 + SECONSR) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1
+ SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+3)) * (1 + SECONSR(+4)) * (1 + SECONSR(+5)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+6)) * (1 + SECONSR(+7)) * (1 + SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+10)) * (1 + SECONSR(+11)) * (1 + SECONSR(+12)) * (1 + SECONSR(+13)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+14)) * (1 + SECONSR(+15))) + SEYD(+17) / ((1 + SECONSR) * (1 + SECONSR(+1)) * (1
+ SECONSR(+1)) * (1 + SECONSR(+3)) * (1 + SECONSR(+4)) * (1 + SECONSR(+5)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+6)) * (1 + SECONSR(+7)) * (1 + SECONSR(+8)) * (1 + SECONSR(+9)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+10)) * (1 + SECONSR(+11)) * (1 + SECONSR(+12)) * (1 + SECONSR(+13)) * (1 +
SECONSR(+14)) * (1 + SECONSR(+15)) * (1 + SECONSR(+16)))) / 18
' SPARKVOTEN
```

SESR = (SEYD - SEC) / SEYD
' NOMINELLA BNP
SENOM $=(\mathrm{SEY} * \mathrm{SEPY} / 100.0)$
' MÅL FÖR NOMINELLA BNP
LÅNGA RÄNTOR
SELR $=(\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+1)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+2)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+3)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+4)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+5)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+6)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+7)$
$+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+8)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+9)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+10)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+11)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+12)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+13)+\operatorname{ser} 3 \mathrm{~m}(+14)+$
ser3m(+15) $+\operatorname{ser} 3 m(+16)+\operatorname{ser} 3 m(+17)+\operatorname{ser} 3 m(+18)+\operatorname{ser} 3 m(+19)) / 20+1.0$

## REALRÄNTA

SERR $=$ SELR -100 * ((SEUND1X / SEUND1X(-4)) - 1)

## ' KONKURRENSMÅTT

SEEFEX = 100. * EXP(-LOG(SERX / DSDRX94) + . 07851100 * LOG(USRX / DUSRX94) + . 03301607 * LOG(JPRX / DJPRX94) + . 18649535 * LOG(GERX / DGERX94) + . 06131557 * LOG(FRRX / DFRRX94) + . 04274535 * LOG(ITRX / DITRX94) +.11995558 * LOG(UKRX / DUKRX94) + . 00857657

* LOG(CNRX / DCNRX94) + . 04905880 * LOG(BGRX / DBGRX94) + . 05815355 * LOG(NLRX /
DNLRX94) +.01834814 * LOG(SPRX / DSPRX94) +.08839890 * LOG(DKRX / DDKRX94) +
.00458968 * LOG(GRRX / DGRRX94) + . 01006768 * LOG(IRRX / DIRRX94) + . 00757191 * LOG(PTRX
/ DPTRX94) + . 01564086 * LOG(OERX / DOERX94) + . 06528130 * LOG(FNRX / DFNRX94) +
.08683375 * LOG(NWRX / DNWRX94) +.00000000 * LOG(SERX / DSDRX94) + . 02299069 *
LOG(SWRX / DSWRX94) + . 01141074 * LOG(ANRX / DANRX94) + . 00933799 * LOG(SKRX /
DSKRX94) + . 00287648 * LOG(MXRX / DMXRX94) + . 01882403 * LOG(VGRX / DVGRX94))

SEEFEX2 = 100. * EXP(-LOG(SERX / DSDRX94) + . 07256377 * LOG(USRX / DUSRX94) + . 03051510 * LOG(JPRX / DJPRX94) + . 17236829 * LOG(GERX / DGERX94) + . 05667090 * LOG(FRRX /

DFRRX94) +.03950738 * LOG(ITRX / DITRX94) +.11086893 * LOG(UKRX / DUKRX94) +.00792689 * LOG(CNRX / DCNRX94) + . 04534259 * LOG(BGRX / DBGRX94) + . 05374841 * LOG(NLRX / DNLRX94) +.01695826 * LOG(SPRX / DSPRX94) $+.08170267 *$ LOG(DKRX / DDKRX94) + $.00424201 *$ LOG(GRRX / DGRRX94) $+.00930505 *$ LOG(IRRX / DIRRX94) $+.00699834 *$ LOG(PTRX / DPTRX94) + . 01445606 * LOG(OERX / DOERX94) +.06033623 * LOG(FNRX / DFNRX94) + .08025608 * LOG(NWRX / DNWRX94) +.00000000 * LOG(SERX / DSDRX94) + . 02124915 * LOG(SWRX / DSWRX94) +.01054638 * LOG(ANRX / DANRX94) +.00863063 * LOG(SKRX / DSKRX94) +.00265859 * LOG(MXRX / DMXRX94) +.01739810 * LOG(VGRX / DVGRX94) + .01563874 * LOG(LARX / DLARX94) + . 04540123 * LOG(FERX / DFERX94) + . 01471019 * LOG(CHRX / DCHRX94))

```
SEREFEX = 100. * EXP(-LOG(SERX / DSDRX94 / WSDCED94) + LOG((USRX / DUSRX94 /
WUSCED94)^.078511 * (JPRX / DJPRX94 / WJPCED94)^.033016 * (GERX / DGERX94 /
WGECED94)^.186495 * (FRRX / DFRRX94 / WFRCED94)^.061316 * (ITRX / DITRX94 /
WITCED94)^.042745 * (UKRX / DUKRX94 / WUKCED94)^.119956 * (CNRX / DCNRX94 /
WCNCED94)^.008577 * (BGRX / DBGRX94 / WBGCED94)^.049059 * (NLRX / DNLRX94 /
WNLCED94)^.058154 * (SPRX / DSPRX94 / WSPCED94)^.018348 * (DKRX / DDKRX94 /
WDKCED94)^.088399 * (GRRX / DGRRX94 / WGRCED94)^.004590 * (IRRX / DIRRX94 /
WIRCED94)^.010068 * (PTRX / DPTRX94 / WPTCED94)^.007572 * (OERX / DOERX94 /
WOECED94)^.015641 * (FNRX / DFNRX94 / WFNCED94)^.065281 * (NWRX / DNWRX94 /
WNWCED94)^.086834 * (SERX / DSDRX94 / WSDCED94)^.000000 * (SWRX / DSWRX94 /
WSWCED94)^.022991 * (ANRX / DANRX94 / WANCED94)^.011411 * (SKRX / DSKRX94 /
WSKCED94)^.009338 * (MXRX / DMXRX94 / WMXCED94)^.002876 * (VGRX / DVGRX94 /
WVGCED94)^.018824))
log(seult) = 7.4664 + log(secomp / (seee * sehours)) - (2.14* (1. - dse89) + 1.91 * dse89) * setechl
SERULT = SEULT * DSERX94 / SERX / (.11044201 * USULT * DUSRX94 / USRX + . 07754669 *
JPULT * DJPRX94 / JPRX + .18614632 * GEULT * DGERX94 / GERX + . }10324917 * FRULT *
DFRRX94 / FRRX + .07485195 * ITULT * DITRX94 / ITRX + .08870030 * UKULT * DUKRX94 /
UKRX + .04258608 * CNULT * DCNRX94 / CNRX + .05073242 * BGULT * DBGRX94 / BGRX +
. 07286815 * NLULT * DNLRX94 / NLRX + .02996897 * SPULT * DSPRX94 / SPRX + .02836963 *
DKULT * DDKRX94 / DKRX + .00315404 * GRULT * DGRRX94 / GRRX + .01722937 * IRULT *
DIRRX94 / IRRX + .01000134 * PTULT * DPTRX94 / PTRX + .02112096 * OEULT * DOERX94 /
OERX + .01609598 * FNULT * DFNRX94 / FNRX + .02254940 * NWULT * DNWRX94 / NWRX +
.00000000 * SEULT * DSERX94 / SERX + .03079661 * SWULT * DSWRX94 / SWRX + .01359060 *
MXULT * DMXRX94 / MXRX)
```

,
' DIVERSE SKATTER
',
$\operatorname{dlog}($ semtax $)=\operatorname{dlog}($ seced $*$ sec $)$
seprofit $=$ seprof
seftaxr $=$ seftaxr $(-1)$
@INNOV SEFTAXR 0.0048547
'SECTAX $=\operatorname{EXP}(\operatorname{LOG}(\operatorname{SECTAX}(-1))+\operatorname{LOG}(($ SEPY $* \operatorname{SEY}) /(\operatorname{SEPY}(-1) * \operatorname{SEY}(-1))))$
, OFFENTLIGA SEKTORNS RÄNTEBETALNINGAR
segip $=.2 *(1 . / 400) *.(\operatorname{ser} 3 m * \operatorname{sedebt}(-1)-\operatorname{ser} 3 m(-1) * \operatorname{sedebt}(-2))+0.80 *(1 . / 400) *.(\operatorname{selr} *$
(sedebt(-24) / 23. + sedebt(-1) - sedebt(-2)) - selr(-23) * (sedebt(-24) / 23.)) + segip(-1)

```
' OFFENTLIGA SEKTORNS BUDGETSALDO
```

```
SEBUD = SETAX + SECOLL + SEWT + SEMTAX + SEFTAX - SETRAN - SEGIP - (SEGC * SECED
/ 100.) - (SEGI * SEPY / 100.)
segbr = (sebud / (sey * sepy / 100.0)) *100.0
```

- OFFENTLIGA SEKTORNS SKULDSTOCK
',
sedebt $=$ sedebt( -1 ) - sebud $-0.1 *(($ sey $*$ seced / 100. $)-(\operatorname{sey}(-1) * \operatorname{seced}(-1) / 100)$.
SKULD SOM ANDEL AV BNP
SEGDR $=($ SEDEBT $/(\mathrm{SEY} *$ SEPY $/ 100.0)) * 100.0 / 4.0$
SEGDRM $=100 . *((.01 * \operatorname{SEGDRM}(-1) * .01 *(\operatorname{SEY}(-1) * \operatorname{SEPY}(-1)+\operatorname{SEY}(-2) * \operatorname{SEPY}(-2)+\operatorname{SEY}(-3)$
* SEPY(-3) + SEY(-4) * SEPY(-4))) + (SEDEBT - SEDEBT(-1)) ) /(.01 * (SEY * SEPY + SEY(-1) *
$\operatorname{SEPY}(-1)+\operatorname{SEY}(-2) * \operatorname{SEPY}(-2)+\operatorname{SEY}(-3) * \operatorname{SEPY}(-3)))$
- BUDGETUNDERSKOTT SOM ANDEL AV BNP
SEDEBTP $=\operatorname{SEDEBTP}(-1)+0.86 *(\operatorname{SEDEBT}-\operatorname{SEDEBT}(-1))+0.80 * 0.55 *(\operatorname{SELR}(-1) / \operatorname{SELR}-1)$.
SEDEBTP(-1)
' AKTIEPRISINDEX EKVATION
semisc $=(\operatorname{semisc}(-1)-(68550.0 *((\operatorname{sey}(-1) * \operatorname{sepy}(-1) / 100) / 428622.3))-$. seliabs $(-1)) *($ seeqp $/$ seeqp $(-$
$1))+(1.0-0.86) *($ sedebt $-\operatorname{sedebt}(-1))+$ seliabs $+(68550.0 *(($ sey $*$ sepy / 100. $) / 428622.3))$
' FÖRMÖGENHET OCH TILLGÅNGAR I UTLANDET

```
seror = seror(-1) + 0.2 * (wdipdd(-1) / (wdgl(-1) * 1000.) - seror(-1) + 0.0008698)
sega = (sega(-1) + 0.5 * secbv / 1000. + ((\operatorname{segl(-12) / ((sey(-12) / 1000.) * (sepy(-12) / 100.) / serx(-12))) *}
(((sey * sepy / 100. - sey(-1) * sepy(-1) / 100.) / 1000.) / serx)) + (sega(-1) / wdga(-1)) * wdrev)
'RÄNTEUTGIFTER PÅ UTLANDSSKULD
seipdd = (((1000. * segl(-1) * serx(-1)) - (1. - dsedebth) * sedebt(-1)) * seeqpr + (1. - dsedebth) * segip) /
serx
'
'RÄNTEINKOMSTER PÅ UTLANDSFORDRINGAR
seipdc = (1000. * seror * sega(-1))
'UTLÄNDSKA NETTOTILLGÅNGAR
SENA = SEGA - SEGL
```


## 'FINANSIELLA SKULDER

```
SELIABS = SELIABS(-1) *(EXP((1. / 12.) * LOG((SEPI(-1) - SETAX(-1)) / (SEPI(-13) - SETAX(-13)))))
```

'FINANSIELL NETTOFÖRMÖGENHET

```
SENW = SEDEBTP + 1000 * SERX * SENA + SEMISC - SELIABS
'REAL FINANSIELL NETTOFÖRMÖGENHET
```

SERNW = SENW / (.01 * SECED)

## 'UTLANDSSKULDER

```
segl = segl(-1) + seglrev -0.5 * secbv / 1000. + ((segl(-12) / ((sey(-12) / 1000.) * (sepy(-12) / 100.) /
```

$\operatorname{serx}(-12)))$ * (((sey * sepy / 100. - sey(-1) * sepy(-1) / 100.) / 1000.) / serx))
SEGLREV $=0.32$ * (SEGL(-1) $-(1 .-0.86) *(S E D E B T(-1) / 1000$.$) / SERX (-1)) ~ *((0.67 *(S E E Q P ~ / ~$
SEEQP(-1)) + (1.0-0.67) * (EXP(1. / 8. * LOG(SEEQP(-1) / SEEQP(-9))))) * SERX(-1) / SERX - 1.0) +
$(1 .-0.32) *(($ SEGL $(-1)-(1 .-0.86) *(S E D E B T(-1) / 1000$.$) / SERX (-1)) *(0.77011 *(0.58537 *$
(USRX (-1) / USRX - 1.0) $+0.04927 *($ UKRX (-1) / UKRX - 1.0) $+0.06841 *(J P R X(-1) / J P R X-1.0)+$
0.03449 * (SWRX(-1) / SWRX - 1.0) +0.26246 * (ELRX(-1) / ELRX - 1.0)) $+(1 .-0.77011)$ * (SERX(-

1) / SERX - 1.0) )) + ((1. - 0.86) * ((SEDEBT(-1) / 1000.) / SERX(-1)) * (SERX(-1) / SERX - 1.))

## 'AVKASTNING PÅ UTLÄNDSKA SKULDER

```
SEEQPR = 0.004 + 0.32 * 0.0075 + (1.0-0.32) * ((1.0 - (67.0 / 87.0)) * SER3M / 400.0 + (67.0 / 87.0)
* ((3089.0 / 5277.0) * USR3M / 400.0 + (260.0 / 5277.0) * UKR3M / 400.0 + (1385.0 / 5277.0) * ELR3M
/ 400.0 + (361.0 / 5277.0) * JPR3M / 400.0 + (182.0 / 5277.0) * SWR3M / 400.0))
```

'KAPITALKOSTNAD USER COST OF CAPITAL

```
TSE = 0.25 * ((SEFTAX / (.01 * SEY * SEPY - SECOMP)) + (SEFTAX(-1) / (.01 * SEY(-1) * SEPY(-
1) - SECOMP(-1)) ) + (SEFTAX(-2) / (.01 * SEY(-2) * SEPY(-2) - SECOMP(-2)) ) + ( SEFTAX(-2) /(
.01 * SEY(-2) * SEPY(-2) - SECOMP(-2)) ) )
DPSE = 0.25 * ((SECED / SEPY) + (SECED(-1) / SEPY(-1)) + (SECED(-2) / SEPY(-2)) + (SECED(-3) /
SEPY(-3)))
seuser = (.01 * ( selrr * (1. - (0.25 * (seftaxr + seftaxr(-1) + seftaxr(-2) + seftaxr(-3)))) - 0.30 + sekpdep *
100. + (drisk2se * seprem * 100.0) )) / (1.0 - (0.25 * (seftaxr + seftaxr(-1) + seftaxr(-2) + seftaxr(-3))))
seuser3 = ((sepy * sey * .01) * (1 - selabsh)) / (sekp + sekg)
SEPK = ((1 - SELABSH) * (.01 * SEPY * SEY)) / ((SEKP + SEKG) * (SER3M / 200 + SELR / 200))
SEUSER2 = SEPK * (SER3M / 2 + SELR / 2)
SECAPSH = 1 - SELABSH
SETFP = @pcy(sey) - (selabsh * @pcy(see * sehours) + (1 - selabsh) * @pcy(sekp + sekg))
SETP = (@PCY(SEY) - SECAPSH * @PCY(SEKP + SEKG) - SELABSH * @PCY(SEE * SEHOURS)) /
SELABSH
secost = secomp + seuser * (sekp + sekg)
```

```
' labour market model AMOD 0
':ekpwwhcsa
':ekpwpp3
'
'
':ekpwkpi
'
'
':ekpwund1x
':ekpwsltl3
':ekpwmhours
':ekpwhoursosa
':ekpwlf
':ekpwtran
'whpsa = (whcsa * (1 + prt2) * (1 + seitr)) / (1 - dirt)
'wsumsa = (whpsa * hourse) - collsa
'collsa = prt2 * wsumsa
prt2 = prt2(-1)
'empsa = hourssa / mhourssa
'unempsa = lfsa - empsa
'
'usa = (unempsa / lfsa) * 100
'replr = replr(-1)
dirt = dirt(-1)
'hourse =(slsa * (.01 * sepy * sey)) / whpsa
'hourssa = hourse + hoursosa
':ekpwempstud
'gyma = empstud *(gyma(-1) / empstud(-1))
'gym = empstud * (gym(-1) / empstud(-1))
'gymp = empstud *(gymp(-1) / empstud(-1))
'unempsahp = unempsahp(-1)
':ekpwdaypsa
'sewedge4 = (1 - dirt) / ((1 + seitr) * (1 + prt2))
```

'@INNOV SEMGI 0.0282817
'@INNOV SEMTAX 1261.8843
'@INNOV SEMTAX 1261.8843
@INNOV SEMGI 0.0282817
@INNOV SEXGI 0.016503


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Browning, Hansen and Heckman (1999) for a discussion about applying microeconomic theories on aggregate data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This model can be seen as a generalized specification based on a precautionary savings model with buffer stocks generation. The error correction form is motivated theoretically in Lettau and Ludvigson (2004). These papers show that a model based on current resources (labour income and net wealth) is reasonable and can be derived from optimizing behaviour. However, there also seems to be some aggregation problems since as Carroll (1997) shows the consumption function is concave and that the marginal propensity to consume to be decreasing in current resources.
    ${ }^{3}$ These are $S E C N O N D=$ nondurables and services, $S E C D U R=$ durables and semidurables less cars, SECCAR=expenditure on cars (sales), $S E C C H=$ expenditure by non-profit organizations, $S E C I N=$ expenditure in Sweden by foreigners and SECOUT=expenditure abroad by Swedes. Another alternative which is currently developed is based on using a model for SECNOND and treating all durables as assets and part of the households' net wealth (as suggested by Lettau and Ludvigson (2004)). For further details on this development see the BASMOD Web Site.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Assarsson (1999) for an analysis of different specifications.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ In Swedish they are "löneskatt" and "arbetsgivareavgift", respectively.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ It could also be defined as an annual average growth rate:
    SEINF $=\left[\frac{\text { SEKPI80 }_{t}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-1}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-2}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-3}}{\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-4}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-5}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-6}+\text { SEKPI80 }_{t-7}}-1\right] \cdot 100$ which makes the policy a little bit smoother.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ To easier understand the model consider the following simpler specific model. The wage share equation is $\frac{S E W H P \cdot S E H O U R S \cdot S E E}{.01 \cdot S E P Y \cdot S E Y}=\alpha-\beta S E U$. Define labour productivity $A \equiv \frac{S E Y}{S E H O U R S \cdot S E E}$ and wage setting in terms of the real wage as $\frac{S E W H P}{0.01 \cdot S E P Y}=A(\alpha-\beta S E U)$. Let price setting be $0.01 \cdot S E P Y=(1+\mu) \frac{S E W H P}{A}$ and in terms of the real wage $\frac{S E W H P}{0.01 \cdot S E P Y}=A \mu^{-1}$. We then can solve for the equilibrium unemployment rate as $S E U^{e q}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)$. Since $S E U \equiv 100 \frac{S E L F-S E E}{S E E} \equiv 100\left(1-\frac{S E E}{S E L F}\right)$, where SELF is the labour force. For the simple production function $S E Y=A \cdot S E E$ we can solve for potential output:

[^6]:    Ij EViews - [Equation: EKMS Workfile: BASMOD 041220UUntile

    LS DLOG(SEMS) C DLOG(SEMS(-1)) DLOG(SEPMG/SECED) DLOG(SEPMG(-1)SECED(-1)) DLOG(SETFE) LOG(SEMS(-1)) LOG(SETFE(-1)) LOG(SEPMG(-1)/SECED(-1)) DUM93Q1 MA(1)
    Estimation Equation:
     $+[$ MA $(1)=\mathrm{C}(10), \mathrm{BACKCAST}=1980: 3]$

    Substituted Coefficients:
    DLOG(SEMS) $=-1.412642028+0.09539261914 * \operatorname{DLOGSEMS}(-1))-0.2389790551 * \operatorname{LOG}($ SEPMG/SECED $)+0.2041986262 * \operatorname{DLOG}($ SEPMG $(-1) /$ SECED $(-1))+0.9701842787 * \operatorname{DLOG}($ SETFE $)-0.1325599308 *$ LOG(SEMS( -1$))+0.2114900038 * L O G$ $($ SETFE $(-1))-0.06958096575 *$ LOG $($ SEPMG $(-1) /$ SECED $(-1))-0.119461945 * D U M 93 Q 1+[$ MA $(1)=-0.224278931$, BACKCAST $=1980: 3]$

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Contrary to ex post published forecasts.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ See below for details about the VAR model.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ See Svensson (2004) for details.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ To save notations we use the convention that $C$ denotes both cost and the cost function and likewise for some other functions.

